THE LAST CHAPTERS OF ENOCH IN GREEK

THE LAST CHAPTERS OF ENOCH IN GREEK

EDITED BY CAMPBELL BONNER

WITH THE COLLABORATION OF HERBERT C. YOUTIE

1968

WISSENSCHAFTLICHE BUCHGESELLSCHAFT DARMSTADT This reprint of *The Last Chapters of Enoch in Greek* is published by arrangement with Chatto and Windus Ltd., Publishers, London

Photomechanical reprint of the 1937 edition (London) (= Studies and Documents, vol. VIII)

Bestell-Nr.: 4518

© 1937 by Chatto and Windus Ltd., Publishers, London Druck und Einband: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, Darmstadt Printed in Germany TO SIR FREDERIC GEORGE KENYON

PREFACE

For the privilege of bringing together in one publication all that is known to exist in Greek of these last chapters of Enoch, I am indebted to the courtesy and generosity of Mr. A. Chester Beatty, the owner of the larger share of the leaves, and of Sir Frederic G. Kenyon, the editor of the Beatty biblical manuscripts. To Sir Frederic I also owe preliminary transcripts of the Beatty leaves, which he made amidst many other demands upon his time, and numerous corrections and restorations of the text. Another distinguished scholar, now deceased, the late Provost of Eton, Dr. Montague Rhodes James, contributed several valuable suggestions. It is an honor to be allowed to include them in this work.

My thanks are also due to the authorities of the British Museum, who enabled me to examine the papyrus under the most favorable conditions; particularly to Dr. H. Idris Bell, the Keeper of Manuscripts, who placed every facility of his department at my disposal, and to Mr. T. C. Skeat, who gave me the benefit of his excellent judgment upon many difficult points.

The Delegates of the Clarendon Press, through their Secretary, Dr. R. W. Chapman, have graciously allowed me to quote freely from Dr. R. H. Charles's translation of the Ethiopic version of Enoch.

Among my colleagues in the University of Michigan I owe most to Mr. H. C. Youtie, by whose expert scholarship and keen criticism almost every part of this work has profited. Professor William H. Worrell has kindly advised me about the treatment of several passages where knowledge of the Ethiopic language was necessary for the understanding of the textual situation, and Professor Leroy Waterman has helped with some questions that needed the judgment of a Hebrew scholar. Professors H. A. Sanders and J. G. Winter have given me the benefit of their experience in advice about various matters. The index of Greek words is the work of Mr. O. M. Pearl. It would be ungrateful

PREFACE

to pass without mention the valuable service of Mrs. F. W. Gravit, who performed with exemplary care the tedious task of typing a difficult manuscript, and also read the proofs.

The general editors of this series have helped me with wise advice; and I am under a special obligation to Mrs. Lake for undertaking to solve some vexing typographical problems.

A subvention from the Humanities Fund given to the University of Michigan by the Rockefeller Foundation enabled me to study the Beatty manuscript in London, and a substantial contribution to the cost of publishing this work was made from the Endowment Fund of the Horace H. Rackham Graduate School of the University of Michigan. To the governing boards of these bodies I am most grateful for their timely aid.

CAMPBELL BONNER

Ann Arbor, Michigan June, 1937

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	vii
INTRODUCTION	
History and Description of the Manuscript	3
Palaeography, Punctuation, Abbreviations	12
Spelling and Grammatical Forms	15
Syntax, Style and Vocabulary	18
The Text	20
The Plan of the Edition	24
List of Principal Works Cited	27
TEXT AND NOTES	32
TRANSLATION	88
INDEX OF GREEK WORDS	97

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

HISTORY AND DESCRIPTION OF THE MANUSCRIPT

The story of the Book of Enoch has been told by several learned editors, and there is no need, in the present work, of more than a brief outline of the principal facts.¹ During the early centuries of the Christian era it was often mentioned and even cited as a part of the Holy Scriptures; later it was excluded from the canon and lost. No part of the original writings, Hebrew or Aramaic, which entered into the composite work, has survived in the original language. The Greek version, in which the early church read Enoch, also disappeared, and was known until recently only through a few quotations in the Byzantine chronicler George the Syncellus. Modern knowledge of the work has been derived from the Ethiopic version, which was made from the Greek, probably at a time when all Christendom except Egypt had dropped Enoch from the list of sacred writings. Three manuscripts of the Ethiopic Enoch were brought from Abyssinia in 1773 by the traveller James Bruce, and from one of them, in 1838, the first edition was made by Archbishop Laurence, who had published a translation in 1821.

An important addition to our scanty knowledge of the Greek version was made in 1892 when Bouriant published the fragments of a manuscript discovered in a tomb at Akhmim some years before (during the winter of 1886–1887) by the French Archaeological Mission. This manuscript, which contains also parts of the Gospel and the Apocalypse of Peter, was thought at the time of its publication to be of the eighth century, but is now assigned to the sixth.² Of Enoch it has preserved chapters

¹See the translations of Flemming-Radermacher, *Einleitung*, 3-14; of Martin, Introd. liii-lxii; and of Charles (1912), Introd. ix-xxi.

² Kenyon, *Palaeography of Greek Papyri*, p. 119; Professor H. A. Sanders thinks it may have been written at the end of the fifth century.

1-32 with some omissions; a small portion, 20 and part of 21, is given in two versions.¹

The manuscript which is published below now gives us a substantial portion of the end of the Book of Enoch, chapters 97, 6–104 and 106–107. Neither 105 nor 108 was ever a part of this text; the doubts of editors of the Ethiopic version regarding the genuineness of these chapters prove to have been justified.

The history of these last ten chapters is as follows. Early in the year 1930 the University of Michigan acquired six leaves of a papyrus codex, two of which were soon identified as belonging to the Book of Enoch. The other four were recognized as a Christian text, and were tentatively described as a part of a homily on the Passion of Christ. In May, 1930, I reported my identification of the leaves of Enoch to Dr. H. I. Bell, from whom I had shortly before learned of the great treasure of biblical papyri which had been acquired by Mr. A. Chester Beatty. It was evident that the University of Michigan papyri were a part of the same find, but at that time no part of Enoch had been recognized among the Beatty manuscripts. However, in October, 1931, Sir Frederic Kenvon, who had seen the Michigan leaves in Ann Arbor a year before, wrote me that he had found some leaves of Enoch in a part of the Beatty collection upon which he had not previously worked. Further correspondence and comparison of photographs made it abundantly clear that the Beatty and the Michigan leaves of Enoch belonged to the same manuscript, of which up to this time fourteen leaves are known. Of these the closing chapters of Enoch occupy five entire leaves and the first page and half of the second page of a The Christian homily occupies the lower half-page of the sixth. sixth leaf and all the remaining eight. The leaves are divided between the two collections as follows: in the Beatty collection there are four leaves of Enoch, including the one containing the end of that work and the beginning of the homily, and four leaves of the homily: in the University of Michigan Library, two leaves

¹For fuller details concerning the Ethiopic text and the Akhmim manuscript, see the introductions to the editions of Charles and Flemming-Rader-macher.

INTRODUCTION

of Enoch and four of the homily.¹ In only three cases are two consecutive leaves found in the same collection, a circumstance which suggests that the finders divided this manuscript, leaf by leaf, on the spot. It is possible that other leaves of this manuscript are held by some one of the finders or by an unknown purchaser—perhaps earlier leaves of Enoch or the end of the homily, which is incomplete.

In the case of a manuscript so inconveniently divided some cooperation between the holders was indispensable for any plan of publication; and the cordial relations already existing made it easy to arrive at a satisfactory understanding. The University had previously ceded to Mr. Beatty certain lots of papyri belonging to manuscripts of which he held by far the larger share; and he and the general editor, Sir Frederic Kenyon, have very courteously allowed me the privilege of editing the manuscript containing Enoch and the Christian homily, although our share is the smaller.

By way of forwarding this arrangement, Sir Frederic Kenyon generously provided me with transcripts of the Beatty leaves of Enoch, so carefully executed as to leave no further work of deciphering to be done except here and there upon the lacerated margins. He also sent me, in June, 1935, photographs of all the leaves, both those of Enoch and those of the Christian homily. By their aid I was able definitely to identify the latter as the homily on the Passion by Melito, bishop of Sardis in the time of Marcus Aurelius; a discovery which I reported in the following September to the Sixth Congress of the History of Religions in Brussels.² Through the mediation of the same scholar I was enabled to examine the original manuscript in the British Museum in August, 1935, satisfying myself about the reading of some difficult passages of Enoch, and transcribing the leaves of Melito's homily, for some of which the photographs proved an inadequate aid because of serious injuries to the surface of the papyrus. After some consideration it has been decided to reserve the text of Melito for a separate publication, since it presents problems of a different character and will interest a

¹See the table, p. 7. ²Mélanges Franz Cumont, p. 107.

somewhat different group of readers; but in describing the manuscript it is necessary to take into account the part containing Melito as well as the leaves of Enoch.

The manuscript in its present condition consists of fourteen leaves of good but not fine papyrus. The lower edge of all the leaves has been damaged, with the consequent loss of the lower margin and two or more lines of text. Some pages at the beginning and end have lost the upper margin also, and in the case of two leaves, there has probably been a loss of at least one line at the top. In the majority, however, the upper margin has been but slightly injured. The outer margins of some of the leaves are almost perfectly preserved, and in general they are much less injured than the inner. In fact, aside from the losses at the bottom, most of the harm has been suffered by the upper third and the lower third of the inner margin and the adjacent text. There are numerous worm-holes, but the damage due to them is slight. The surface of the page believed to be 37 (according to the original numbering) has been badly rubbed, so that the upper left-hand quarter of the text is in poor condition. Joins are visible in the outer margins of two of the Michigan leaves, showing that the papyrus required for the sheets of the book was cut from a roll,¹ perhaps with some attention to the spacing of these joins, since none runs through the text.

The leaves were numbered in the upper margin by a hand different from that which wrote the text; the letter-numerals are cursive and the ink is thinner and lighter. Of the six leaves belonging to Enoch four have the numerals, well preserved and clearly legible, and the position of the other two is determined by comparison with the Ethiopic translation. Enoch is thus found to occupy pages 15-26 except for the latter half of the last page, where the homily begins.

Of the leaves of the homily, three have the numerals intact on one or both sides, those containing pages 27-28, 29-30, and 35-36. Pages 33-34 are also certain because the λ is preserved

¹This seems to have been a common practice in the fourth century; see Ibscher in C. Schmidt and H. J. Polotsky, *Ein Mani-Fund in Ägypten (Berl. Sitzungsber.* 1933, p. 84); also Schubart, *Das Buch bei den Griechen und Römern*², p. 129. I owe these references to H. C. Youtie.

INTRODUCTION

on page 33 and the δ on page 34. The leaf believed to be 39-40 has lost the $\lambda \theta$, and although μ is plain, the papyrus has been broken away immediately after it in such a way that one cannot be quite sure that μ stood alone. The leaf placed as 31-32 has on page 32 λ followed by an illegible letter. The other two. believed to be 37-38 and 41-42, have lost their numerals entirely. Since there is no other Greek text of this homily, the placing of the uncertain leaves required considerable care; but the connection of ideas in the text seems to confirm the order that I have adopted. Valuable aid was also derived from a Syriac fragment attributed to Melito (Otto, Corpus Apologetarum, Vol. IX, p. 421, fr. 16), which proves to be a series of excerpts from our homily. Though the excerptor omitted long passages of the context, the order of the parts selected corresponds to the arrangement of our leaves which on internal grounds had appeared to be the natural one.

Following these indications, we may construct a table illustrating the relations of the leaves. The side of the papyrus which has the fibers running vertically is indicated by V, that with horizontal fibers by H. B indicates that the leaf belongs to the Beatty Collection, M represents the University of Michigan. In the Beatty Collection each leaf has its own number. In the University of Michigan Library, the two Enoch leaves bear the number 5552, the four of Melito, 5553.

Leaf 1	Pages 15-16	H-V	В	100
2	17-18	$\mathbf{V}\textbf{-}\mathbf{H}$	В	170
3	19–20	$\mathbf{V}\textbf{-}\mathbf{H}$	\mathbf{M}	5552
4	21 - 22	V-H	В	169
5	23 - 24	$V\text{-}\mathbf{H}$	М	5552
6	25 - 26	V-H	В	167
7	27–28	V-H	М	5553
8	29-30	H-V	В	168
9	31 - 32	H-V	Μ	5553
10	33–34	H-V	Μ	5553
11	35–36	H-V	В	171
12	37–38	H-V	В	173
13	39-40	H-V	\mathbf{M}	5553
14	41-42	H-V	В	172

Kenvon pointed out that since leaves 2–13 appear to constitute a single twelve-leaf quire, the first leaf, pages 15-16, on which H precedes V, must have been the last of a quire of eight leaves, which began the book; unless a larger quire, say of ten leaves, was used, and the first four pages left blank (or used in part for a title or ornaments). It may also be suggested that the numerals may have been written, not immediately upon the making of the book, but after some leaves had been lost. Α more serious difficulty appears in the case of leaf 14. This should begin a new quire, and V should have preceded H; but the reverse is true. Yet there can be little doubt that pages 41-42 are in their proper relation to the rest. It is true that the exact connection of thought between one leaf and the next is not always obvious, since all the pages have lost a few lines at the bottom. But the connection between 40 and 41 is fairly satisfactory, and it is certain that the page which I have numbered 41 really precedes 42. Not only is the sequence of the contents natural, but the outline of the leaves, with the erosion of the margins taken into consideration, shows that page 41 lay next to page 40 as indicated in the table. We can only suppose that the first leaf of the quire beginning after leaf 13 was laid down, not as usual with the V side, but with the H side underneath. Such irregularities are not unknown, as Schubart has shown (Das Buch bei den Griechen und Römern², p. 130).

There are now no conjugate leaves; but a suspicious smoothness of the inner margin of certain leaves near the middle of the quire makes it very probable that some sheets which were in one piece at the time of the discovery were cut apart by the finders in order to facilitate division. This is particularly noticeable in the middle sheet of the quire, now divided into leaves 7 and 8. The same thing seems to have been done with the Beatty manuscript of the Epistles of Paul; cf. Sanders, A Third-Century Papyrus Codex of the Epistles of Paul, p. 7.

As usual with fragmentary codices, the best preserved leaves are those in or near the middle of the quire, and from them we get a fairly accurate idea of the size of the sheets in their original state. Page 24 may be taken as typical. It is now 23.8 cm. high and 13.5 wide; the upper margin, which is well preserved, is 2 cm.; and the left-hand or outer margin is even broader, 2.2 to 2.4 cm. But the inner margin is surprisingly narrow; in fact, measurement of leaves which must have formed part of one sheet shows that the space allowed between the columns of writing was not more, sometimes even less, than that occupied by the outer margins. Page 24 has lost no more than two lines, estimated by retranslating the Ethiopic into Greek. Allowing for them and for a lower margin of the same depth as the upper, the original height of the sheet would be almost exactly 27 cm. Its width was about the same, possibly a few millimetres more. Thus the book when closed would have been tall in proportion to its width, like the Michigan Hermas and other papyrus codices.¹

The columns of writing appear to have been about 23 cm. high, and in width the average is 11 cm. or a little less. The righthand margins are often uneven, since the scribe encroaches upon them at will, sometimes lessening the size of the letters and crowding them together. The number of lines on a page seems to have varied from 41 to 46; pages 21–25, where we can be fairly sure about the actual length, run from 44 to 46, but 41 would have sufficed for pages 17 and 18. Page 15 is exceptional; only 34 lines are preserved and three would be enough to bridge the gap between the bottom of this page and the top of the next. The leaf has suffered damage at the top also, but it can scarcely have lost more than two lines there. Its original length I should place at 39 lines. The number of letters in the lines varies rather widely, from 26 to 35; there are many lines of 28, 30 and 32 letters.

The size of the pages enables us to draw some conclusions about the original extent of the book. In the first place it is most unlikely that it ever contained the entire group of writings known as the Book of Enoch, which would have made a very large codex. Even if the page numbering is not original, we have no reason to believe that the numerals were added after a large codex had been reduced to a small fraction of its former bulk. Further, the homily of Melito is more likely to have been included in a book of selections or of short works than to have

¹See the paragraph on tall codices in Bonner, A Papyrus Codex of the Shepherd of Hermas, pp. 6-7.

been added to a complete copy of Enoch. Assuming, then, that the numerals give us a true indication of the part lost at the beginning, we can compare the contents of our manuscript with the space occupied by the corresponding Ethiopic text in an edition without footnotes, such as Dillmann's. This calculation shows that if the first fourteen pages contained only Enoch. page 1 must have begun in the long chapter 89, probably about That is an unlikely point, being near the middle of a verse 51. major division; and our papyrus would have needed several more leaves to carry the text back to chapter 83, where that division It is more likely that our selection from Enoch began begins. with Charles's Section V, chapter 91. But in that case there would have been room for some other short writing before the text of Enoch began.

Along with the Beatty leaves there are three noteworthy fragments that belong to the same manuscript. One is quite small, containing a few words from three lines in the middle of a column. The recognizable words might belong either to Enoch or to Melito; the fact that a herring-bone pattern is drawn under the bottom line, as if to indicate the end of a work, favors Melito, since the close of Enoch, with its ornamented subscription, is preserved. But, as we have seen, it is probable that the codex contained still other material, and the closing words just mentioned may belong to some third work. In fact, the existence of a third work in this manuscript is indicated by the other two fragments, which are somewhat larger, one with eighteen, the other with twelve incomplete lines, in each case from the middle of a column. The text on the recto of the larger one contains reminiscences of Ps. vi. 1, while the verso imitates or paraphrases several verses of Ezek. xxxiv. The character of the smaller fragment is not certain, but the word $d\nu \epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \nu a$ suggests a vision. The style of these fragments is not that of Melito. and no passages corresponding to them have been found in the Ethiopic Enoch. They appear to belong to some other prophetic or apocalyptic writing which was also a part of the manuscript. It has seemed best to withhold them from publication for the present in the hope that the texts may be identified, or at least that their literary relations may be more definitely established. There is a considerable number of very small fragments containing only a few letters each which belong to various manuscripts of the Beatty collection; of these, in the time at my disposal, I could make only a hasty search. With Sir Frederic Kenyon's assistance, I picked out eight which appeared to belong to the Enoch-Melito codex, but since not one of them supplies any part of the lost margins of the extant leaves, they are negligible for the purpose of this edition. One deserves mention for a special reason, and I transcribe it.

 Recto
 Verso

]ηρα[
]αενωχ'γρα[

]ησκ[
].εργωνκαισ[

].ει[
]

The interest of this fragment lies in the occurrence on the verso of the name Enoch in connection with what must be an inflectional form or a derivative of $\gamma_{\rho}\dot{\alpha}\phi\omega$. Since our manuscript probably never contained more of the Book of Enoch than the concluding section (chapter 91 to the end, without 105 and 108) the places to which the fragment could be assigned are few. The name of Enoch occurs in chapters 106-107, but they are preserved except for brief gaps into which this piece does not The other occurrences of it are in chapters 91-93; and for fit. the name Enoch in conjunction with the idea of writing, chapter 92. 1. "The book written by Enoch," etc., would seem to be the most likely place. But $\xi_{\rho\gamma\omega\nu}$ corresponds to nothing in the Ethiopic version of that passage, and even if we allow for considerable differences between the Greek text and the Ethiopic version, it is impossible to place the fragment there with any confidence. The other passages where the name occurs (91, 3; 93, 1 and 3) are no better. Still, it is in the introductory verses, such as 92, 1 and 93, 1, that discrepancies between the Greek and the Ethiopic tradition are most likely to occur. The most that can be said is that if the fragment does belong somewhere in chapters 91–93, it slightly enhances the probability that other leaves-perhaps those covering 91 to 97, 6, where our text begins -may exist and may be produced in time.

The suggestion that the part taken from Enoch in this manuscript began with chapter 91 is related to another question, the name of the work. Dr. Charles has shown¹ that it was referred to by various titles; and the variety is undoubtedly accounted for. at least in part, by the different character and different dates of the several writings that compose it. The part contained in our manuscript is called The Letter of Enoch in the subscription (p. 12 of the manuscript) and the writer refers to "this letter" (where the Ethiopic has "book") in chapter 100, 6. This title is not appropriate for the whole Book of Enoch, and certainly does not suit the visions of Section IV (chapters 83-90). But it is not unsuitable as applied to Section V, chapters 91 ff.; compare the opening words of chapter 92, which Charles considers to have been originally the beginning of this division of the book: "The book written by Enoch... for all my children who dwell on earth."

PALAEOGRAPHY, PUNCTUATION, ABBREVIATIONS

The whole manuscript of Enoch and Melito was written by one scribe; the few corrections, which are confined to single letters or syllables, were made by the first hand. The ink is a good black, and the strokes are rather thick, as if made with a coarse pen. Although sufficiently clear, the slightly sloping uncial hand is somewhat irregular and crude, certainly not the work of an accomplished scribe. The base line is not always carefully followed and there is much variation in the size and spacing of the letters. As to their forms, the following points may be noted. Alpha is angular; the cross-stroke is occasionally neglected, or very slightly indicated, so that the letter is not easily distinguished from lambda. Epsilon leans forward; the middle stroke projects beyond the top. Mu is usually quite broad, and the middle bay is deep. Xi is made with two strokes. occasionally with three. Omicron varies considerably; in a few places it is so small as to be a mere dot; usually it is slightly smaller than the rest of the letters, but sometimes quite up to their scale. It is notably large only when it begins a line and

¹ The Book of Enoch (1912), Introd. p. xii.

paragraph. The loop of rho is small; sometimes it is no more than a thickening of the top, and the letter could be read as iota, except that iota extends only slightly if at all below the baseline. Sigma is small and sometimes leans over almost to the base-line. The oval of phi is narrow, angular at the ends, and is often sharp-pointed. The cross-stroke of psi is usually straight, not curved or inclined upwards. Omega varies from the shallow, almost flat-bottomed form common in the third century, to a deeper form with high middle stroke and strongly recurved ends.

The hand may be confidently assigned to the fourth century. It bears a certain general resemblance to P. London 46, a magical codex which is also of that century, although the scribe of the magical book uses a more irregular hand with numerous abbreviations, and some cursive forms and ligatures. The Enoch papyrus shows few cursive tendencies, and the letters are not usually connected. The principal exception is epsilon, which is often connected with a following iota or tau, and occasionally with the top-stroke of xi.¹

Of the theological abbreviations, $\overline{\kappa s}$, $\overline{\kappa v}$, $\overline{\kappa \omega}$, $\overline{\kappa v}$ occur, also $\overline{\pi \rho s}$ in two passages (p. 11, 23, p. 12, 14), in neither of which is the reference to God. $\theta\epsilon\delta s$ was apparently not abbreviated, if $\nu\psi\iota\sigma[\tau\sigma\upsilon\,\theta\epsilon]\sigma\upsilon$ is rightly read at p. 3, 28. $\overline{\pi\nu a}$ occurs for $\pi\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\tau a$, p. 8, 8, but seems more naturally taken as singular at p. 4, 28, though there the Ethiopic employs a plural; in this case $\overline{\pi\nu a}$ refers to a spirit or spirits of error, which together with the use of $\overline{\pi\rho s}$ for human fatherhood indicates a lax use of the theological abbreviations. $\pi\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\sigma\iota$ is written in full, p. 11, 28. Aside from these cases the only other abbreviation is the stroke above a vowel at the end of a line to indicate final nu.

Marks of punctuation occur sparingly; I have noted only two examples of the high point (p. 6, 6; 10, 20). Pauses are several times indicated by a vacant space, varying in width from two to six letters. In some cases where the pause occurs about the

¹ From this point on the text will be cited by the numbers of the pages actually preserved, without reference to their original numbering, and by the lines of the pages, wherever any verbal or palaeographical peculiarity is mentioned, and in general for the more minute references; otherwise by the chapters and verses.

middle of the line, the whole of the remainder is left blank. There is one instance (p. 6, 19) in which a single letter-space seems to have been left intentionally after a question, but there is no mark. In some denunciatory paragraphs where a line begins with obal $\delta\mu\hat{i}\nu$, the omicron is written very large and thrust out one space into the margin.

The scribe has an odd mannerism of leaving three or four letterspaces vacant before the word $\chi alpenv$ in the clause $oi\kappa \ eoriv$ $i\mu i\nu \chi alpenv$. One might suppose at first glance that it was a naive way to indicate impressive emphasis, but he leaves no space in the phrase $oi\kappa \ eoriv \ i\mu i\nu \ d\nu a\pi a i\sigma ai$; compare p. 4, 24 with p. 4, 29. The explanation is simple. It was a common practice of letter-writers to separate the words $\pi\lambda \epsilon i\sigma \tau a \ \chi alpenv$ or other formulas containing the word $\chi alpenv$ by wide spaces; see for example Michigan Papyri III, Nos. 214, 217. Our scribe was accustomed to writing private letters and carried his habit over when copying a religious text.

At the end of the work there is a high point followed by a paragraph sign, a mark which occurs also in Melito's homily. The subscription $E\pi\iota\sigma\tauo\lambda\eta$ is partly framed by double strokes above and below some of the letters. Below the subscription there is a line with short diagonal strokes making a sort of herringbone pattern, and on each side an ornament suggesting a hanging lamp made of horizontal strokes with two irregular curves at the top and one below.

Although vowels are several times elided, the apostrophe is used only once to indicate elision (p. 2, 8). But it is used often after κ or γ preceding another consonant, and also in Hebrew names ending in consonants which do not stand in final position in Greek; so $Ma\theta ov\sigma \dot{a}\lambda\epsilon\kappa'$, $\Lambda\dot{a}\mu\epsilon\chi'$, 'I $\dot{a}\rho\epsilon\dot{o}$ '. It may be noted that the scribe uses for these purposes not only a mark similar to the modern apostrophe, but the high point as well. There is an anomalous instance, $\pi a \gamma \iota \cdot \delta \epsilon \iota$, dative of $\pi a \gamma i s$ (p. 8, 21), which suggests that the point served to indicate an accented syllable; but the scribe uses no accents elsewhere and this case is probably due to some misunderstanding.

Neither breathings nor instances of iota adscript occur. The diaeresis is generally but not always placed over initial ι and ν .

INTRODUCTION

The ν movable is almost always used before both vowels and consonants. It is omitted before vowels twice (p. 1, 21, p. 11, 29), and before a consonant once (p. 4, 5). $o \ddot{v} \tau \omega$, without the final sigma, stands before a vowel in the only passage where the word occurs (p. 1, 30).

SPELLING AND GRAMMATICAL FORMS

The spelling of the papyrus is decidedly bad, the errors being of much the same sort as those found in the Akhmim manuscript of chapters 1–32. Most of them are due to the tendency of the later speech to reduce to a single sound two or more vowels or diphthongs which the earlier language distinguished and represented by different symbols. The commonest error is the writing of at for ϵ , which occurs about fifty times; ϵ is written for at about one-fourth as often. Very common also is ϵ_i for i, both short and long: ι for $\epsilon \iota$ occurs about half as often. The scribe felt no difference between o and ω ; the erroneous writing of one for the other is about equally divided between the two possibilities. Much less common errors are ι for ϵ , p. 8, 31 ($\epsilon\iota\delta\iota\nu\alpha\iota$); ε for ι, p. 5, 18 (κορεων for κόριον); ε for η, p. 7, 19 $(a \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \theta \eta)$; n for ϵ , p. 6, 18 ($\pi o_i n \sigma_n \tau \epsilon$); and a for o, p. 7, 36 ($a \pi o_i a$ for $\delta \pi o_i a$). The error $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{a}s$ for $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{a}s$ (p. 9, 7) does not necessarily represent a confusion of sound between v and η .

The diphthong $o\iota$ is written for v once (p. 4, 1) and for ov once (p. 4, 25). The latter case shows that, in order to be confused with $o\iota$, ov must have approached the sound of v; cf. Mayser I, p. 118. v appears once for $o\iota$ (p. 3, 23). ov is written for ω in $\pi\lambda avovr\omega v$ (for $\pi\lambda av\omega \tau \omega v$, p. 4, 19), and ω for ov in $o\mu o\iota\omega s$ (for $\delta\mu olovs$, p. 11, 28). The same confusion, rather than laxity in syntax, probably accounts for the apparent interchange of the third person plural of future indicatives and aorist subjunctives in sigma, as on p. 10, 8 and 14.

Errors in the use of consonants are less common. There are seven cases in which a single λ , ρ , or σ does duty for the doubled letter. θ is written for τ in $\lambda a \theta \rho e \nu [o \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ (p. 4, 3), τ for δ , $\tau o \tau \epsilon$ for $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon$ (p. 12, 5), ζ for σ in $\eta \lambda \pi \iota \zeta a \mu \epsilon \nu$ (p. 8, 32). γ disappears between vowels in $\kappa \rho a \nu \eta$ for $\kappa \rho a \nu \gamma \eta$ (p. 9, 19), and is erroneously developed in place of ι in a yurar (p. 3, 20); γ is written for κ in upodiyuu (p. 9, 35).

The scribe appears to have found a special difficulty in apprehending by ear or in pronouncing to himself certain groups of consecutive consonants, to judge by such errors as the omission of the ρ of $\delta_{ia\sigma\tau\rho\acute{e}\phi\nu\tau\epsilon s}$ (p. 3, 20) and the second ρ of $\delta\rho\theta\rho\omega\nu$ (p. 5, 5) and the θ of $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rhooi$ (p. 8, 38); on the other hand he inserts ρ after γ in $\gamma a\sigma\tau\rho i$ (p. 3, 33). He omits the π of $\dot{\nu}\pi\nu\dot{\omega}\sigma\sigma\nu\sigma\iota\nu$ (p. 5, 20) and the τ of $\sigma\nu\nu\tau\alpha\chi\theta\epsilon\nu$ (p. 7, 11) and $\pi\lambda a\nu\dot{\omega}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ (p. 4, 19).

Aspiration is neglected in $o\dot{\nu}\kappa \ \epsilon\dot{\nu}\rho\dot{\eta}\kappa a\mu\epsilon\nu$ (p. 8, 29), and transposed in $\kappa a\theta\epsilon\sigma\tau\bar{\omega}|\tau\omega\nu$ for $\kappa a\tau\epsilon\sigma\theta\delta\nu|\tau\omega\nu$ (p. 9, 6). Elision is neglected in $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\sigma}\ \dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ (p. 1, 17), where the fact that the two words are separated by a line-ending may explain the irregularity.

Vulgar forms of inflection are not numerous; $\gamma \nu \nu a \hat{\kappa} a \nu$ (p. 10, 18 and 22), $\dot{a}\pi \epsilon \theta \dot{a}\nu o \sigma a \nu$ (p. 7, 25 and 28, p. 8, 16), $\epsilon \dot{i} \delta o \sigma a \nu$ (p. 8, 15). But $\dot{a}\pi \dot{o}\theta a \nu o \nu$ for $\dot{a}\pi \dot{\epsilon}\theta a \nu o \nu$ occurs also (p. 7, 38), a solitary instance of the omission of the syllabic augment. An irregular future of $a \dot{i} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ appears in $\dot{a}\nu a \phi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \hat{i}$ (p. 1, 4), and a quite unknown future middle of $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a} \nu \omega$ ($\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda a \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$, p. 9, 21). Some byform of $\sigma \nu \nu \tau a \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$ such as $\sigma \nu \sigma \theta \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$ or $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \rho \dot{a} \zeta \omega$ (?) seems to underlie the corrupt reading of p. 7, 10. Such forms as $\kappa a \tau \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \omega$ for $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \dot{\omega}$ (p. 9, 6 and 24), $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\eta} \mu a \nu a$ and $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu a \nu \sigma \nu$ (p. 11, 22, p. 12, 10), and $\pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ (a doubtful reading in p. 7, 32) are well established in the Koine.

The future middle of $\kappa o \mu i \zeta \omega$ appears in the Attic-Ionic form instead of the Hellenistic form with σ (p. 5, 30).

In the matter of consonant assimilation the scribe's practice is not uniform. Before a guttural, ν is assimilated only at p. 8, 6. It stands unchanged before π in $\sigma\nu\nu\pi\alpha\sigma\alpha\nu$ (p. 7, 9), but we find $\epsilon\mu\mu\epsilon\sigma\sigma$ for $\epsilon\nu$ $\mu\epsilon\sigma\omega$ (p. 1, 6). Before σ , ν is dropped in $\sigma\nu\sigma\tau\rho\alpha-\phi\eta\sigma\sigma\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ (p. 5, 13), retained without assimilation in $\sigma\nu\nu\sigma\epsilon\iota\omega\mu\epsilon\nuo\iota$ for $\sigma\nu\sigma\sigma\epsilon\iota\omega\mu\epsilon\nuo\iota$ (p. 7, 7); but the division of the word after $\sigma\nu\nu$, which stands at the end of a line, may account for the latter instance. Before λ , ν is assimilated in $\sigma\nu\lambda\lambda\alpha\beta\eta\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$ (p. 9, 21).

The division of words at the end of lines is regular except that when σ stands before a consonant it is several times retained with

the preceding vowel rather than carried over to the following consonant, as in $\pi \rho o \epsilon \chi \epsilon \sigma | \theta \epsilon$ (p. 3, 23; other examples on p. 7, 28, 30 and 36); but $\epsilon \pi \iota | [\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi o \upsilon] \sigma \iota \nu$ (p. 3, 35) and $\delta \kappa o \upsilon | \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ (p. 9, 19).

In addition to the numerous irregularities in spelling and grammar, which cause an editor little trouble, almost every page exhibits errors of a more serious sort which show that the scribe was often drowsy or inattentive, and suggest that he understood his text imperfectly. Letters and even syllables are often omitted, as in $\sigma a \rho \kappa \langle i \nu \rangle o v s$ (p. 11, 29), $\langle \kappa a \rangle \tau \dot{a} \xi o v \sigma i \nu$ (p. 8, 19), and there are many other blunders impossible to classify or explain. In several passages the papyrus presents a hopelessly corrupt reading, and reconstruction of the text is difficult; see the notes for full discussion of these problems. It is worthy of remark that while orthographic errors are very numerous in the part of the manuscript occupied by Melito's homily, there are no examples of meaningless corruptions such as several that stand in the text of Enoch, e.g. $\tau ov \mu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ (p. 7, 20), $\kappa a \nu \kappa \eta \mu$ (p. 7, 34), It would seem likely, therefore, that with all $\eta \mu a \theta \nu [$ (p. 8, 38). his slovenliness our scribe is not responsible for the worst corruptions in the text of Enoch; it is fairly certain that the manuscript from which he copied was itself corrupt or else almost illegible in some places.

Regarding that manuscript one or two small points of information can be gathered from the character of the errors in our papyrus. Like ours, the source evidently had examples of ρ written with so small a loop that it was liable to be confused with ι ; compare $a\iota\mu a$ for $\ddot{a}\rho\mu a$ (p. 5, 9), $\beta\rho a\zeta o\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$ for $\beta\iota a\zeta o\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$ (p. 9, 23). The reading $\epsilon\nu\kappa\epsilon\kappa\cdot\lambda a\mu\mu\epsilon\nu a$ (p. 8, 4) indicates that the omicron of the original was sometimes so small as to be mistaken for a mere dot or point, which is sometimes the case in our papyrus. ν and η were sometimes confused, as in $\kappa a]\tau a\beta o \sigma \iota \eta$ for $\kappa a \tau a \beta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ (p. 6, 7).

At p. 3, 34 there is a curious reading which probably shows that the writer was familiar with Coptic. He wrote $\rho\iota\tau\iota[]$ where $\rho\iota\psi[ov\sigma\iota\nu]$ is required. The psi made with a straight cross stroke is identical in form with the Coptic \uparrow (*ti*), and in fact the Copts used not only their special symbol \uparrow , but also **T** to indicate the ti sound. Our scribe seems, quite unconsciously, to have substituted the Coptic sound suggested to him by the form of the Greek psi for the actual letter which stood in his copy.

On the whole, I incline to think that the immediate source of our papyrus was a not much older manuscript, probably of the third century.

SYNTAX, STYLE AND VOCABULARY

A proper study of the syntactic, stylistic and verbal peculiarities of the Greek Enoch would necessarily take into consideration the chapters extant at the beginning of the book (1-32) as well as those published here, and this is not the place for an exhaustive investigation. Radermacher has listed the principal points of interest to grammarians in the Greek text of chapters 1-32 in a brief appendix (pp. 149–151) following the register of Greek words in the Flemming-Radermacher translation. In the following paragraphs I call attention to several peculiar features of chapters 96–107; others not entered here may be studied with the aid of the notes and the Index of Greek Words, which is intended to supplement this discussion.

Under the head of modal phenomena there is a case of $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu$ with subjunctive to express a wish relating to the future (p. 10, 6-9). The future indicative is once used in a clause of fear (p. 10, 41). $o\dot{\nu} \mu\dot{\eta}$ is found about equally often with the future indicative and the aorist subjunctive (see Index, $\mu\dot{\eta}$). $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\iota s o\dot{\nu}$ occurs with the aorist infinitive in a clause referring to the future (p. 5, 6), but $\dot{\epsilon}\omega s o\dot{\nu}$ with subjunctive in a parallel construction a few lines farther on (p. 5, 19). In a prohibition introduced by $\mu\dot{\eta}$ we find present and aorist imperatives and aorist subjunctives in coördinate clauses (p. 9, 38-41).

Infinitives of purpose occur twice (p. 5, 15, 32) and there are three epexegetical infinitives (p. 4, 16; p. 5, 3; p. 10, 16). An infinitive with $\tau o\hat{v}$ is used after $\phi o\beta o\hat{v} \mu a\iota$ (p. 6, 14).

The translator shows the same lack of sensitiveness to distinction of tenses which marks the Greek of the Septuagint and the New Testament, as in $\tau\epsilon\theta\epsilon$ aµaı καὶ $\epsilon\sigma\eta\mu$ aνa (p. 11, 22) and in **τότε** $\tau\epsilon\theta\epsilon$ aµaı after a series of aorists (p. 12, 3). Aorists and perfects are mixed in the indicative (p. 8, 27-30), presents and a orists in the infinitive (p. 7, 33-34) and in the subjunctive (p. 10, 6-9).

A periphrastic future with $\xi \sigma o \mu a \iota$ and present participle occurs in two passages (p. 6, 21; p. 7, 7-8).

In the clause $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu \dot{a}\pi\sigma\sigma\tau\epsilon i\lambda\eta\tau a\iota \tau \delta\nu \theta\nu\mu\delta\nu \ a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\hat{\upsilon} \ \dot{\epsilon}\phi' \dot{\nu}\mu\hat{a}s$ (p. 6, 19) there is an instance of the transitive middle of $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\sigma\tau\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$, which appears to be without parallel. The circumstance that the verb acts upon that which belongs to the subject might seem to class it as an indirect reflexive middle; but the use may be simply an example of imperfect discrimination between the active and middle voices.

The use of the prepositions is best studied with the aid of the index; but the following points are worthy of remark. While $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$ occurs several times, $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ appears in the papyrus only in compounds; but there is one instance of the preposition in the earlier part of the Greek text (chapter 9, 7). I have restored $\pi a\rho\dot{\alpha}$ in a lost margin (p. 1, 27) to go with a dative, but there is no other instance either in the Akhmim manuscript or the final chapters. The not very common use of $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota$ with dative to express cause occurs three times (p. 5, 37–38; p. 7, 26). Attention may also be called to the phrases $\dot{\epsilon}\pi' \dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\epsilon\epsilon i$ (p. 10, 7, 9) and $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota \mu i\hat{\alpha}s$ (p. 4, 13; see note). After $\pi\rho\delta$ s the form $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$ is used three times (p. 11, 1, 6, 17); but $[\pi\rho\delta s] \mu\epsilon$ seems to have been written once (p. 11, 4).

Forms of $\pi \hat{a}s$ followed by a negative with the verb occur in two passages where classical Greek would have used *oideis* (p. 4, 7; p. 7, 37).

 $\delta_{\iota}\delta_{\tau\iota}$ is used once as a direct interrogative (p. 3, 1).

There are some peculiar arrangements of conjunctions, such as $\epsilon i \kappa \delta \nu a [s \ \delta \rho \gamma v] \rho \hat{a} s \ \kappa a \lambda \rho \nu \sigma \hat{a} s$, $\xi v \lambda i \nu a s \ \tau \epsilon \ [\kappa a \lambda \lambda \theta i \nu a s]$ (p. 4, 1–2), and $\phi \hat{\omega} s \ \kappa a \lambda \sigma \kappa \delta \tau o s$, $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \ \kappa a \lambda \nu v \xi$ (p. 9, 36). It may be that Hebrew parallelism accounts for them.

Although opinions may differ about individual examples, there are several instances of $ai\tau \delta s$ in the nominative case with a certain emphasis, but also with an approach to the demonstrative character which this pronoun has in Modern Greek; cf. p. 3, 11; p. 6, 35; p. 7, 27; p. 10, 14; p. 11, 38.

As might be expected, the Greek version of Enoch exhibits many of the irregularities in the use and the omission of the article which characterize the Greek of the LXX and the N.T. There are examples of the tendency, mentioned by Thackeray (Grammar of the O.T. in Greek, p. 24), "where a genitive is dependent on another noun, to use the article with both or with Thus we find $\phi \rho \rho \nu i \mu \omega \nu \lambda \delta \gamma \rho \nu s$ (p. 4, 15), $\epsilon \nu \delta \delta \rho s$ neither." δικαιοσύνης (p. 4, 17), εἰς ἡμέραν ἀπωλείας (p. 2, 30), εἰς ἡμέραν κρίσεως (p. 2, 33; cf. 5, 14), έν ημέρα ανάγκης (p. 5, 29), but έν τη ημέρα της κρίσεως (p. 9, 24–25). Yet there are several cases which do not conform to this rule, such as eis $[\chi \in \partial x$ for $\tau = 0$ by $\delta = 0$. έν άφροσύνη της καρδίας (p. 4, 8), άπὸ προσώπου τοῦ μεγάλου (p. 8, 9), τόν λόγον κυρίου (p. 11, 24). Another sort of variation in the use or omission of the article is exemplified in the prophecy on p. 5, 7. where we read $\delta_{ia\pi o \rho \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \tau a i}$ in the parallel construction two lines below, $\tau \delta$ $\sharp \rho \mu a \dots \kappa a \tau a \beta \hbar \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$; and there is a similar inconsistency in the long $i d \nu$ clause beginning on p. 6, 7.

The verb $\lambda \alpha \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$, "to work in stone," "make of stone" (p. 4, 12) does not appear in the lexicons, nor does $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \phi \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ (p. 1, 4). $\pi \rho o \epsilon \chi o \mu \alpha \iota$ (p. 3, 23) is used in a sense which the lexicons do not record, "offer" (of a petition); nor do they attest $\kappa \delta \rho \iota o \nu$ (p. 5, 18) in the sense of "the pupil of the eye," though $\kappa \delta \rho \eta$ is well known in this meaning.

THE TEXT

Since the Beatty-Michigan papyrus is the sole Greek text of the last chapters of Enoch, its errors and defects must be corrected chiefly by the use of the Ethiopic version, the unique Latin fragment being a brief and unsatisfactory abridgment of a single chapter (106). This situation presents difficulties which must be faced frankly. Obviously the reconstruction of the Greek text in its corrupt portions could be confidently attempted only by a scholar who had an equal command of both Greek and Ethiopic. I know nothing of Ethiopic, and little of any Semitic language. How large this deficiency may bulk in the eyes of an Orientalist is shown by Dr. R. H. Charles's query about Radermacher's edition of the Greek text of the opening chapters: "How is a purely classical scholar to edit a Greek text which is Greek in vocabulary, but largely Semitic in idiom?"

There can be no satisfactory answer to that question. But many new texts would wait a long time if their owners or custodians were obliged to defer publication until all the desirable qualifications were found combined in the person of one editor. Even if this papyrus text of Enoch could be placed in the hands of an Orientalist well trained in Greek, it is not certain that the ordinary discipline of classical and biblical Greek would serve his purpose. Not to mention the experience in papyrology necessary for determining the reading of damaged passages, a late papyrus manuscript is likely to present peculiar problems concerned with the behavior of the language as transmitted by scribes who spoke and often wrote a vulgar form of Greek. Further, expert knowledge of the Semitic languages sets its own particular pitfalls in the path of an editor of a Greek text derived from a Semitic language; see, for example, the natural but mistaken conclusion about the text of Chapter 101, 4 which Halévy (followed by all later editors) reached by comparing the Ethiopic with the supposed Hebrew original.

I have thought it proper to proceed with the undertaking. making full acknowledgment of the above-mentioned deficiency. and taking what precautions I could against errors. Fortunately there are good translations of the Ethiopic available, and a careful comparison of them rarely leaves the meaning of a passage in doubt, unless there is reason to suspect corruption in either the Ethiopic manuscripts or the Greek papyrus or in both traditions. Naturally I have made most use of the translation of Charles. which, by the kind permission of the Delegates of the Clarendon Press, I quote freely, and usually without specific acknowledgment in the individual passages. Sometimes the legitimate freedom of which Charles availed himself for literary reasons leaves some minor point in doubt; in such cases I have substituted a more literal phrasing suggested by the renderings of Flemming and Martin. I have made less use of Beer's translation, citing it chiefly when opinion is divided.

After careful study of these translations into western languages there remained a considerable number of passages where I was in doubt whether a rendering was close or free, whether an Ethiopic word could convey a shade of meaning not brought out by the translators, or, in a few instances, whether a reading passed without notice by the translators might not bear a significant relation to the Greek text. Here I must make grateful mention of the help that I have received from Professor W. H. Worrell, who has very kindly examined the doubtful passages at my request and given me the benefit of his opinion. Occasionally it has not been found convenient to make special acknowledgment of his aid ad locum, as in cases where he merely returned an affirmative or negative answer to some question of mine. But as a rule I have introduced his name in parentheses by way of acknowledging his assistance. He should not be held responsible for any statement about the Ethiopic text except those so marked, nor for any erroneous inferences that I may have drawn from information supplied by him.

Many of the readings of the Ethiopic manuscripts are of no interest to an editor of the Greek text. I have cited more of them than necessary, in the belief that they would help the reader to form an idea of the character of the translation as compared with the Greek source: and it will be manifest that, while faithful in intent, it has many faults, omitting here, expanding there, and in general committing numerous errors. Yet there are not a few places in which it preserves a reading better than that of the Greek papyrus. Another reason for citing variations in the Ethiopic manuscripts is that the reader may observe again a phenomenon which has now become familiar to workers in Greek literary papyri, namely, that an early text is seldom if ever found to ally itself consistently with any individual or family among the later manuscripts or versions of the work in question. Charles and Flemming have recognized two classes among the twentynine Ethiopic manuscripts: first, a small group of earlier and in general better ones (GGaMQTU),¹ and second, the remainder,

¹ I have used the majuscule symbols adopted by Flemming in preference to the italic minuscules used by Charles, and have also followed Flemming in using I, II as symbols for the two families. A complete list of the manuscripts and descriptions of the more important ones are to be found in Charles's *The Book of Enoch* (1912), pp. xx-xxvii.

which are later, have been "revised" by native scholars, and contain corruptions of many kinds. The division between the two families is not clean-cut, since certain members of family I (especially TU) are often found aligned with family II.

In general, the evidence of the papyrus upholds the distinction between Family I of the Ethiopic manuscripts, as the better group, and Family II, the large majority. Thus $o\dot{v}\chi i$ (p. 1, 21) supports I against II, which omits the negative and is wrongly followed by all the recent translators. Again, $o\dot{v} \kappa a\tau' \dot{\epsilon}\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}\mu\eta\nu$ (p. 4, 6) is correctly rendered by I, while II has "in heathen temples." $\mu \upsilon \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota a \kappa \omega s$ (p. 12, 14) agrees with I; "every secret thing" II. At p. 7, 30 GGaQ alone have preserved the verb "rise" which is in the Greek. There are several other places, of no great interest individually, which combine with the cases cited to give Family I the better ranking.

On the other hand, the papyrus agrees with Family II against most or all members of Family I in several important readings; so at p. 6, 20 kal $\epsilon \pi i \tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \gamma a \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, with T, II (+ "all" II), while I, represented by GaM, reads "because of your deeds" (+ "all" Ga). At p. 12, 5 $\mu \epsilon_{\chi} \rho_{i5}$ was read by T, II; "that" GGaMQ. The good group GGaQ is also wrong at p. 3, 7, where they have "no grave of yours shall be seen," while the predicate in the Greek is $o\dot{\nu} \ \mu \dot{\eta} \ \delta \rho \nu \gamma \hat{\eta}$, correctly rendered by the other manuscripts. A notable instance of the superiority of Family II (with T) is p. 5, 12, where they read $\epsilon \beta o \dot{\eta} \theta o \nu \nu$ with the papyrus; the others have "brought down." See also the note on p. 9, 30.

Although the average of accuracy shown by Family I is higher, it is clear that some excellent readings depend exclusively on Family II. Hence an eclectic method seems to be required in establishing the Ethiopic text, especially since some members of the first family often stand with the second, and single members of both families sometimes preserve the right reading against all the other manuscripts.¹

The notes call attention to several passages where the Ethiopic presents a better text than the Greek. They are chiefly cases where the Greek scribe has been led to omit words or sentences

¹See Charles, The Book of Enoch (1912), Introd. p. xxiv.

by the recurrence of similar endings. But the superiority of the longer text is not always beyond doubt; sometimes the Ethiopic translator seems to have padded his text, using two expressions in the effort to find a satisfactory rendering, or merely yielding to the temptation to insert a word or phrase which would sound well as a parallel to something in the true text. Yet the Hebrew fondness for paired members of discourse in poetical passages is not to be forgotten, and the fuller Ethiopic text may perhaps be defended in some of these cases as truer to the original than the Greek.

THE PLAN OF THE EDITION

Two purposes which were kept in view in preparing this manuscript for publication have made it advisable to depart slightly from the method usually followed in editing literary and ecclesiastical texts.

In the first place, in printing a text not previously known in a Greek version, and particularly a text which has suffered many corruptions and has been transmitted by an inferior scribe, it was necessary to present an exact transcript and indicate all gaps and all uncertain readings. This uncorrected transcript is placed on the left-hand pages of the edition. It has not seemed worth while to use an uncial type for this purpose, since the specialist will readily understand the palaeographical peculiarities which account for various confusions and errors. Words are not divided, abbreviations are not resolved, punctuation and spacing are indicated only where the papyrus actually has them. and in general an effort has been made to suggest the appearance of the manuscript. Gaps in the text are indicated by square brackets, which, when an accurate estimate is possible, enclose the number of dots corresponding to the letters lost. Dots are placed under mutilated letters only when the injury has reduced them to very small traces, or when some letter other than the one printed could be considered a possible reading.

Secondly, the editors of the series have rightly held that another text should be provided which would be useful, and not repellent in appearance, to readers unfamiliar with the technical conventions of papyrological publication. For this purpose it was of course necessary to separate words, expand abbreviations, and supply accents, breathings, punctuation, and capital letters for proper names. This is done in the text on the right-hand pages. But the scribe's carelessness and the numerous corruptions of the text make it necessary to go further; not only must many carelessly omitted letters, syllables, and even words and phrases be restored, but in some cases more drastic emendation is needed to make the text intelligible.

It has not been easy to decide how far this process of recension should be carried. To some critics the text may seem to have been treated too freely, while others may be disturbed by difficulties which I have not found it possible, or have not thought it prudent, to remove. More important than the effort to meet all criticisms is the choice of a method which will place the diplomatic data at the reader's disposal, and at the same time offer a text that is fairly readable and not unnecessarily encumbered with technical symbols. With this in view I have adopted the following procedure:

1) The well known and very common orthographic errors which arise from confusion of similar sounds are corrected in the revised text without comment in the footnotes; e.g. $a\iota \sim \epsilon$, $\epsilon\iota \sim \iota$, $o \sim \omega$, $o\iota \sim v$, $\tau \sim \theta$, and single consonants for double. The diplomatic transcript alone shows the actual writing of the papyrus in these cases. This plan seems to be justified by the fact that it relieves the footnotes of at least 200 entries.

2) Other minor errors due to the scribe's negligence and affecting single letters or diphthongs are similarly treated, but in several instances attention is called to the correction by a footnote, which might perhaps have been spared. With the transcript opposite it seems useless to disfigure the revised text with many instances of such devices as $\dot{a}\pi o\lambda\epsilon\hat{i}\{a\}\sigma\theta\epsilon$ or $\delta\iota\kappa aio\langle\iota\rangle$ s $\kappa ai \dot{o}\sigma(o\langle\iota\rangle$ s.

3) The insertion or omission by the editor of entire words or of combinations of two or more letters is indicated both by a footnote and by placing the words or letters concerned in angular brackets $\langle \rangle$ for insertions and braces { } for omissions.

4) In the corrected text dots are omitted from uncertain letters,

and in all but a few very doubtful cases missing parts of the text, chiefly on the margins of the leaves, have been restored within square brackets.

5) No special type or symbol has been used to mark major textual corrections of a kind that could not be indicated by brackets or braces; attention is always called to them in the notes. There are certain places where, in supplying lost letters, it was necessary also to emend unintelligible writing that stood just before the broken margin; consequently it was found impossible to use the square brackets without creating a false impression, as, for example, at p. 7, 34. In these passages the diplomatic transcript and the footnotes make the situation clear, and a question mark in the text serves as an additional warning.

6) Although as an aid in calculating the losses at the upper and lower margins I have regularly re-translated the Ethiopic version into Greek, I have refrained from printing these reconstructions in the text except in the obvious case of p. 1, 35, because experience has shown that they are misleading. Special reasons have occasionally led me to suggest such reconstructions in the notes; but it should be remembered that they are tentative and merely for purposes of illustration.

I am quite sure that I have not succeeded in following the procedure just outlined with absolute consistency, for the chances of error are great. However, the reader has at hand the material which will enable him to correct any misleading impressions which he might derive from the appearance of the revised text.

Besides their use to explain the reading of the papyrus, the notes report whatever seems essential about the Ethiopic version and attempt, as far as possible, to explain its relation to the Greek text. Discussion of some grammatical and lexicographical points was unavoidable, and it is hoped that the treatment of these matters may be of service to students of the language of the period.

Since I have minutely examined the Beatty leaves as well as those belonging to the University of Michigan, the responsibility for all statements about the readings of the manuscript is mine only; but all the preliminary work upon the Beatty leaves was done by Sir Frederic Kenyon. Shortly after the acquisition of
INTRODUCTION

those leaves he sent me a provisional transcript, following this later with a revised transcript and a partially corrected text embodying many supplements and emendations of his own. together with several admirable suggestions made by the late Provost of Eton, Dr. M. R. James. With Sir Frederic Kenvon's approval I have adopted the obvious corrections and supplements entered upon his transcripts without calling special attention to them in the notes. The more important supplements and emendations made by him and by Dr. James are credited to their authors in every case except a few in which, working on the provisional transcript, I had arrived independently at the same result. In some instances, while incorporating into the text a supplement or correction of my own, I have mentioned a different suggestion of Kenvon's: this I have done for the natural reason that in these doubtful passages the reader may well prefer Kenvon's view to mine.

In preparing the Michigan leaves for publication I have had the valuable aid of Mr. H. C. Youtie, Research Associate in Papyrology, who has revised my transcript and corrected my readings in many places, and has made many contributions to the notes, particularly in connection with linguistic peculiarities. When a text has been the subject of frequent discussions extending over a considerable period, it is not always possible to assign credit at every point where help has been given; it must suffice to say that Mr. Youtie's keen vision and sound scholarship have influenced this work for the better in many places besides the comparatively few in which his name is expressly mentioned.

LIST OF PRINCIPAL WORKS CITED

In the introduction and notes the following works are cited by the name of the author and reference to volume and page, but without full titles.

- Abel, Le P. F.-M., Grammaire du grec biblique. Suivie d'un choix de papyrus. Paris, 1927. (Études bibliques.)
- Bauer, Walter, Griechisch-deutsches Wörterbuch zu den Schriften des Neuen Testaments und der übrigen urchristlichen Literatur. Zweite, völlig neu gearbeitete Auflage zu Erwin Preuschens Vollständigem griechisch-deutschem Handwörterbuch zu den Schriften des Neuen Testaments und der übrigen urchristlichen Literatur. Giessen, 1928.

- Beer, G., see Kautzsch.
- Bonner, Campbell, A Papyrus Codex of the Shepherd of Hermas. Ann Arbor, 1934. (University of Michigan Studies, Humanistic Series, Vol. XXII.)
- Charles, R. H., The Book of Enoch or 1 Enoch. Oxford, 1912.
- Crönert, Wilhelm, Memoria Graeca Herculanensis. Lipsiae, 1903.
- Dillmann, August, Liber Henoch Aethiopice ad quinque codicum fidem editus. Lipsiae, 1851.
- Flemming, Joh., and Radermacher, L., Das Buch Henoch. Leipzig, 1901. (Die griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller der ersten drei Jahrhunderte.)
- Hatzidakis, G. N., Einleitung in die neugriechische Grammatik. Leipzig, 1892.
- Helbing, Robert, Die Kasussyntax der Verba bei den Septuaginta. Ein Beitrag zur Hebraismenfrage und zur Syntax der Κοινή. Göttingen, 1928.
- Jannaris, A. N., An Historical Greek Grammar. London, 1897.
- Johannessohn, Martin, Der Gebrauch der Präpositionen in der Septuaginta. Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. Philologisch-historische Klasse, 1925, Beiheft.
- Kautzsch, E., Die Apokryphen und Pseudepigraphen des Alten Testaments. Zweiter Band. Die Pseudepigraphen. Tübingen, 1900. Pp. 217-310, Das Buch Henoch (G. Beer).
- Liddell, H. G., and Scott, R., A Greek-English Lexicon. A new edition revised by Henry Stuart Jones. Oxford, 1925-. Cited as L. and S.
- Ljungvik, Herman, Beiträge zur Syntax der spätgriechischen Volkssprache. Skrifter utgivna av K. Humanistiska Vetenskaps-Samfundet i Uppsala. 27:3. Uppsala, 1932.
- Martin, François, Le Livre d'Hénoch traduit sur le texte éthiopien. Paris, 1906. (Documents pour l'étude de la Bible: Les apocryphes de l'Ancien Testament.)
- Mayser, Edwin, Grammatik der griechischen Papyri aus der Ptolemäerzeit. 2 volumes. Leipzig, 1906, 1926–33.
- Preisigke, Friedrich, Wörterbuch der griechischen Papyrusurkunden, mit Einschluss der griechischen Inschriften, Aufschriften, Ostraka, Mumienschilder, usw. aus Ägypten. 3 volumes. Berlin, 1925–31.
- Preuschen, Erwin, see Bauer, Walter.
- Radermacher, Ludwig, Neutestamentliche Grammatik. Handbuch zum Neuen Testament, herausg. von Hans Lietzmann. I. Zweite Auflage. Tübingen, 1925.
- Robertson, A. T., A Grammar of the Greek New Testament in the Light of Historical Research. New York, 1914. (Fourth edition, 1923.)
- Thackeray, Henry St. John, A Grammar of the Old Testament in Greek according to the Septuagint. Volume I. Cambridge, 1909.
- Thompson, Edward Maunde, An Introduction to Greek and Latin Palaeography. Oxford, 1912.
- U. P. Z. = U. Wilcken, Urkunden der Ptolemäerzeit (ältere Funde). Erster Band. Berlin, 1927.

INTRODUCTION

Winer, Georg Benedict, Grammatik des neutestamentlichen Sprachidioms. Achte Auflage neu bearbeitet von D. Paul Wilh. Schmiedel. I. Theil. Göttingen, 1894.

SYMBOLS

- 6 The Greek Text.
- E The Ethiopic Text.
- I Family I, the older group of Ethiopic manuscripts.
- II Family II, the remainder of the Ethiopic manuscripts.

Single Ethiopic manuscripts are cited by the capital letters used to designate them in Flemming's edition.

[*LE*]

]ωνται []οιλογοιτωνανομιωνϋμωνεν	97, 6
	[]τουμεγαλουαγιουκαταπροσωπον	
5	[.]μωνειτανεφελειταπανταεργαταμε [.]ασχονταεντηανομια	
Ū	[·]ναιϋμινοιαμαρτωλοιεμμεσοτησθαλα σησκαιεπιτησξηρασοντεσμνημοσυνον	7
	εισυμασκακων ουαιϋμινοικτομε	8
10	νοιχρυσιονκαιαργυριονουκαποδικαι οσυνησκαιερειταιπλουτωπεπλουτηκα	
	μενκαιταϋπαρχονταεσχαμενκαικε	
	κτημεθακαιπανοεανθελησομενποι	9
	ησομενοτιαργυριοντεθησαυρικαμē εντοισθησαυροισημωνκαιαγαθαπολ	
15	λαενταισοικιαισημωνκαιωσυδωρεκ	
	σχιστεπεπλανησθαιοτιουμηπαρα	10
	μεινηοπλουτοσϋμωναλλαταχυαπο υμωνοτιαδικωσπαντακεκτησθαι	

2 So **E** except G which has "all your unrighteousness."

3 $\tau o \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \lambda o \nu \dot{a} \gamma \dot{l} o \nu$: so GGaMQN; "the great and holy one" TU, II (except two manuscripts). For $\kappa a \tau \dot{a} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ seems to have read $\kappa a \boldsymbol{l} \ \tau \dot{o}$ and supplied a verb, translating "your face shall blush with shame."

4 ειτανεφέλει: apparently for εἶτ' ἀναφελεῖ. ἀναφαιρέω does not appear in the lexicons, but in 2 Kings (Sam.) iv. 7 the first hand of B has καὶ ἀναφαιροῦσιν τὴν κεφαλήν where most MSS read ἀφαιροῦσιν. Sophocles cites ἀναφαίρεμα = ἀφαίρεμα, oblation, from the anonymous life of Nilus the Younger (ca. 1000). It is also possible that ν was wrongly inserted into an original $\epsilon i \tau a \dot{a} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$. Evidence for the future $-\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ is presented in Mayser, I, 357–8 and Winer-Schmiedel, §13, 5. In this instance the idea of "remove" seems to pass into that of "destroy, reject." "He will reject every work" GQT, "every work shall be rejected" MU, II.

5 "Grounded on unrighteousness" $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$. 6 $\langle oi \rangle$: Kenyon.

7 **£** has "whose remembrance is evil against you" (Charles) or "euch verderblich ist" (Flemming), "whose" apparently referring to "sea and land" (Worrell).

9 "Silver and gold" E.

10 πλούτ ω : om. GU.

32

p. 1

[*ι*ε]

p. 1	καὶ ἀναγνωσθήσ]ονται	
-	[πάντες] οἱ λόγοι τῶν ἀνομιῶν ὑμῶν ἐν-	97, 6
	[ώπιον] τοῦ μεγάλου ἁγίου κατὰ πρόσωπον	-
	[ὑ]μῶν· εἶτ' ἀναφελεῖ τὰ πάντα ἔργα τὰ με-	
5	[τ]ασχόντα ἐν τῆ ἀνομία.	
	[0]ὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ἁμαρτωλοὶ <οἱ> ἐν μέσῳ τῆς θαλάσ-	7
	σης καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς ξηρᾶς ὄντες· μνημόσυνον	
	εἰς ὑμᾶς κακόν. οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ κτώμε-	8
	νοι χρυσίον καὶ ἀργύριον οὐκ ἀπὸ δικαι-	
10	οσύνης, καὶ ἐρεῖτε, πλούτῳ πεπλουτήκα-	
	μεν καὶ τὰ ὑπάρχοντα ἐσχ⟨ήκ⟩αμεν καὶ κε-	
	κτήμεθα, καὶ πῶν δ ἐἀν θελήσωμεν ποι-	9
	ήσωμεν, ότι ἀργύριον τεθησαυρίκαμεν	
	έν τοῖς θησαυροῖς ἡμῶν καὶ ἀγαθὰ πολ-	
15	λὰ ἐν ταῖς οἰκίαις ἡμῶν, καὶ ὡς ὕδωρ ἐκ-	
	κέχυται. πεπλάνησθε, ὅτι οὐ μὴ παρα-	10
	μείνη ὁ πλοῦτος ὑμῶν, ἀλλὰ ταχὺ ⟨ἀπελεύσεται⟩ ἀπὸ	
	ύμῶν, ὅτι ἀδίκως πάντα κέκτησθε	

11 $\epsilon \sigma \chi \langle \eta \kappa \rangle a \mu \epsilon \nu$: Kenyon.

12 "And possess all that we desire, and now let us do what we purposed" \mathbf{E} , a text which probably arose from a double rendering of $\delta \,\epsilon d\nu \, \theta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ with the preceding as well as with the following verb.

13-17 The Greek text is sound except for the orthographic error $\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda a\nu\eta$ σθαι and the corrupt $\epsilon\kappa\sigma\chi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon$. $\epsilon\kappa\kappa\epsilon\chi\nu$ ται is certainly right, cf. l. 28 below and Sir. xxx. 18, άγαθὰ $\epsilon\kappa\kappa\epsilon\chi\nu\mu\epsilon\nu$ a $\epsilon\pi$ στόματι κεκλεισμένω. The corruption probably followed these steps: $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\chi\nu$ ται, $\epsilon\kappa\sigma\chi\nu\tau\alpha\iota$, $\epsilon\kappa\sigma\chi\iota\sigma\tau\alpha\iota$.

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ reads "for we have gathered silver and our granaries are full as with water, and many are the husbandmen in our houses. And like water shall your lie flow away, for your wealth shall not abide with you," etc. $\theta\eta\sigma av$ - ρoi can mean either treasuries or granaries, and it may be that the original of \mathbf{E} read in l. 14 kal $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ roîs $\theta\eta\sigma av\rhooîs \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\dot{\omega}s$ to $\omega\rho$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\chi v \tau a\iota$, the last three words introduced from below. MQT, II place "and" after "full," reading "and many as water are the husbandmen," etc. "Husbandmen," which had already excited some suspicion (Beer), may represent a corruption of $\dot{\alpha}\gamma a\theta\dot{\alpha}$ to something like $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\dot{\alpha}\tau a\iota$.

17 (ἀπελεύσεται): Kenyon. "Will ascend" or "will fly away" **Ξ**. 18 πάντα: om. GaQUN.

	καιημισεισκαταρανμεγαληνπαρα	
20	[]θησεσθαικαινυνομνυωυμιντοισ	98, 1
	[]ονιμοισκαιουχειτοισαφροσιοτιπολ	
	[]ψεσθαιεπιτησγησανομιασοτικαλ	2
	[]περιθησονταιανδρεσωσγυναικεσ	
	[]χρωμαοραιωνϋπερπαρθενουσ	
25	[]ιλειακαιμεγαλωσυνηκαιενε	
	[]αεσονταιδεαργυριονκαιχρυσιον	
	[]αυτοισεισβρωματακαιενταισοι	
	[]αυτωνωσυδωρεκχυθησονται	
	[]ηεπιστημηναυτουσμηδεφρο	3
30	[]εναναυτωαπολισθεκοινωσ	
	[]ντωνυπαρχοντωνϋμων	
	[]πασησδοξησκαιτηστιμησ	
	[]ε[.]σατιμειανκαι[]μωσι[
	[]με[.]αληντ[
	Four lines are lost	

Four lines are lost.

[15]

p. 2

επιτην[ποιαφεαυτων[.].....[μεγαληναφιξονταιοιποιουντεσ[98, 4

19 ημις: l. ὑμεῖς.

21 oùxi: so GGaQT; om. MU, II.

22 \mathcal{E} apparently read $\pi o\lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ and omitted $\dot{a}\nu o\mu i as$, translating "you shall see many things."

23 ävdres: "ye men" E. $\dot{\omega}s \gamma \nu \nu a \hat{\iota} \kappa \epsilon s$: "more than a woman" E.

24 $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu o \upsilon s$: "a virgin" **E**.

26-28 \mathbf{E} omits $\mathbf{i}\sigma\sigma\nu\tau\alpha\iota$, translating "in silver and in gold and in purple, in honor and in food they shall be poured out as water."

29-30 "Therefore they have no knowledge nor wisdom" **E**. The text of the papyrus is certainly corrupt. Kenyon

proposed $[o\dot{\nu} \mu\dot{\eta} \delta\hat{\omega}] \dot{\epsilon}\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}\mu\eta\nu a\dot{\nu}\tau o\hat{c}\hat{s}$ $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon} \phi\rho\delta[\nu\eta\sigma\iota\nu \mu\eta\delta]\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}a\nu$, which fits the spaces well; but \mathbf{E} gives no hint of $\delta\hat{\omega}$, and the trace before $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\mu\eta\nu$ is more like η than ω . I have therefore combined a suggestion of James with Kenyon's $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}a\nu$ and read $[\delta\iota\dot{\epsilon} \tau\delta \mu]\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\mu\eta\nu$ a $\dot{\nu}\tau o\dot{s} \mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\phi\rho\delta[\nu\eta\sigma\iota\nu \mu\eta\delta]\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}a\nu \langle \ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu \rangle$. As to $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}a\nu > \mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nua\nu$, the error is of a sort that this scribe might easily have committed.

30 auto has been corrected to outo by a small omicron placed above alpha. $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\theta\epsilon$: "they shall perish" $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$.

	καὶ ὑμεῖς εἰς κατάραν μεγάλην παρα-	
20	[δο]θήσεσθε. καὶ νῦν ὀμνύω ὑμῖν τοῖs	98, 1
	[φρ]ονίμοις καὶ οὐχὶ τοῖς ἄφροσι, ὅτι πολ-	
	[λàs ὄ]ψεσθε ἐπὶ τῆs γῆs ἀνομίαs [.] ὅτι κάλ-	2
	[λos] περιθήσονται ἄνδρες ώς γυναῖκες,	
	[καί] χρώμα ώραῖον ὑπὲρ παρθένους,	
25	[ἐν βασ]ιλεία καὶ μεγαλωσύνη καὶ ἐν ἐ-	
	[ξουσί]ą. ἕσονται δὲ ἀργύριον καὶ χρυσίον	
	[παρ'] αὐτοῖς εἰς βρώματα, καὶ ἐν ταῖς οἰ-	
	[κίαιs] αὐτῶν ὡς ὕδωρ ἐκχυθήσονται	
	[διὰ τὸ μ]ὴ ἐπιστήμην αὐτοὺς μηδὲ φρό-	3
30	[νησιν μηδ]εμίαν (ἕχειν). οὕτω ἀπολεῖσθε κοινῶς	
	[μετὰ πά]ντων (τῶν) ὑπαρχόντων ὑμῶν	
	[καὶ τῆs] πάσης δόξης καὶ τῆς τιμῆς	
	[ὑμῶν καὶ] ϵ[ἰ]s ἀτιμίαν καὶ [ἐρή]μωσι[ν	
	[καὶ σφαγὴν] με[γ]άλην τ[ὰ πνεύματα ὑμῶν εἰs	
	[τὴν κάμινον τοῦ πυρὸς ἐμβληθήσεται.]	
	Four lines are lost.	

[15]

p. 2 ἐπὶ τὴν [γῆν οὐκ ἀπεστάλη ἀλλ' αὐτὴν οἱ ἄνθρω- 98, 4
 ποι ἀφ' ἐαυτῶν [ἔκτισαν καὶ εἰs κατάραν
 μεγάλην ἀφίξονται οἱ ποιοῦντες [αὐτήν.

31 $\langle \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \rangle$: Kenyon.

33-34 There is hardly space for three letters before the μ of $\epsilon p \eta \mu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$. Perhaps ϵ was omitted because of the similar sound of the preceding $a\iota$. In spite of the injuries to these lines, the restoration is fairly certain; cf. \mathbf{E} "and in shame and in slaughter and in great destitution their spirits shall be cast into the furnace of fire." Verse 4 begins "I have sworn unto you, ye sinners, as a mountain has not become a slave, and a hill does not become the handmaid of a woman, even so sin has not been sent upon the earth," etc. In addition to 35, three more lines were lost at the bottom of this page.

1-2 The bracketed words follow Ξ closely, but l. 1 is rather long; perhaps $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ was omitted. Note that the papyrus is not broken away completely after $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ as the corrected text alone would suggest, but the bottoms of several letters remain. They are not characteristic enough to justify printing the restorations without brackets.

	καιστειραγυναικειουκεδοθηαλ[
5	αεργατωνχειρωνοτιουχωρισθηδο[
	ειναιεηδουληνανοθενουκεδο[
	αλλαεκκαταδυναστειασεγενετο ο[
	ουδεηανομιαανωθενεδοθηαλ'εκ
	παραβασεωσ ομοιωσουδεστειρα
10	γυνηεκτισθηαλεξιδιωναδικημα
	τωνεπετειμηθηατεκνιαατεκνοσ
	αποθανειται ομνυωϋμιναμαρ
	τωλοικατατουαγιουτουμεγαλουοτιταερ
	γαϋμωνταπονηραεστεανακεκαλυμ
15	μεναεντωουρανωουκεσταιϋμινε[
	γοναποκεκρυμμενοναδικονμηΰ[
	λαβηταιτηψυχηϋμωνμηδεϋπολ[
	βηταιτηκαρδιαϋμωνοτιουγεινωσ[
	σινουδεβλεπουσινουδετααδικημ[
20	ταϋμωνθεωρειταιουδεαπογραφε[

4-12 E contains no allusion to slavery. nor is the subject of sin resumed, as in lines 8-9. For this whole passage it has only "and barrenness has not been given to the woman, but on account of the deeds of her own hands she dies without children." In the papyrus this seems to be represented by κal στειρα τών χειρών (4-5) and άτεκνος άποθανειται (11-12); the intervening words were omitted by the Ethiopic translator or had disappeared from the Greek copy used by him. But the Greek text presents serious difficulties. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\iota} \rho a$ means barren, not barrenness. Hence various courses are possible: (1) reading $\sigma \tau \epsilon l \rho a \gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa l$ we may insert $\dot{a}\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu ia$ after $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\delta\theta\eta$, as Kenvon suggested; but this reading does not explain the transition to the subject of slavery in l. 5. (2) Reading $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \rho a$ we may assume a longer omission after $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\delta\theta\eta$, e.g. $\dot{a}\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu la$, $o\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ δούλη δουλεία; but in that case barrenness as well as slavery should be mentioned in the clause after $\dot{\omega}\rho i\sigma\theta\eta$. (3) Recognizing that no treatment of the text which retains $\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota\rho a$ in l. 4 can escape difficulties, we may regard $\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota\rho a$ as an old error for $\delta ov\lambda\epsilon i a$, which may have become illegible. The presence of this $\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota\rho a$ in the original of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ would facilitate the omission of $\delta\tau\iota \ldots \dot{a}\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu i a$ (5-11).

I would therefore reconstruct 4-12 as follows: καὶ δουλεία γυναικὶ οὐκ ἐδόθη, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὰ ἔργα τῶν χειρῶν ὅτι οὐχ ὡρίσθη δούλην εἶναι δούλην ἄνωθεν οὐκ ἐδόθη, ἀλλὰ ἐκ καταδυναστείαs ἐγένετο. ὁμοίως οὐδὲ στεῖρα γυνὴ ἐκτίσθη, ἀλλ' ἐξ ἰδίων ἀδικημάτων ἐπετιμήθη ἀτεκνία ⟨καἰ⟩ ἅτεκνος ἀποθανεῖται. ὁμοίως οὐδὲ ἡ ἀνομία ἅνωθεν ἑδόθη, ἀλλ' ἐκ παραβάσεως.

Another treatment of 11-12 consists in placing a pause after $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta \theta \eta$ and

5

6

5	καὶ δουλεία (στειρα pap.) γυναικὶ οὐκ ἐδόθη ἀλ[λὰ δι- ὰ (τὰ) ἔργα τῶν χειρῶν· ὅτι οὐχ ὡρίσθη δο[ύλην	5
	εἶναι {εη} δούλην [.] ἄνωθεν οὐκ ἐδό[θη άλλὰ ἐκ καταδυναστείας ἐγένετο. δ[μοίως	
	αλλα εκ καταδυναστείας εγενετο. Ο μοίως οὐδὲ ἡ ἀνομία ἄνωθεν ἐδόθη ἀλλ' ἐκ	
	στοε η ανομιά ανώσεν έσου η ακκι εκ παραβάσεως. δμοίως οὐδὲ στεῖρα	
10	γυνή έκτίσθη άλλ' έξ ίδίων άδικημά-	
	των έπετιμήθη άτεκνία (καί) άτεκνος	
	άποθανείται. όμνύω ύμιν άμαρ-	6
	τωλοί κατὰ τοῦ ἁγίου τοῦ μεγάλου ὄτι τὰ ἔρ-	
	γα ὑμῶν τὰ πονηρὰ ἔσται ἀνακεκαλυμ-	
15	μένα έν τῷ οὐρανῷ· οὐκ ἔσται ὑμῖν ἕ[ρ-	
	γον ἀποκεκρυμμένον ἄδικον. μὴ ὑ[πο-	7
	λάβητε τη ψυχη ύμων μηδε ύπολ[ά-	
	βητε τῆ καρδία ὑμῶν ὅτι οὐ γινώσ[κου-	
	σιν οὐδὲ βλέπουσιν οὐδὲ τὰ ἀδικήμ[α-	
20	τα ὑμῶν θεωρεῖται, οὐδὲ ἀπογράφε[ται	

construing $\delta \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu i a \, \tilde{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu o s \, \delta \pi \sigma \theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\tau} \tau a \iota$ "she shall die utterly childless," like $\theta a \nu \delta \tau \varphi \, \delta \pi \sigma \theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\tau} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, Gen. ii. 17. But the "Hebrew" dative seems to be usually connected directly with a verb, not with adjectives. But see Moulton, Grammar of N. T. Greek, II. p. 444, citing P. Oxy. I, 5, 16.

The transposition of the two $\delta\mu o\ell\omega s$ clauses, 7–9 and 9–12, brings about a more logical arrangement of the thought, the conclusion that the sinner makes his own choice closing the argument and leading naturally to the denunciation in §6. This reconstruction ignores the corrupt $\epsilon\eta$ in l. 6. Kenyon suggested obx $\dot{\omega}\rho\ell\sigma\theta\eta$ $\delta o[\partial\lambda\sigma\nu]$ $\epsilon \ell\nu a\iota$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta o \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta \nu$, but the masculine seems out of place here. I have also considered obx $\dot{\omega}\rho\ell\sigma\theta\eta$ $\delta o[\dot{\nu}\lambda\eta\nu]$ $\epsilon \ell\nu a\iota$ kal $\delta o \nu \lambda \epsilon i a$ $\delta \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ obk $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \theta \eta$, but rejected it as involving more changes. $\langle \tau d \rangle$ in l. 5 was supplied by Kenyon. At the end of 1. 7 otrues would do away with the unpleasant repetition of $\delta\mu\sigma i\omega s$. But if v had been in the lost margin its lower part should be visible in the interlinear space, which is preserved; but this is not the case.

13 "The Holy Great One" GQTU: "the Holy and Great One" GaM, II. 14 έσται: "are" **Ε**.

15 $\not\in$ has "and" after "heaven." $\xi\sigma\tau a\iota$: "are" $\not\in$.

16 "Covered and hidden" E.

17 For the second $\dot{\upsilon}\pi o\lambda \dot{a}\beta\eta\tau\epsilon \in$ has "say."

18-19 "Ye know not" and "Ye see not" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$, continuing with "that" as if $\delta \tau \iota$ stood in place of $o \iota \delta \dot{\epsilon}$. $\tau \dot{a} \dot{a} \delta \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$: "every sin" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$.

20 **E** omits $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu \theta\epsilon\omega\rho\epsilon\hat{\tau}a\iota ob\delta\dot{\epsilon}$, adds "every day" with $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\epsilon\tau a\iota$, omits $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{a}$ and adds "in heaven" before

	αυταενωπιοντουϋψιστουαποτου[8
	επιγνωταιοτιπαντατααδικημ[
	μωναπογραφονταιημερασεξ[
	μεχριτησκρισεωσϋμων	
25	ουαιϋμιναφρονεσοτιαπολε[9
	αφροσυνηνϋμωνκαιτων[
	ουμηακουσηταικαιτααγαθ[
	παντησειυμινταδεκακα[
	ϋμασκαινυνγεινωσκεταιοτ[10
30	ϋμινεισημεραναπολειασ[
	ταισωθηναιαμαρτωλοιαπ[
	αποθανεταιγεινωσκοντε[
	ταιεισημερανκρισεωσμ[
	νωχωρειασμειζωνοστ[
35	Ουαιϋμινοισκληροτραχη[11
	[.]αρδιαποιουντεστοκα[
	[]ενϋμινεσο[

Four lines are lost.

ένώπιον.

21 άπό τοῦ νῦν: cf. Preuschen-Bauer s. v. νῦν, 3b, and Johannessohn, p. 278.

22 $\delta \delta \kappa \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$: "violence" or "oppression" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$, which adds "which ye commit;" but that clause is lacking in Ga. (Worrell).

23 Read $\eta\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha\nu$ $\xi\xi$ $\eta\mu\epsilon\rho\alphas$, comparing p. 8, 32, Gen. xxxix. 10, Esther iii. 7. 24 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ inserts "the day" before $\kappa\rho\ell\sigma\epsilon\omegas$. 26 $\phi\rho\sigma\nu\ell\mu\omega\nu$ $\lambda\delta\gamma\sigma\nus$ is read in the similar passage p. 4, 15; but $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ does not translate $\lambda\delta\gamma\sigma\nus$ here, and since the first part of the line is broadly written, the extra word is not needed.

27 ακούσητε: similarly T, II, "Ye

hear not;" "know not" M, "see not" Q. GGa have a verb which means forget, and hence neglect, disregard, offend against (Worrell).

28 $\dot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\nu\tau\hat{\alpha}\nu$ is more commonly used of troubles and misfortunes; cf. 1 Kings (Sam.) xxviii. 10, Job iv. 12, Sir. xxxiv. (xxxi). 22, xxxvi. (xxxiii.) 1; but cf. p. 7, 19. I supply $\pi\epsilon\rho i\xi\epsilon_i$, cf. Ps. xxxix. (xl.) 13; but $\kappa\alpha\theta\xi\epsilon_i$ (Kenyon) is also possible, cf. Job xv. 24, Jerem. vi. 24. The clause $\tau\dot{a}$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{a}s$ is omitted in **E**. 29 $\eta\tau\sigma(\mu\alpha\sigma\tau\alpha\iota)$: cf. "know that ye

are ready" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$. The impersonal passive is strange here, but it is probably to be restored in 32 also. A part of

39

αὐτὰ ἐνώπιον τοῦ ὑψίστου. ἀπὸ τοῦ [νῦν ἐπιγνῶτε ὅτι πάντα τὰ ἀδικήμ[ατα ὖ- μῶν ἀπογράφονται ἡμέραν ἐξ [ἡμέρας μένοι σθο κοίσεις ὑμῶν	8
οὐαὶ ὑμῖν ἄφρονες ὅτι ἀπολε[ῖσθε διὰ τὴν ἀφροσύνην ὑμῶν καὶ τῶν [φρονίμων	9
παντήσει ὑμῖν, τὰ δὲ κακὰ [περιέξει	10
ύμῖν εἰς ἡμέραν ἀπωλείας. [μἡ ἐλπίζε- τε σωθῆναι, ἁμαρτωλοί ἀπ[ελθόντες	
ται eis ἡμέραν κρίσεως μ[εγάλης καὶ στε-	
Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ σκληροτράχη[λοι τῆ [κ]αρδία ποιοῦντες τὸ κα[κὸν καὶ ἔσθον- [τες αῖμα· πόθ]εν ὑμῖν ἔσο[νται ἀγαθὰ ἴνα	11
	 ἐπιγνῶτε ὅτι πάντα τὰ ἀδικήμ[ατα ὑ-μῶν ἀπογράφονται ἡμέραν ἐξ [ἡμέρας μέχρι τῆς κρίσεως ὑμῶν. οὐαὶ ὑμῖν ἄφρονες ὅτι ἀπολε[ῖσθε διὰ τὴν ἀφροσύνην ὑμῶν καὶ τῶν [φρονίμων οὐ μὴ ἀκούσητε καὶ τὰ ἀγαθ[ὰ οὐκ ἀ-παντήσει ὑμῖν, τὰ δὲ κακὰ [περιέξει ὑμᾶς. καὶ νῦν γινώσκετε ὅτ[ι ἡτοίμασται ὑμῖν εἰς ἡμέραν ἀπωλείας. [μὴ ἐλπίζε-τε σωθῆναι, ἁμαρτωλοί ἀπ[ελθόντες ἀποθάνετε, γινώσκοντε[ς ὅτι ἡτοίμασται εἰς ἡμέραν κρίσεως μ[εγάλης καὶ στενοχωρίας μείζονος τ[οῖς πνεύμασιν ὑμῶν. Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ σκληροτράχη[λοι τῆ [κ]αρδία ποιοῦντες τὸ κα[κὸν καὶ ἔσθον-

Four lines are lost.

the first two letters of $\delta \tau \iota$ has been broken away and wrongly attached to the end of the following line.

30-31 "Do not hope to live" $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$. The translator usually employs "live," "life" for $\sigma\omega\zeta\epsilon\sigma\sigma\theta a\iota$, $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho ia$.

31 $\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\lambda\theta b\nu\tau\epsilon s$ seems to be required by \mathbf{E} : "ye shall depart and die."

32 One might expect $\dot{a}\pi o \theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, though the imperative is possible. Eadds "for ye know no ransom," which may indicate that $\lambda \dot{v} \tau \rho a \mu \dot{\eta}$ has been lost before $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa o \nu \tau \epsilon s$. For $\ddot{\sigma} \iota \iota$ $\dot{\eta} \tau o \ell \mu a \sigma \tau a \iota$ cf. 29.

33-34 kai $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu o \chi \omega \rho las \mu \epsilon l \zeta o \nu os$: "and for the day of tribulation and great

shame" **E**.

35 Omicron is entirely, and upsilon partly, to the left of the ordinary margin, and both letters are larger than the average.

37 The remainder of verse 11 and the beginning of verse 12 are as follows in \mathcal{E} : "Whence have ye good things to eat and to drink and to be filled? From all the good things which the Lord the Most High has placed in abundance on the earth; therefore ye shall have no peace. Woe to you who love the deeds of unrighteousness," etc. Four lines of the Greek text are lost.

iČ

р. З	[]σαδικιασδιοτιελπιδασκα[98, 12
-	[].νυνγνωστονϋμινεστωοτιεισ	
	[]ωνδικαιωνπαραδοθησεσθαικαι	
	[]κτενουσινϋμασκαιουμηφεισονται	
5	[.]μων ουαιϋμινοιεπιχεροντεσ	13
	[.]οισκακοιστωνδικαιωντογοσϋμωνου	
	μηορυγη ουαιϋμινοιβουλομε	14
	νοιακραιωσαιτουσλογουστωνδικαιων	
	ουμηγενηταιϋμινελπισσωτηριασ	
10		15
	λογουσπλανησεωσαυτοιγραφουσινκαιπολ	
	λουσαποπλανησουσιντοισψευδεσιναυ	
	<i>τωνπ</i> λανασθαιυμεισαυτοικαιουκεστιν	16
	ϋμιν χαιρειναλλαταχεωσαπολεισθαι	
15	ο Ουαιϋμινοιποιουντεσπλανηματακαι	99, 1
	τοισεργοιστοισψευδεσινλαμβανοντεσ	
	<i>τιμηνκαιδοξαναπολωλαταιουκεστιν</i>	
	ϋμινσωτηριανεισαγαθον	
	Ουαιυμινοιεξαλλοιουντεστουσλογουστουσ	2
20	•	

1 $\delta\iota\delta\tau\iota$: "why" **E**. $\delta\iota\delta\tau\iota$ appears to be direct interrogative, as in Judges v. 28, a passage called to my attention by H. C. Youtie. Schleusner defined it correctly in his *Thesaurus* (1820), but the grammars and vocabularies of biblical Greek have overlooked it. There is no need to resort to the obvious emendation $\delta\iota\dot{a} \tau l$.

2 The trace before $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ seems to be part of a vertical stroke, hence $\kappa a \lambda ds$ $\xi \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ is not quite satisfactory. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$ renders "Why do you hope for good for yourselves," which suggests $\kappa a \lambda ds$ $\xi \chi \epsilon | \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu}$.

4 "They will cut off your necks and slay you and have no mercy," etc. $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. 6 $\tau o \gamma os: \tau \dot{a} \phi os$ is certainly meant, but it is hard to explain the corruption. 7 $\delta\rho\nu\gamma\hat{\eta}$: "shall be seen" GGaQ.

8 ακραιωσαι: άγρεῶσαι. another form of $d\chi\rho\epsilon\iota\omega\sigma a\iota$, resembles the sound of the corrupt word very closely, and it was considered as a possible correction by James and Kenyon. But the word implies physical damage in the cases that I have found, and may be translated "mar," "mutilate," "destroy." A better sense is given by άκυρώσαι, "cancel," "make of no effect;" cf. 1 Esdr. vi. 31 (32), 8001 έλν παραβωσίν τι των γεγραμμένων καὶ \dot{a} κυρώσωσιν. "Ye who set at nought" E.

9 $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho\iota_{as}$: "life" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$, cf. 98, 10, and l. 18 below.

p. 3	[ἕργα τῆ]s ἀδικίαs·διότι ἐλπίδαs κα[λὰs ἔχε- [τε ὑμῖ]ν; νῦν γνωστὸν ὑμῖν ἔστω ὅτι εἰs [χεῖραs τ]ῶν δικαίων παραδοθήσεσθε καἰ [ἀπο]κτενοῦσιν ὑμᾶs καὶ οὐ μὴ φείσονται	98, 12
	5 [v]μῶν. οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ἐπιχαίροντες	13
	[τ]οîs κακοîs τῶν δικαίων· τάφοs ὑμῶν οὐ	
	μη όρυγη. οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ βουλόμ ε-	14
	νοι άκυρωσαι τούς λόγους των δικαίων	
	οὐ μὴ γένηται ὑμῖν ἐλπὶς σωτηρίας.	
	10 Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ γράφοντες λόγους ψευδεῖς καὶ	15
	λόγους πλανήσεως· αὐτοὶ γράφουσιν καὶ πολ-	
	λοὺs ἀποπλανήσουσιν τοῖs ψεύδεσιν αὐ-	
	τῶν· πλανᾶσθε ὑμεῖς αὐτοὶ καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν	16
	ὑμῖν χαίρειν, ἀλλὰ ταχέως ἀπολεῖσθε.	
	15 Ούαι ύμιν οι ποιούντες πλανήματα, και	99, 1
	τοῖς ἔργοις τοῖς ψευδέσιν λαμβάνοντες	
	τιμήν καὶ δόξαν ἀπολώλατε, οὐκ ἔστιν	
	ύμ ῖν σωτηρία εἰ ς ἀγαθόν.	
	Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ἐξαλλοιοῦντες τοὺς λόγους τοὺς	2
	20 άληθινούς, καὶ διαστρέφοντες τὴν αἰωνί-	

10 Here, as in l. 15, the O of Oial is in the margin.

11-13 $\pi\lambda\alpha\nu\eta\sigma\epsilon\omega$ s: "of the godless" **E**. The translator usually employs godless, godlessness, or phrases containing these words, to translate $\pi\lambda\dot{\alpha}\nu\eta$, $\pi\lambda\dot{\alpha}\nu\eta\sigma\iota$ s, $\pi\lambda\alpha\nu\dot{\alpha}\omega$ —a theological specialization of the notion of error.

Note the change from the second to the third person, a habit which was probably the writer's own mannerism, since it occurs often in both \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{E} . In the words corresponding to airoi $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi o \nu \sigma \iota \nu \dots \tau \sigma \hat{\iota} \psi \epsilon \iota \dot{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu a \dot{\upsilon} \pi \ddot{\omega} \nu \mathbf{E}$ is probably corrupt: "they write down their lies that men may hear and forget the other." The change to future in l. 12 suggests the possibility that the original of \mathbf{E} , and possibly the true Greek text, had $l\nu a \pi o\lambda \lambda o \dot{v} \dot{s} \dot{a} \pi o \pi \lambda a \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ corresponding to the purpose clauses in \mathbf{E} . 13 $\pi \lambda a \nu \hat{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots \kappa a \dot{\iota}$: om. \mathbf{E} .

14 $\chi a i \rho \epsilon i \nu$: "they shall have no peace" $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$.

15 In the next few lines there is confusion of second and third persons in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$; compare 19-22 below and note on 11-13.

16-17 $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\gamma o\iota s$: perhaps an error for $\lambda \delta \gamma o\iota s$, cf. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$, "praise and glorify lying words." $\delta \pi o \lambda \omega \lambda a \tau \epsilon$: "ye shall perish" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$.

18 $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho\iotaa\nu$: error for $\sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho\iotaa$.

20 διαστεφοντες, αγωνιαν: corrected

ανδιαθηκηνκαιλογιζομενοιεαυτουσ	
αναμαρτητουσεντηγηκαταποθησονται	
[]τεετυμαζεσθαιοιδικαιοικαιπροεχεσ	3
θαιτασεντευξεισϋμωνεισμνημοσυνο ν	
[.]ιδοτεαυτασενδιαμαρτυριαενωπιον	
[.]ωναγ'γελλωνοπωσεισαγαγωσιντααμαρ	
[]ματατωναδικωνενωπιοντουϋψισ	
[]ουεισμνημωσυνονκαιτοτεσυν	4
[]θησονταικαιανασταθησονταιεν	
[]ααπολιαστησαδικιασ εναυτω	5
[]ρωαικεινωετικτουσαιεκβαλου	
[]αιεκπασουσινκαιενκαταλιψουσιν	
[]νβρεφοσκαιαιενγραστρειεχου	
[]υσινκαιεθηλαζουσαιριτι	
[]νααυτωνκαιουμηεπι	
[]σ.ινεπιτανηπιααυτωνου	
[]ζονταουδεμηφεισονται	
	αναμαρτητουσεντηγηκαταποθησονται []τεετυμαζεσθαιοιδικαιοικαιπροεχεσ θαιτασεντευξεισϋμωνεισμνημοσυνον [.]ιόοτεαυτασενδιαμαρτυριαενωπιον [.]ωναγ'γελλωνοπωσεισαγαγωσιντααμαρ []ματατωναδικωνενωπιοντουϋψισ []ουεισμνημωσυνονκαιτοτεσυν []ουεισμνημωσυνονκαιτοτεσυν []θησονταικαιανασταθησονταιεν []ααπολιαστησαδικιασ εναυτω []ρωαικεινωετικτουσαιεκβαλου []ρωαικεινωετικτουσαιεκβαλου []μβρεφοσκαιαιενγραστρειεχου []νβρεφοσκαιαιενγραστρειεχου []γααυτωνκαιουμηεπι []σινεπιτανηπιααυτωνου

by Kenyon. The substitution of γ for ι between vowels (less common after back than front vowels), is due to the phonetic tendency illustrated in Mayser, I, p. 168; but his example of $\kappa\lambda\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega$ from the Louvre papyrus is now differently read, see U.P.Z., I, 78; see also Thackeray, I, p. 113. For *aliav* becoming $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega\nu$ in a Coptic text, cf. Winlock-Crum, The Monastery of Epiphanius, I, 243 (Worrell).

21-22 **65** here corrects the obviously wrong reading of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$, "make themselves into what they were not, sinners."

καταποθήσονται: "shall be trodden upon the earth" (i.e. καταπατηθήσονται) **Ε**. But compare the story of Korah, Dathan and Abiram, Num. xvi. 32, ήνοίχθη ή γη καὶ κατέπιεν αὐτούs, and Ex. xv. 4, κατεπόθησαν ἐν ἐρυθρậ θαλάσση (B). 23 $\pi\rho o \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$: evidently "offer," but this meaning is not listed in L. and S. nor in Preisigke. "To raise your prayers as a memorial" (Charles) \mathbf{E} . 28-29 $\kappa a \dot{\epsilon}$ $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ $\sigma v \nu [\tau a \rho a \chi] \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \tau a \epsilon$: "and in those days the nations shall be stirred up" \mathbf{E} ; similarly before $\dot{a} \nu a$ - $\sigma \tau a \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \tau \tau a$ \mathbf{E} has a subject lacking in \mathbf{G} , "the families of the nations."

30 $\tau \hat{\eta}s$ àducias: om. \mathbf{E} except MT "of sin," Q "of sinners."

32 εκπασουσιν: the simplest approach to an intelligible text is ἐκ(σ)πάσουσιν. Kenvon's Owing to the difficulty of pronouncing three consonants, σ may have dropped out here; there are examples of this error in manuscripts ARU of Ps. xxi (xxii). 10, σύ εί ό έκσπάσας με έκ γαστρός. But the sense produced by this emendation is not satisfactory. In the passage just cited from the Psalms

	αν διαθήκην, καὶ λογιζόμενοι ἐαυτοὺs	
	άναμαρτήτους [.] ἐν τῆ γῆ κα ταποθήσονται.	
	[τό]τε έτοιμάζεσθε οἱ δίκαιοι καὶ προέχεσ-	3
	θε ταs έντεύξεις ύμων είς μνημόσυνον,	
25	[δ]ίδοτε αὐτὰς ἐν διαμαρτυρία ἐνώπιον	
	[τ]ῶν ἀγγέλων, ὅπως εἰσαγάγωσιν τὰ ἁμαρ-	
	[τή]ματα των άδίκων ένώπιον τοῦ ὑψίσ-	
	[του θε]οῦ εἰς μνημόσυνον· καὶ τότε συν-	4
	[ταραχ]θήσονται καὶ ἀνασταθήσονται ἐν	
30	[ἡμέρ]α ἀπωλείας τῆς ἀδικίας. ἐν αὐτῷ	5
	[τῷ και]ρῷ ἐκείνῳ αι τίκτουσαι ἐκβαλοῦ-	
	[σιν κ]αὶ ἐκποιήσουσιν καὶ ἐγκαταλείψουσιν	
	[τὸ νήπιο]ν βρέφος, καὶ αἱ ἐν γαστρὶ ἔχου-	
	[σαι ἐκτρώσο]υσιν καὶ αἱ θηλάζουσαι ῥίψ-	
35	[ουσιν τὰ τέκ]να αὐτῶν καὶ οὐ μὴ ἐπι-	
	[στρέψου]σιν έπι τὰ νήπια αὐτῶν οὐ-	
	[δὲ ἐπὶ τὰ θηλά]ζοντα οὐδὲ μὴ φείσονται	

 $\epsilon \kappa \sigma \pi \hat{a} \nu$ is used in a context which implies tender care, not violence. To judge by its general use, the verb might be employed of another person tearing children away from their mothers: but with ai rikrovgal as subject it would be inappropriate. One might think that the writer was alluding to inducing birth by violence; compare James's plausible restoration of $\epsilon\kappa\tau\rho\omega$ - $\sigma o \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ in 34. But such an idea, while appropriate after al ev yastpl έχουσαι, does not suit here. Between $\dot{\epsilon}$ κβαλοῦσιν and $\dot{\epsilon}$ γκαταλείψουσιν some idea associated with abandonment is called for.

A satisfactory sense is given by $i\kappa\pi\sigma i$. $\eta\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu$, which is found in the sense of give away for adoption in Isaeus 7, 25 and Dio Cassius 60, 33 (Vol. III, p. 10 Boissevain), and occurs in the broader sense of alienating property several times in business documents; see Preisigke. It is not easy to see how this reading could have become $\epsilon\kappa\pi\alpha\sigma\sigma\nu$ - $\sigma\iota\nu$, but our scribe seems to be capable of any blunder.

The Ethiopic version, about which I have consulted Professor W. H. Worrell, is condensed and inexactly ren-The words al tiktoval ... dered. $\epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \omega \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota$ are represented by "those who are destitute shall go forth and snatch up their children and cast them away; and their children shall fall from them (i.e. by premature birth)," The last clause supports James's etc. restoration $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\rho\dot{\omega}\sigma\sigma]\upsilon\sigma\iota\nu$. "Snatch up" or "carry off" (Charles) seems to point to έκσπάσουσιν or έξαρπάσουσιν in the original of \boldsymbol{E} ; but neither is satisfactory in this passage.

34 $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\rho\omega\sigma\sigma$]v σ v James. ρ i τ i: error for ρ i ψ -; see Introduction, p. 17.

36 ἐπι[στρέψου]σιν James.

37 ού[δέ ἐπὶ τὰ θηλά]ζοντα: om. Ε.

[....]*ia*...[....]... Three lines are lost.

ιη

p. 4		[]οιγλοιφοντεσεικωνα[ρασκαιχρυσασξυλιναστε[καιοστρακεινασκαιλαθρευ[99. 7
		τασμασινκαιδαιμονιοι[
	5	μασικαιπνευμασινπονη[
		πασαισταισπλαναισουκατεπισ[
		καιπανβοηθημαουμηευρηται[
		αυτωνκαιπλανηθησονταιεναφρ[8
		συνησκαικαρδιασαυτωνκαιταωρ[
	10	ματατωνενυπνιωνκαταπλανη	
		σουσινϋμασϋμεισκαιταεργαϋμων	9
		ταψευδηαεποιησατεκαιελαεργ[
		σατεκαιεπιμειασαπολειασθαι	
		καιτοτεμακαριοιπαντεσοιακου	10

38 Perhaps $[\epsilon \pi i \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \rho] \iota \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma [\alpha \pi \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \text{ (similarly } \mathbf{E}), \text{ but a trace}$ resembling π or γ does not occupy quite the right position after the certain letters $\iota \alpha$. For $\phi \epsilon l \delta o \mu \alpha \iota \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota$ cf. 2 Kings (Sam.) xxi. 7, Ezek. xx. 17.

Three lines are lost; $\mathbf{\tilde{x}}$ has "And again I swear to you, sinners, that sin is ripe for a day of unceasing bloodshed; and they who worship stones," etc.

2 "Gold and silver" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ and Tertullian de idolol. 4, 22–28. [kal $\lambda \iota \theta \iota \nu as$]: Kenyon from Tertullian; om. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$.

4 καὶ βδελύγ]μασι: for βδέλυγμα as a heathen deity or his image or altar, cf. Deut. xxvii. 15, Ezek. vii. 20, 1 Macc. vi. 7. For the series of nouns ϕ αντάσμασιν ... πλάναις **Ξ** has only "evil spirits (unclean spirits II) and demons and all kinds of idols;" Tertullian phantasmatibus et daemoniis et spiritibus infamibus et omnibus erroribus. $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\nu} \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota$ may have left a trace in the reading of II and in Tertullian's infamibus; and since the best MS has infamis, is it possible that the original text was et spiritibus et infamiis?

6 où $\kappa \alpha \tau'$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta \nu$: James. So Tertullian and GQT; "in temples of idols" II and some members of group I.

7 $\kappa \alpha i$ is not needed, but the fault may lie with the writer. $\epsilon \nu \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ (i.e. $\epsilon \nu \rho \eta \tau \epsilon$) may be defended as a shift from third to second person, cf. lines 9-11; but $\epsilon \nu \rho \eta \langle \sigma \sigma \nu \rangle \tau \alpha \iota$ was probably intended. For the negation $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$... $o\dot{\nu} = o\dot{\nu}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, often considered a Hebraism, cf. Ljungvik, p. 19.

[.....]*u*a....[.....].... Three lines are lost

ιη

p. 4	[καί] οἱ γλύφοντες εἰκόνα[ς ἀργυ- pâs καὶ χρυσâs, ξυλίνας τε [καὶ λιθίνας καὶ ὀστρακίνας, καὶ λατρεύ[οντες φαν-	99, 7
	τάσμασιν καὶ δαιμονίοι[s καὶ βδελύγ- 5 μασι καὶ πνεύμασιν πονη[ροῖs καὶ	
	πάσαις ταῖς πλάναις οὐ κατ' ἐπισ[τήμην	
	καὶ πῶν βοήθημα οὐ μὴ εὑρή⟨σον⟩ται [ἀπ'	
	αὐτῶν. καὶ πλανηθήσονται ἐν ἀφρ[ο-	8
	σύνη της καρδίας αὐτῶν καὶ τὰ ὀρ[ά-	
1	0 ματα τῶν ἐνυπνίων καταπλανή-	
	σουσιν ύμα̂ς, ύμεῖς καὶ τὰ ἔργα ὑμῶν	9
	τὰ ψευδῆ ἅ ἐποιήσατε καὶ ἐλαεργ[ή-	
	σατε, καὶ ἐπὶ μιᾶς ἀπολεῖσθε.	
	καὶ τότε μακάριοι πάντες οὶ ἀκού-	10

Examples from the opening chapters are given in Flemming-Radermacher, p. 150.

9 à $\phi\rho\sigma\sigma\nu\eta s$ kal: error for à $\phi\rho\sigma\sigma\nu\eta$ $\tau\eta s$ (Kenyon). For the clause kal τa $\delta\rho a\mu a\tau a$... $\nu\mu as$ \mathbf{E} reads "their eyes shall be blinded through the fear of their hearts and the visions of their dreams."

11 A verb belonging to $i\mu\epsilon\hat{i}s$ may have been lost; "through them shall they become godless and fearful" $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, but $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is a poor guide in this passage, and a good sense can be obtained by omitting $\kappa a i$ in 13 and treating $i\mu\epsilon\hat{i}s$ and $\epsilon\rho\gamma a$ as subjects of $a\pi o\lambda\epsilon\hat{i}\sigma\theta\epsilon$.

11-13 καί τὰ ἔργα ... ἐλαεργήσατε: "for they do all their works in a lie and worship stone" \mathbf{E} . λαεργεῖν is not recorded in the lexicons. λαεργής occurs in Nic. Ther. 708.

13 $a\pi o\lambda \epsilon \iota a\sigma \theta \epsilon$: read $\dot{a}\pi o\lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota}\sigma \theta \epsilon$; but the original reading may have been $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \dot{\iota} \mu \iota \hat{a}s \dot{a}\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \ell as \langle \dot{a}\pi o\lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota}\sigma \rangle \theta \epsilon$, the corruption resulting from haplography. With either reading $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \ell$ presents some difficulty; it does not seem natural here. If we read simply $\dot{a}\pi o\lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota}\sigma \theta \epsilon$ as above, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \dot{\iota} \mu \iota \hat{a}s$ is apparently "with one accord," "together," like $\dot{a}\pi \dot{\sigma} \mu \iota \hat{a}s$ in Luke xiv. 18. I find no other examples of it. \mathbf{z} translates "in a moment."

14 In view of the future indicatives that follow, one might expect $\pi d\nu \tau \epsilon s$ or $d\kappa o \psi \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota$, since the combination $\pi \hat{a} s$ os is fairly common in the Koine; but the text elsewhere shows so many shifts of construction that it is scarcely prudent to alter it. "Accept and

15	σαντεσφρονιμωνλογουσκαιμαθη	
	σονταιαυτουσποιησαιτασεντολαστου	
	ϋψιστουκαιπορευσονταιενοδοισδι	
	καιοσυνησαυτουκαιουμηπλανησο[
	σινμετατωνπλανουνωνκαισω	
20	θησονται ουαιοιοικοδομουγ	13
	τεστασοικοδομασαυτωνουκκ[
	πονϊδιωνκαιεκλιθωνκαιε[
	πλινθωνπασανοικοδομηνπ[
	ταιοισουκεστινυμιν χα[
25	Ουαιοιεξοιθενουντεστηνθεμ[14
	ωσινκαιτηνκληρονομια[
	τερωναυτωντηναπαιωνοσ[
	διωξαιταιϋμασπναπλανη[
	ουκεστινϋμιναναπαυσαι	
30	Ουαιϋμινοιποιουντεστηνα[15
	καιεπιβοηθουντεστηαδι[
	οντεστονπλησιοναυτω[
	ημεραστησκρισεωστησ[
	οτιτοτεεκτρεψειτηνδοξ[16
35	καιεπεγερειτονθυμον[
	ϋμωναπολειποντασυ[
	φαιακαιπαντεσοιδε[

understand" **E**.

16–17 ποιήσαι τὰς ἐντολὰς τοῦ ὑψίστου: "and follow the ways of the Highest" E.

18 αὐτοῦ: om. U, II.

19 πλανουνων: error for πλανώντων. καί: "for" \mathbf{E} . After σωθήσονται paragraphs 11–12 found in \mathbf{E} are wanting. 20 οὐαί: "woe to you" \mathbf{E} .

21-22 oik $\langle i \kappa \rangle$ $\kappa \delta \pi \omega \nu$ idiw: Kenyon. **E** reads "by the labor of others."

22-23 "And all their building materials are bricks and stones of sin" \mathcal{E} , whence it would appear that $\tau \hat{\eta}s$ άμαρτίαs has been lost after πλiνθων. After οἰκοδομήν, ποιεῖτε may be suggested; οἰκοδομοῦντες is too long, and the trace cannot be omicron.

24] $\tau a \iota o \iota s$: probably μa] $\tau a \iota o \iota$ with s erroneously added; \mathcal{E} has nothing to correspond to $\mu \dot{a} \tau a \iota o \iota$.

25 Note the unusual of for ov in $\xi\xi ov \theta evo \hat{v} v \tau es$. LXX has $\theta e \mu e \lambda i \omega \sigma is$ only in 2 Esdras iii. 11-12, and in a literal sense.

28 πλανη[σεως Kenyon. For $\delta \tau \iota \ldots$ πλανήσεως **\mathcal{I}** has "And whose souls follow after idols."

 τες τὰς οἰκοδομὰς αὐτῶν οὐκ (ἐκ) κ[ό- πων ἰδίων, καὶ ἐκ λίθων καὶ ἐ[κ πλίνθων πᾶσαν οἰκοδομὴν π[οιεῖτε· μά- ταιοι οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν χα[ίρειν. 25 Οὐαὶ οἱ ἐξουθενοῦντες τὴν θεμ[ελί- 14 ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία[ν τῶν πα- τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμᾶς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν ἀναπαῦσαι. 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν 15 καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἔως τῆς 	15	σαντες φρονίμων λόγους καὶ μαθή-	
καιοσύνης αὐτοῦ καὶ οὐ μὴ πλανήσο[υ σιν μετὰ τῶν πλανώντων καὶ σω- 20 θήσονται. οὐαὶ οἱ οἰκοδομοῦν- τες τὰς οἰκοδομὰς αὐτῶν οὐκ (ἐκ) κ[ό- πων ἰδίων, καὶ ἐκ λίθων καὶ ἐ[κ πλίνθων πᾶσαν οἰκοδομὴν π[οιεῖτε· μά- ταιοι οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν χα[ἰρειν. 25 Οὐαὶ οἱ ἐξουθενοῦντες τὴν θεμ[ελί- ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία[ν τῶν πα- τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμᾶς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως: οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν ἀναπαῦσαι. 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἁ[νομίαν καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἕως τῆς		σονται αὐτούς, ποιῆσαι τὰς ἐντολὰς τοῦ	
 σιν μετὰ τῶν πλανώντων καὶ σω- 20 θήσονται. οὐαὶ οἱ οἰκοδομοῦν- τες τὰς οἰκοδομὰς αὐτῶν οὐκ (ἐκ) κ[ό- πων ἰδίων, καὶ ἐκ λίθων καὶ ἐ[κ πλίνθων πᾶσαν οἰκοδομὴν π[οιεῖτε· μά- ταιοι οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν χα[ἰρειν. 25 Οὐαὶ οἱ ἐξουθενοῦντες τὴν θεμ[ελί- ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία[ν τῶν πα- τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμᾶς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν ζα[νρμίαν 15 καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆν ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἕως τῆς 		ὑψίστου, καὶ πορεύσονται ἐν δδοῖς δι-	
 20 θήσονται. οὐαὶ οἱ οἰκοδομοῦν- 13 τες τὰς οἰκοδομὰς αὐτῶν οὐκ ⟨ἐκ⟩ κ[ό- πων ἰδίων, καὶ ἐκ λίθων καὶ ἐ[κ πλίνθων πᾶσαν οἰκοδομὴν π[οιεῖτε· μά- ταιοι οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν χα[ἰρειν. 25 Οὐαὶ οἱ ἐξουθενοῦντες τὴν θεμ[ελί- ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία[ν τῶν πα- τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμᾶς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἁ[νομίαν 15 καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἔως τῆς 		καιοσύνης αύτοῦ καὶ οὐ μὴ πλανήσο[υ	
 τες τὰς οἰκοδομὰς αὐτῶν οὐκ (ἐκ) κ[ό- πων ἰδίων, καὶ ἐκ λίθων καὶ ἐ[κ πλίνθων πᾶσαν οἰκοδομὴν π[οιεῖτε· μά- ταιοι οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν χα[ίρειν. 25 Οὐαὶ οἱ ἐξουθενοῦντες τὴν θεμ[ελί- 14 ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία[ν τῶν πα- τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμᾶς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν ἀναπαῦσαι. 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν 15 καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἔως τῆς 		σιν μετά τῶν πλανώντων καὶ σω-	
 πων ίδίων, καὶ ἐκ λίθων καὶ ἐ[κ πλίνθων πᾶσαν οἰκοδομὴν π[οιεῖτε· μά- ταιοι οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν χα[ίρειν. 25 Οὐαὶ οἱ ἐξουθενοῦντες τὴν θεμ[ελί- ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία[ν τῶν πα- τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμᾶς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν ἀναπαῦσαι. 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἕως τῆς 	20	θήσονται. οὐαὶ οἱ οἰκοδομοῦν-	13
πλίνθων πασαν οἰκοδομὴν π[οιεῖτε· μά- ταιοι οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν χα[ἰρειν. 25 Οὐαὶ οἱ ἐξουθενοῦντες τὴν θεμ[ελί- ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία[ν τῶν πα- τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμᾶς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν ἀναπαῦσαι. 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἕως τῆς		τες τὰς οἰκοδομὰς αὐτῶν οὐκ <ἐκ> κ[ό-	
ταιοι οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν χα[ίρειν. 25 Οὐαὶ οἱ ἐξουθενοῦντες τὴν θεμ[ελί- ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία[ν τῶν πα- τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμᾶς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν ἀναπαῦσαι. 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἔως τῆς		πων ίδίων, και ἐκ λίθων και ἐ[κ	
 25 Οὐαὶ οἱ ἐξουθενοῦντες τὴν θεμ[ελί- 14 ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία[ν τῶν πα- τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμῶς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν ἀναπαῦσαι. 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἔως τῆς 		πλίνθων πασαν οἰκοδομὴν π[οιεῖτε· μά-	
 ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία [ν τῶν πα- τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμῶς πνεῦμα πλανή [σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν ἀναπαῦσαι. 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν 15 καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἔως τῆς 		ταιοι οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν χα[ίρειν.	
τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι διώξεται ὑμᾶς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν ἀναπαῦσαι. 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἕως τῆς	25	Ούαι οι έξουθενοῦντες τὴν θεμ[ελί-	14
διώξεται ύμας πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως· οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμιν ἀναπαῦσαι. 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμιν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν 15 καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἔως τῆς		ωσιν καὶ τὴν κληρονομία[ν τῶν πα-	
ούκ έστιν ύμιν άναπαυσαι. 30 Ούαι ύμιν οι ποιουντες την ά[νομίαν 15 και έπιβοηθουντες τη άδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τον πλησίον αυτω[ν έως της		τέρων αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπ' αἰῶνος, [ὅτι	
 30 Οὐαὶ ὑμῦν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν 15 καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἕως τῆς 		διώξεται ὑμα̂ς πνεῦμα πλανή[σεως	
καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῆ ἀδι[κία, φονεύ- οντες τὸν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἔως τῆς		ούκ ἕστιν ὑμῖν ἀναπαῦσαι.	
οντες τόν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἕως τῆς	30	Οὐαὶ ὑμῖν οἱ ποιοῦντες τὴν ἀ[νομίαν	15
		καὶ ἐπιβοηθοῦντες τῇ ἀδι[κίᾳ, φονεύ-	
		οντες τόν πλησίον αὐτῶ[ν ἕως τῆς	
ήμέρας τής κρίσεως τής μεγάλης		ήμέρας τής κρίσεως τής [μεγάλης	
ότι τότε ἐκτρίψει τὴν δόξ[αν ὑμῶν 16		ότι τότε έκτρίψει την δόξ[αν ύμων	16
35 και έπεγερεί τον θυμον [αύτοῦ καθ'	35	καὶ ἐπεγερεῖ τὸν θυμὸν [αὐτοῦ καθ'	
ύμων ἀπολεῖ πάντας ὑ[μᾶς ἐν ῥομ-		ύμων ἀπολεῖ πάντας ὑ[μᾶς ἐν ῥομ-	
φαία και πάντες οι δί [καιοι μνημο-			

29 $\nu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$: "they" **E**, cf. 28, and see note, p. 3, 11-13.

30 $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\nu}\nu$: "them" **E**. $\dot{a}[\nu\rho\mu|a\nu]$ Kenyon: "unrighteousness" **E**.

34 $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\psi\epsilon\iota$: Kenyon. $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\psi\epsilon\iota$ became $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\psi\epsilon\iota$; but \mathbf{E} "cast down," "overthrow" suggests $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\sigma\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\psi\epsilon\iota$. After $\dot{\nu}\mu\omega\nu \mathbf{E}$ adds "and bring affliction on your hearts."

35 $\tau \partial \nu \theta \nu \mu \partial \nu$: "the spirit of his anger" Ga, II; "his anger and his spirit" GMQT, "spirit" being nominative (and so subject of "destroy") in QT. It is possible that the translator merely used two nouns in an effort to render the full meaning of $\theta v \mu \delta s$.

36 ύ[μâs: so E.

37 Supplied by Kenyon. "Just and holy" T, II: "holy and just" GGaMQ. The scribe wrote δεικαιοι.

 $\mu\nu\eta\mu\nu\nu\epsilon b\sigma ov\sigma\,\nu$ is needed ("remember" **E**), but a by-form $\mu\nu\eta\mu\nu\nu\eta\sigma\nu\sigma\sigma\nu\sigma\,\nu$ must have been used. The new L. and S. recognizes $\mu\nu\eta\mu\nu\nu\epsilon\omega$ on the authority (as I am informed by Mr. Roderick McKenzie) of Corp. Gloss. III 77, 21, where the Hermeneumata Amploniana have mynemono: commemoro.

νησουσιντασαδικιασ[100, 1
[.]ε[.]νιτοπω[
Two lines are lost.	

[ιθ]

p. 5		[]ιματ[]σου	100, 1–2
-		[]ειτην[]οτουυιουαυ	
		[]ποτουα[.]απητουαυτουαποκτιναι	
		[.]ν[]καιοαμα[.]τωλοσαποτουεντιμου	
	5	[]τεαποτουαδελφουαυτουεξορθωνμε	
		χρισουδυναιτονηλιονφονευθησονται	
		ε πιτοαυτοκαιδιαπορευσεταιιπποσεωσ	3
		τουστηθουσαυτουδιατουαιματοστωναμαρ	
		τωλωνκαιτοαιμαμεχριαξονωνκατα	
	10	βησεται καικαταβησονταιαγ'γελοι	4
		καταδυνοντεσειστααποκρυφαενημε	
		ραεκεινηοιτεινεσεβοηθουντηαδικια	
		καισυστραφησονταιεισενατοπονκαι	
		ουψιστοσεγερθησενταιενημερακρι	
	15	σεωσποιησαιεκπαντωνκρισινμε	
		γαληνκαιταξειφυλακηνεπιπαντασ	5
		τουσδικαιουσκαιαγιουστωναγιωναγ'	
		γελωνκαιτηρηθησονταιωσκορεων	

38 kal tore $\kappa\tau\lambda$.: **E** reads "In those days in one place fathers together with their sons shall be smitten, and brothers one with another shall fall in death until their blood flows like a stream." Two full lines in addition to 39 would be required for the Greek text.

1 \mathbf{z} has "until their blood flows like a stream," or "until there is a flow of their blood like a stream." This would permit other reconstructions of the Greek, e.g., with impersonal verb, $\mathcal{E}\omega s \ \omega s \ \pi \sigma \tau a \mu \delta s \ \delta \epsilon \eta \ \tau \sigma \tilde{v} \ a \tilde{t} \mu a \tau \sigma s$ (or τῷ αἴματι) αὐτῶν.

2 $\dot{a}\phi\dot{e}\xi\dot{e}\iota \tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ [$\chi\epsilon\hat{\iota}\rho\alpha$: T, II add "in compassion." $\upsilon\dot{\iota}\vartheta\vartheta$ $\dot{a}\gamma a\pi\eta\tau\vartheta\vartheta$ "his son and his son's son" GMT, "his son's son" II. For the word $\dot{a}\gamma\alpha$ - $\pi\eta\tau\deltas$ in a similar connection, cf. the Greek text of ch. 10, 12; 12, 6. 4-5 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ joins $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\iota\mu\vartheta\upsilon$ with $\dot{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\vartheta\vartheta$, "his honored brother." $\delta\rho\theta\omega\nu$: 1. $\delta\rho\theta\rho\omega\nu$. A common error of popular speech, which avoided ρ in consecutive syllables; cf. the Michigan Hermas, Sim. 5, 1, 1 and Mayser, I, p. 186 f. The plural is unusual.

[ιθ]

p. 5	[ῥέῃ τὰ a]ἴματ[α αὐτῶν. καὶ ἄνθρωπο]s οὐ- [κ ἀφέξ]ει τὴν [χεῖρα αὐτοῦ ἀπ]ὸ τοῦ νἱοῦ αὐ-	100, 1–2
	[κ αφεζ εί την [χειρα αυτού ακ jo του στου αυ- [τοῦ, οὕτ' ἀ]πὸ τοῦ ἀ[γ]απητοῦ αὐτοῦ ἀποκτεῖναι	
	[a]ὖ[τόν], καὶ ὁ ἁμαρτωλὸς ἀπὸ τοῦ ἐντίμου,	
5	[οὕ]τε ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ αὐτοῦ· ἐξ ὄρθρων μέ-	
	χρις οὗ δῦναι τὸν ἥλιον φονευθήσονται	
	ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτό. καὶ διαπορεύσεται ἴππος ἕως	3
	τοῦ στήθους αὐτοῦ διὰ τοῦ αἴματος τῶν ἁμαρ-	
	τωλῶν, καὶ τὸ ἅρμα μέχρι ἀξόνων κατα-	
10	βήσεται. καὶ καταβήσονται ἄγγελοι	4
	καταδύνοντες είς τὰ ἀπόκρυφα ἐν ἡμέ-	
	ρα ἐκείνη· ⟨καὶ⟩ οἵτινες ἐβοήθουν τῆ ἀδικία	
	{κal} συστραφήσονται είς ἕνα τόπον κal	
	ό ὕψιστος ἐγερθήσεται ἐν ἡμέρα κρί-	
15	σεως ποιήσαι ἐκ πάντων κρίσιν με-	
	γάλην, καὶ τάξει φυλακὴν ἐπὶ πάντας	5
	τοὺς δικαίους καὶ ἀγίους τῶν ἁγίων ἀγ-	
	γέλων, καὶ τηρηθήσονται ὡς κόριον	

6-7 For the construction of $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota \rho \delta$ where it is out of place. βασιλεῦσαι τοὺς Πέρσας, 1 Esd. i. 14 εγερθησενται: 1. έγερθήσεται. (57) 54. φονευθήσονται έπι το αὐτό: $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a$: "that day" **E**. $\kappa \rho i \sigma \epsilon \omega s$: om. "shall slay one another" E. TU, II (except V). 9 αίμα: l. άρμα. μέχρι άξόνων: "to 15 $\epsilon \kappa \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$: "on all sinners" T, its height" E. II; "amongst sinners" GMQU. For 11 καταδύνοντες: not rendered by $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$. this use of $\epsilon\kappa$, cf. Ps. cxviii (cxix). iν ημέρα iκεiνη: "in those days" E. 84, Is. i. 24; but the writer has karà 12 έβοήθουν τη άδικία: so T. II; $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ in a like context in ch. 1, 7 the others read "brought down sin." and 9. The construction in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ is "the angels 18 καὶ τηρηθήσονται: "to guard them" κορεων: l. κόριον. I have seen shall descend ... and bring together E. all those who," etc. I transfer κal to no other example of the diminutive in this sense; $\kappa \delta \rho \eta$ is generally used. a position after *excivy* from 1. 13,

20	οφθαλμουεωσουεκ'λειπητακακαηδα μαρτιακαιαπεκεινουϋνωσουσ[.]νευσε Αποϋστουσελουσε	
	βεισϋπνονηδυλεικαιουκεστεουκετι οεκ'φοβωναυτουστοτεοψονταιοιφρο νιμοιτωνανθρωπωνκαικατανοησου	6
25	σινοιυιοιτησγησεπιτουσλογουστουτουσ τησεπιστολησταυτησκαιγνωσθηναιο	
	τ[.]ουδυναταιοπλουτοσαυτωνδιασωσαιαυτο εντηπτωσιστησαδικιασ ουαιϋμιν	7
20	οιαδικοιοτανεκθλειβηταιτουσδικαιουσ ε[.]ημερααναγ'κηστερεασκαιφυλαξη	
30	τ[]αυτουσενπυρειοτικωμειεισθαικα ταταεργαϋμων ουαιϋμινσκληρο καρδιο[.]αγρυπνουντεσνοησαιτοκακον	8
	π[.]ρ[]ιϋμασφοβοσκαιουκεστινοαντι []μβ[.]νομενοσυμων ουαιυ[.]ιν	9
35	[.]¤[.]ι[.]τοισαμαρτωλοισεπιτοισεργοισ []ματοσϋμων ουαιϋμινπα	-
	[]ματου υμων ουαιυμινπα	

19 $\epsilon\kappa\lambda\epsilon i\pi y$: "he makes an end of" GGaMQ²; "an end has been made of" Q¹TU, II.

 $\dot{\eta}\delta'$: This Ionic and poetic connective is occasionally found in late Greek. Liddell and Scott cite two instances from Aretaeus which are doubtless due to deliberate Ionizing. Add the following from Radermacher, p. 5 (where errors are made in both references): Author of the treatise $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\nu$ 'Ελλάδι πόλεων, Müller, Fragm. Hist. Graec. II, 259, 20; Eustathius of Antioch, contra Orig. de engastrimytho (ed. Jahn, Texte und Untersuchungen, Vol. II), p. 49, 28; 60, 26; 71, 23. Brinkmann in Rh. M. LXII (1907), 630, calls attention to the fact that in Eustathius it may serve to avoid hiatus. It stands before a vowel here. 20 $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ omits $\dot{a}\pi'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon$ (vov and translates "though the righteous sleep a long sleep, they have nothing to fear." $\nu\nu\omega\sigma\sigma\nu\sigma[\iota]\nu$: l. $\nu\pi\nu\omega\sigma\sigma\nu\sigma\iota\nu$. oi seems to be required before $\epsilon\nu\sigma\epsilon\beta\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}s$. 21 $\eta\delta\nu\lambda\epsilon\iota$: l. $\eta\delta\nu$; ν was read $\lambda\iota$, which became $\lambda\epsilon\iota$.

22-23 "And the wise men shall see the truth" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$. The evidence of the Greek is against the transposition of oi vioi $\tau \hat{\eta} s \ \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ into the preceding clause, which Charles proposed.

24 κατανοήσουσιν with $i\pi i$ is probably equivalent to "look upon," cf. Ps. cxlii. 5, κατενόουν είς τὰ δεξιὰ καὶ $i\pi i\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi$ ον and Sirach xxiii. 19, κατανοοῦντες εἰς ἀπόκρυφα μέρη. Æ has "all the words," omitting τούτους.

25 $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \dot{\eta}$: "book" ϵ ; but the subscription at the end of the work (p. 12, 18–19) reads ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ ΕΝΩΧ.

20	όφθαλμοῦ ἕως οὗ ἐκλείπῃ τὰ κακὰ ἡδ' ἀ- μαρτία. καὶ ἀπ' ἐκείνου ὑπνώσουσ[ι]ν ⟨οἱ⟩ εὐσε- βεῖς ὕπνον ἡδύν, καὶ οὐκ ἔσται οὐκέτι	
	δ ἐκφοβῶν αὐτούς. τότε ὄψονται οὶ φρό- νιμοι τῶν ἀνθρώπων καὶ κατανοήσου-	6
	σιν οί υίοι τῆς γῆς ἐπι τοὺς λόγους τούτους	
25	της έπιστολης ταύτης, και γνώσονται ό-	
	τ[ι] οὐ δύναται ὁ πλοῦτος αὐτῶν διασῶσαι αὐτοὺς	
	έν τῆ πτώσει τῆς ἀδικίας. οὐαὶ ὑμῖν	7
	οἱ ἄδικοι ὅταν ἐκθλίβητε τοὺς δικαίους	
	έ[ν] ἡμέρạ ἀνάγκης στερεᾶς καὶ φυλάξη-	
30	τ[ε] aὐτοὺs ἐν πυρί, ὅτι κομιεῖσθε κα-	
	τὰ τὰ ἕργα ὑμῶν. οὐαὶ ὑμῖν σκληρο-	8
	κάρδιο[ι], ἀγρυπνοῦντες νοῆσαι τὸ κακόν	
	$\pi[\epsilon] ho[ι \epsilon \chi \epsilon]$ ι ὑμᾶς φόβος, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν ὁ ἀντι-	
	$[\lambda a]$ μβ $[a]$ νόμενος ὑμών. {οὐαὶ ὑ $[μ]$ ῖν	9
35	[π]â[σ]ι[ν] τοῖς ἁμαρτωλοῖς ἐπὶ τοῖς ἕργοις	
	[τοῦ στό]ματος ὑμῶν.} οὐαὶ ὑμῖν πâ-	

γνωσθηναι: probably an error for γνώσονται, occasioned by the resemblance of ON to ΘH . γνωσθήσεται is possible, but the change of construction makes it less satisfactory. 26 αυτο, i.e. αὐτόν: error for αὐτούς.

27 πτωσις: Ι. πτώσει.

28 $\delta \tau a \nu$ supports the reading of \mathbf{E} , which Charles believed to be corrupt and emended to "ye who." **G** and \mathbf{E} agree as to the position of the clause "on the day of strong anguish," which Charles placed immediately after "sinners" ($\delta \delta \iota \kappa o \iota$).

29 ava $\gamma \kappa \eta$: l. $\dot{a}\nu \dot{a}\gamma \kappa \eta s$, the sigma being lost by haplography.

 $\phi \upsilon \lambda a \xi \eta \tau [a \iota]$: l. $\phi \upsilon \lambda a \xi \eta \tau \epsilon$. **E** has "burn," i.e. $\phi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \tau \epsilon$. But Q reads "save," which may point to $\phi \upsilon \lambda \dot{a} - \xi \eta \tau \epsilon$. 31 σκληροκάρδιοι: "perverse of heart" **Ε**.

34-39 The textual situation may be explained in either of two ways. 1) Having wrongly written $\epsilon_{0\gamma_{01S}}$ (35) for $\lambda \delta \gamma o \iota s$, the scribe recognized his error after finishing the clause, then started afresh in 36 without erasing the foregoing words. 2) The error in 34-36 may belong to an earlier stage of the tradition; 36-39 (oval $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\nu}$... $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$), the correct text (except for the omission of *žpyous*, 38). may have been inserted in the margin by a corrector. The present text would then result from a scribe's incorporating the marginal correction into the text without taking the precaution to omit the faulty sentence. 36 πâσιν: om. Z.

[....]μ[.]αμαρτωλοισεπιτοισλογοιστου [....]α[..]σϋμωνκαιεπιτοιστωνχει [...]ϋ[.]ωνοτιτοαγιονεργωναπεπλα 40 [....]ενθ.[...]..[..]..φλεγομε[.]..]..[]υ.σε[

Four lines are lost.

[ĸ]

p. 6

] <i>v</i> €[100, 11
	χληκαιδροσοσκαιομβ[
	ταιεπιταισαμαρτιαισϋμω[12
	ουνομβροδωραειναμη[
5	ταβηναιυμινκαιδροσονκα[
	καιομιχλη χρυσιονδιαγραψα[

39 After $\psi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathbf{E}$ has "which are the works of your godlessness." Since the translator usually renders $\pi\lambda\dot{a}\nu\eta$ and $\pi \lambda a \nu \dot{a} \omega$ by "godlessness," "act godlessly," etc., a form of $\dot{a}\pi o\pi\lambda a\nu \dot{a}\omega$ seems to be required. It is probably simplest to supply $\delta \pi \delta$ and read as in the emended text. The genitive without preposition is not often used with verbs of separation in LXX; and the other changes proposed are not violent considering the scribe's habits. If we read to ayiov toyov, an active form, but "lead astray" makes poor sense with such an object. I find no instance of $\dot{a}\pi o\pi\lambda a\nu \hat{a}\nu$ used of things in the sense of "corrupt" or "spoil."

40 After $d\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda a[\nu\eta\theta\eta\tau\epsilon]$ **E** continues: "In blazing flames burning worse than fire shall ye burn. (v. 10) And now know ye that from the angels He will inquire as to your deeds in heaven, from the sun and from the moon and from the stars in reference to your sins, because upon the earth ye execute judgment upon the righteous; (v. 11) and he will summon to testify against you every cloud and mist and dew and rain." The last few words begin the following page, which has lost one line at the top. On this page four, perhaps five, lines after the last visible remains would have been needed to express the sense of the Ethiopic words.

41 v might also be read as χ .

1-3 In \mathbf{z} verse 11 is as follows: "And he will summon to testify against you every cloud and mist and dew and rain; for they shall all be withheld because of you from descending upon you, and they shall be mindful of your sins." So Charles and similarly Flemming, who uses "vor euch" instead of "because of you." It is probable that the Greek text was shorter and differ-

[σιν το]î[s] ἀμαρτωλοîs ἐπὶ τοîs λόγοις τοῦ [στόμ]a[το]s ὑμῶν καὶ ἐπὶ τοîs ⟨ἔργοις⟩ τῶν χει-[ρῶν] ὑ[μ]ῶν ὅτι ⟨ἀπό⟩ τῶ⟨ν⟩ ἀγίων ἔργων ἀπεπλα-40 [νήθητε] ἐν θ.[...]..[..]..φλεγομ[.]..]..[]υ.σε[

Four lines are lost.

[ĸ]

p. 6

;	πασα] νε[φέλη και όμί-	100, 11
	χλη καὶ δρόσος καὶ ὄμβ[ρος	
	ται ἐπὶ ταῖς ἁμαρτίαις ὑμῶ[ν. δίδοτε	12
	οὖν ὄμβρω δῶρα ἴνα μὴ [κωλυθῆ κα-	
5	ταβήναι ὑμῖν, καὶ δρόσῳ κα[ὶ νεφέλη	
	καὶ ὀμίχλῃ· χρυσίον διαγράψα[τε ἴνα κα-	

ently constructed: and some of the Ethiopic manuscripts, which vary greatly here, present a shorter version. The nominatives in 1-2 must have been subjects of a predicate meaning "be withheld" or of one meaning "bear witness." Hence, 1) we may read άνασχεθήσονται or συσχεθήσονται (2-3), making 2 slightly longer than its neighbors, and take $\epsilon \pi i$ as "because of" as in verse 9 above. 2) Since the papyrus has no place for "they shall be mindful" (\mathbf{E}) , that phrase may be regarded as a faulty rendering of an expression properly meaning "shall testify." Then, by placing a predicate meaning "shall be withheld" before the nominatives in 1-2, one could make a text consistent with the remains of the papyrus, e.g., άνασχεθήσονται άφ' ὑμῶν πασα νεφέλη καὶ ὀμίχλη καὶ δρόσος καὶ ὄμβρος. μαρτυροῦνται ἐπὶ ταῖς ἁμαρτίαις ὑμῶν. But I have seen no example of $\mu a \rho$ -

has received gold and silver from you that it may descend" $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$, the clause being treated as part of the preceding sentence. In spite of the punctuation of the papyrus, I incline to think that the high point should be after $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\imath}\nu$ (l. 5). Then, reading the three nouns of 5–6 as datives, one obtains a better parallel to $\delta i\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \ o \dot{\imath}\nu$ $\delta\mu\beta\rho\varphi \ \delta\hat{\omega}\rho a, \kappa\tau\lambda$.

	ταβοσιηοτιεανεπιρειψηεφυμ[13
	ωνκαιπαχνηκαιψυχοσαυτησκαι[
	ανεμοικαιοπαγετοσαυτωνκαιπα	
10	σαιαιμαστειγαισαυτωνουδυνασθα[
	ϋποστηναιεμπροσθενψυχουσκα[.]7[
	μαστειγωναυτωνκατανοησατετο[101, 1
	νυνϋιοιτωνανθρωπωνταεργα[
	ϋψιστουκαιφοβηθητετουποιησα[
15	τοπονηρονεναντιοναυτουεανα[2
	κλεισητασθυριδαστουουρανουκαικ[
	λυσητηνδροσονκαιτωνομβρων	
	καταβηναιειναικαϋμωντιποιηση	
	τε εαναποστειληταιοτονθυμονα[3
20	τουεφυμασκαιεπιταεργαϋμωνουχι	
	εσεσθαιδεομενοιαυτουδιατιϋμεισλα	
	λειταιτωστοματιϋμωνμεγαλακ[
	σκληραεπιτημεγαλωσυνηαυτ[4
	τετουσναυκληρουστουσπλωε[

7 κα]ταβοσιη: l. καταβώσιν; confusion of N and H. ὅτι ἐάν: "when" **Ε**, i.e. ὅταν.

 $\epsilon \pi \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \psi \eta$. l. $\epsilon \pi \iota \rho \rho \iota \psi \eta$, apparently intransitive here. The simple $\delta(\pi\tau\omega)$ in the sense of "throw oneself" occurs several times in classical Greek. Ι find no case of $\epsilon \pi i \rho \rho i \pi \tau \omega$ intransitive except Job xxvii. 22 (Rahlfs), where it is used of a high wind; nothing in either the Hebrew or the Greek text of Job seems to justify the insertion of "God" as the subject, as in A.V., R.V. and some special editions. One might consider $\epsilon \pi \iota \nu \epsilon i \psi \eta$, since $\epsilon \pi \iota$ - $\nu\epsilon l\phi\omega$ is used several times by Philo (references in L. and S.) in referring to rain, dew, manna; but I incline to adhere to $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\rho\rho\dot{\iota}\psi\eta$. The passive $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\rho$ - $\rho_{\iota}\phi_{\hat{\eta}}$ is less likely; cf. Ps. xxi (xxii). 11, and Hermas Sim. 2, 3. "Frost and snow" E.

8 a $\dot{v}\tau\eta$ s: "their" **E**.

9 ἄνεμοι: "snowstorms" Ε, but "storms" only in G. και ό παγετός αὐτῶν: om. Ε.

11-12 ψύχους καὶ τῶν μαστίγων: om. **Ε**. For αὐτῶν perhaps αὐτοῦ should be read; but something may have been lost after καί, e.g. παγετοῦ καί. τοίνυν: om. **Ε**.

13 vioi: "all ye children" T^2 , II. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$: "of heaven" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$. $\tau \dot{a}$ $\check{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a$: "the heaven and every work" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$.

14 $\phi o \beta \eta \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \tau o \hat{v} \pi o i \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$: "fear him and do no evil" $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. For the extended use of the genitive infinitive, cf. Radermacher, 188-90, Acts xxiii. 20, $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu \tau o \tau o \hat{v} \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \sigma \epsilon$.

17 "The rain and the dew" E.

18 είνεκα: cf. Crönert, p. 113, n. 3. 19 δ has no place here. Possibly the scribe meant to write δ ὕψιστος. then

TEXT AND	NOTES	55
IEAI AND	NOLES	00

10	ταβωσιν. ὅτι ἐἀν ἐπιρρίψῃ ἐφ' ὑμ[âs χι- ων καὶ πάχνη καὶ ψῦχος αὐτῆς, καὶ [οἱ ἄνεμοι καὶ ὁ παγετὸς αὐτῶν, καὶ πâ- σαι αἱ μάστιγες αὐτῶν, οὐ δύνασθε ὑποστῆναι ἕμπροσθεν ψύχους κα[ἰ] τ[ῶν	13
	μαστίγων αὐτῶν. κατανοήσατε το[ί- νυν, υἱοὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων, τὰ ἔργα [τοῦ	101, 1
15	ὑψίστου καὶ φοβήθητε τοῦ ποιῆσα ι τὸ πονηρὸν ἐναντίον αὐτοῦ. ἐὰν ἀ[πο- κλείση τὰς θυρίδας τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καὶ κ[ω-	2
	λύση τὴν δρόσον καὶ τὸν ὄμβρον καταβῆναι εἴνεκα ὑμῶν, τἱ ποιήσε- τε; ἐὰν ἀποστείληται {៦} τὸν θυμὸν α[ὐ-	3
20	τοῦ ἐφ' ὑμᾶς καὶ ἐπὶ τὰ ἔργα ὑμῶν, οὐχὶ ἔσεσθε δεόμενοι αὐτοῦ; διὰ τί ὑμεῖς λα-	
	λεῖτε τῷ στόματι ὑμῶν μεγάλα κ[αἰ σκληρὰ ἐπὶ τῆ μεγαλωσύνη αὐτ[οῦ; ὀρâ- τε τοὺς ναυκλήρους τοὺς πλωι[ζο-	4

recollected himself, but failed to erase the δ .

20 The reading of the papyrus is supported by T and by II except that II adds "all" before "your works." "Because of (all Ga) your deeds" GaM.

21 $oi\chi i$ $\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ $\delta\epsilon\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\iota$ $ai\tau\sigma\hat{v}$: probably to be taken as "will you not be entreating him," rather than "will you not be in need of him." $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ has "ye cannot petition him," probably due to the translator's failure to see that $oi\chi i$ introduced a question. For $\delta\iota a \ \tau i \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ apparently read $\delta\iota\delta\tau\iota$, rendering it "because," but it may be that in the source of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ $\delta\iota\delta\tau\iota$ was written, not by error, but as an equivalent of $\delta\iota a \ \tau i$. See note on p. 3, 1. 22 $\tau\hat{\varphi} \ \sigma\tau\delta\mu a\tau\iota \ \delta\mu\omega\nu$: om. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$.

23 σκληρά: "insolent" $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$. μεγαλωσύνη: "righteousness" $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$, which adds "therefore ye shall have no peace." \mathcal{E} has "And see ye not," and it is possible that $oi\chi$ $\delta\rho\hat{a}\tau\epsilon$ was written in the papyrus, although the neighboring lines were not so long. But it is more likely that $oi\chi$, if present in the original, was omitted here through the influence of the preceding diphthong.

24 ναυκλήρουs: the meaning sailingmaster, skipper, captain, is common in later Greek; "kings of the ships" \mathbf{E} . Following Halévy (Journ. Asiat. 1867, p. 392) editors have explained this reading of \mathbf{E} as due to an error on the part of the Greek translator, who confused "sailors" with "αύκληροs and rendered its second element by negūš, a

25	μενουστηνθαλασσανϋποτουκ[
	νοσκαιχειμωνοσσεσα[]με[
	πλοιααυτωνκαιχειμαζομενοιπα[5
	τεσφοβουνταιεξωδετα[
	καιταυπαρχοντααυτωνεκ'βαλλο[
30	ειστηνθαλασσανκαιϋποִπִτ[
	σιντηκαρδιααυτωνοτιη[
	ταπειεταιαυτουσκαιεναυτ[
	ταιουχιπασαηθαλασσακαι[6
	υδατααυτησεργοντουυψ[
35	καιαυτοσσυνεστησατο[]π[
	τωνκαισυνεδησεναυτ[
	εφραξεναυτηναμμω[
	εμβριμησεωσαυτουφ[7
	ρενονταικαιοιϊχθυεσ.[
	Three lines are lost.	

word normally meaning king, though also (in a weaker sense) signifying chief (Worrell). The construction in 24-27 is irregular. $\tau o \dot{v} s \nu a v \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho o v s$ may be regarded as proleptic, as if $\theta \dot{a} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$ were to be followed by some such clause as ώς ύπό τοῦ κλύδωνος καί χειμώνος σεσάλευται τα πλοία αὐτών; in place of this clause the writer put a second object of $\delta \rho \hat{a} \tau \epsilon$ with participial modifier. It is not necessary to appeal to the doubtful "finite participle," for which see Mayser, II, 1. p. 341. With a pause after $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ the writer takes a fresh start with "ship-captains" understood as subject. 27 $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ reads $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu a \zeta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$ and adds "and are in distress"; the end of the word in the papyrus is badly injured, but o_i suits the traces better than a. 28 \mathbf{E} omits $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ and adds "and therefore;" at the end of the line there was room for nine or ten letters after

τά. Since *E* has "all their goodly possessions" $\tau \dot{a} [\dot{a}\gamma a\theta \dot{a} \pi \dot{a}\nu\tau a]$ is the most natural way of filling the gap; the fact that $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{a}\rho\chi\rho\nu\tau a$ says almost the same thing is scarcely an objection. Such words as $\phi o \rho \tau i a$, $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau a$, or even ἀγοράσματα might be suggested, or σκεύη, comparing Acts xxvii, 19, where $\tau \eta \nu \sigma \kappa \epsilon \upsilon \eta \nu$ is used under similar circumstances; but there are difficulties of space affecting some of these words, while the Ethiopic version casts doubt upon others. In place of $\xi \omega$ $\delta \epsilon \in \mathbf{E}$ has "that" or "because," and in line 29 it renders $\epsilon\kappa\beta\delta\lambda$ over as if it were intransitive, "go upon the sea" adding "with them," which is not in the Greek.

34 After $\ddot{v}\delta \alpha \tau \alpha \ a\dot{v}\tau \eta s \mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ adds "and all its movement."

35-37 Of the three parallel clauses only the last, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \phi \rho a \xi \epsilon \nu a i \tau \eta \nu \check{a} \mu \mu \dot{\omega}$ was certainly read by \mathbf{E} as it stands

25	μένους την θάλασσαν, ύπο τοῦ κ[λύδω-	
	νος και χειμώνος σεσα[λευ]μέ[να τὰ	
	πλοΐα αὐτῶν, καὶ χειμαζόμενοι πά[ν-	5
	τες φοβοῦνται, ἔξω δὲ τὰ [ἀγαθὰ πάντα	
	καὶ τὰ ὑπάρχοντα αὐτῶν ἐκβάλλο[υσιν	
30	είς τὴν θάλασσαν, καὶ ὑποπτ[εύου−	
	σιν τῆ καρδία αὐτῶν ὅτι ἡ [θάλασσα κα-	
	ταπίεται αὐτοὺς καὶ ἐν αὐτ[ŷ ἀπολοῦν-	
	ται. οὐχὶ πᾶσα ἡ θάλασσα καὶ [πάντα τὰ	6
	ὕδατα αὐτῆς ἔργον τοῦ ὑψ[ίστου ἐστί,	
35	καὶ αὐτὸς συνεστήσατο [τὰ] π[έρατα αὐ-	
	τῶν, καὶ συνέδησεν αὐτ[ήν, καὶ περι-	
	έφραξεν αὐτὴν ἄμμω; [καὶ ἀπὸ τῆς	
	ἐμβριμήσεωs αὐτοῦ φ[οβοῦνται καὶ ξη-	7
	ραίνονται, καὶ οἱ ἰχθύες.[
	Three lines are lost	

Three lines are lost.

in **65.** For the other two, the Ethiopic presents only one, which reads "has sealed all its doings" (Flemming, Martin, Beer, with most of Group II); "all" is lacking in M. "Has set limits to its doings" Charles, combining readings of E and Y. The former version ("sealed") can scarcely be reconciled with either of the first two clauses in the Greek text; the second version might represent the first clause, or a conflation of the two. After $\sigma_{\nu\nu\epsilon\sigma\tau\dot{n}\sigma a\tau o}$ there is a space from which all traces of writing have disappeared; it is too broad for one letter, slightly narrow for two, but careful measurement shows that $\tau \dot{a}$ could have stood there. The next letter could only have been π or γ . $\tau \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho a \tau a$ makes the best sense especially in view of the thought of the next two clauses. Perhaps $\pi \epsilon \rho a \tau a$

was corrupted to $\pi\rho\dot{a}\gamma\mu\alpha\tau a$, which the Ethiopic translator accepted as equivalent to $\pi\rho\dot{a}\xi\epsilon\iota s$. $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ is inconsistent with $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ in 37; the variation is probably due to the combination of a singular and a plural in the subject of the sentence (33-34). The writer returns to a plural in $\xi\eta$ - $\rho a\dot{\nu}\rho v\tau \tau a\iota$ (38-39).

Reminiscences of this passage, combined with Job xxxviii. 8 and Ps. lxiv (lxv). 7, are perhaps to be detected in Const. Apost. 8, 12 (Migne I, 1096 B), δ συστησάμενος ἅβυσσον.... περιφράξας δὲ αὐτὴν πύλαις ἅμμου λεπτοτάτης.

After 39 there are traces of one line, and two more would have been needed. $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ reads "and all its fish die, and all that is in it; but ye sinners that are on the earth fear him not. Has he not made the heaven and the earth," etc.

κα

р. 7	[]νκαιπανταταεναυτοισκαιτισεδωκενε	101, 8
	[]στημηνπασιντοισκεινουμενοισεν	
	[]θαλασσηοιναυκληροιτηνθαλασσαν	9
	[]βουνταικαιοτανεκβαληεφυμαστον	102, 1
5	[.]λυδωνατουπυροστησκαυσεωσυμων	
	πουαποδραντεσσωθησεσθαικαιοταν	
	δωεφυμασφωνηναυτουεσεσθαισυν	
	σειωμενοικαιφοβουμενοιηχωμεγαλω	
	καιτηνγηνσυνπασανσειωμενηνκαι	2
10	τρεμουσανκαιτυντραζομενηνκαιοιαγ'	3
	γελοισυντελουντεστοσυναχθεναυτοισ	
	καιοουρανοσκαιοιφοστηρεσσειωμενοι	
	καιτρεμοντεσαπαντεσοιϋιοιτησγησ	
	καιυμεισαμαρτωλοιεπικαταρατοιειστον	

1 οὐκ ἐποίησε τὸν οὐρανὸν καὶ τήν preceded. \mathbf{E} omits the καὶ before τἰs. 2 \mathbf{E} has "understanding and wisdom." 3-4 "Do not the kings of ships fear the sea?" \mathbf{E} ; as to "kings" for "sailors" compare the note on verse 4. After $\phi o \beta o ῦν τ αι \mathbf{E}$ has "yet sinners fear not the Most High."

4 καὶ ὅταν: "in those days when" \mathcal{E} . 5 For the phrase τὸν κλύδωνα τοῦ πυρὸς τῆς καὐσεως ὑμῶν \mathcal{E} has "a grievous fire;" the Greek is probably colored by Hebrew idiom.

7 $\delta \hat{\varphi}$: "hurl" **E**. $\phi \omega \nu \eta \nu$: "word" **E**. "Will you not" **E**, and perhaps the original of **G**; $o i \kappa$ after $a i \tau \sigma \hat{v}$ might be easily lost; see p. 6, 23, n.

8 $\eta\chi\psi$ μεγάλ ψ is not in **E**, but it may be taken as dat. of cause with φοβούμενοι and is probably in its right place here; cf. Sir. xlvi. 17, καὶ ἐβρόντησεν ἀπ' οὐρανοῦ κύριος, καὶ ἐν ηχψ μεγάλψ ἀκουστην ἐποίησεν την φωνην αὐτοῦ. 9-13 The Ethiopic reads "and all the lights (i.e. of heaven) shall tremble for great fear, and the whole earth will be frightened and tremble and be afraid. And all the angels shall fulfil their command and they shall try to hide themselves from the great glory, and the children of the earth shall tremble and quake."

The accusatives in 9-10 can stand only if some verb such as $\delta'\!\!\!/\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ is inserted after κai (9), as James suggested. κai oi $\check{a}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda oi$ would then begin a new clause, which, however, would have no finite verb; see note on 11. The revised text above (due to a suggestion of H. C. Youtie) treats the accusatives as written by mistake instead of nominatives, which continue, somewhat loosely, as if oix $\check{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\nu\tau ai$ had preceded.

10 $\tau \nu \nu \tau \rho a \zeta o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$: if we allow for the common confusion of λ and ρ , this

p. 7	[γῆ]ν καὶ πάντα τὰ ἐν αὐτοῖς; καὶ τίς ἔδωκεν ἐ- [πι]στήμην πᾶσιν τοῖς κινουμένοις ἐν	101, 8
	[τŷ] θαλάσση; οἱ ναύκληροι τὴν θάλασσαν	9
	[φο]βοῦνται. καὶ ὅταν ἐκβάλῃ ἐφ' ὑμᾶς τὸν	102, 1
5	[κ]λύδωνα τοῦ πυρὸς τῆς καύσεως ὑμῶν,	
	ποῦ ἀποδράντες σωθήσεσθε; καὶ ὅταν	
	δῷ ἐφ' ὑμᾶς φωνὴν αὐτοῦ, <οὐκ> ἔσεσθε συν-	
	σειόμενοι καὶ φοβούμενοι ήχω μεγάλω;	
	καὶ ἡ γῆ σύμπασα σειομένη καὶ	2
10	τρέμουσα καὶ συνταρασσομένη, καὶ οἱ ἄγ-	3
	γελοι συντελούντες τό συνταχθέν αύτοις,	
	καὶ ὁ οὐρανὸς καὶ οἱ φωστῆρες σειόμενοι	
	καὶ τρέμοντες, (καὶ) ἄπαντες οἱ υἰοὶ τῆς γῆς.	
	καὶ ὑμεῖς, ἁμαρτωλοὶ ἐπικατάρατοι εἰς τὸν	

would appear to be from Attic $\tau v v$ - $\tau \lambda \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, "to walk in mud" or "to pelt with mud" or "roll in mud," Ar. Pax 1148, Sosip. 1, 35. Here it could only mean something like "deluged with mud." But the verb is rare and attested only in Attic, and the context calls rather for a word describing general wreckage and confusion. The corruption probably conceals a form of $\sigma v \nu$ - $\tau a \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$, perhaps in some by-form. Compare $\theta \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$ for $\tau a \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$, used chiefly in poetry. But the following phenomena should also be noted: a) $\xi \theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ (from $\theta \rho a \zeta \omega$?) is a variant reading of A for $\epsilon\theta\lambda a\sigma\epsilon\nu$ in Job xx. 19; b) Arcadius, p. 157, 17, cites $\tau \rho \delta \zeta \omega$, usually regarded as an error; the passage was called to my attention by H. C. Youtie, to whom I also owe c) $\tau a \rho a \zeta \omega$ in Mod. Greek, which rests upon a late confusion of forms in $-\sigma\sigma\omega$ and $-\zeta\omega$. 11 $\sigma u \nu a \chi \theta \epsilon \nu$: read $\sigma u \nu \tau a \chi \theta \epsilon \nu$. The sentence beginning of $\[b]\gamma\epsilon\lambda oldsharphi$ has no

conclusion in the Greek. E has "will fulfil their command and try to hide themselves from the great glory." But the second predicate, "will try to hide," etc., looks very much as if it might have belonged to kal à oùpaνός καί οἱ φωστῆρες (l. 12). Conversely, in E "all the lights" (heaven is omitted) has for its predicate "shall tremble and fear," which would suit \ddot{a} γγελοι better. Perhaps the original text read something like this, beginning after τυντραζομενην: και ό ούρανός καί οι φωστήρες αποκρυβήσονται άπό τοῦ μεγαλοδόξου, και οι άγγελοι συντελούντες τό συνταχθέν αύτοις έσονται σειόμενοι καί τρέμοντες, καί άπαντες οι υίοι της γης. For μεγαλοδόξου (cf. 3 Macc. vi. 18), Family II of **E** has "the great in glory" where the others read "the great glory." The papyrus shows that Charles's suspicion of the word $\delta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o \iota$ was unfounded.

αιωναιουκεστινϋμιν χαιρειν θαρ	4
νοντωντωνδικαιωνκαιτωνευσεβων	
καιμηλυπισθαιοτικατεβησαναιψυχαι	5
ϋμωνειδουμεταλυπησκαιουκαπεν	
τηθητωσωματιτησσαρκοστουμωθεν	
τηζωηϋμωνκαιτηνωσιωτηταϋμων	
επειαιημεραιασηταιημεραιησαναμαρ	
τωλωνκαικαταρατωνεπιτησγησοτā	6
αποθανηταιτοτεερουσινοιαμαρτωλοι	
οτιευσεβεισκατατηνιμαρμενηναπε	
θανωσανκαιτιαυτοισπεριεγενετοεπι	
τοισεργοισαυτωνκαιαυτοιομοιωσημιν	7
απεθανοσανειδετεουνωσαποθνησ	
κουσινμεταλυπησκαισκοτουσκαιτι	
αυτοισεγενετοπερισσοναποτουνυνασ[
τητωσανκαισωθητωσανκαιοψοντα[8
ειστοαιωνακαλωσϋμασφαγεινκαι π ειν	9
τοιγαρουναρπασαικαιαμαρτανεινκ αι	
	σειταιψυχαιτωνδικαιωντωναποθα νοντωντωνδικαιωνκαιτωνευσεβων καιμηλυπισθαιοτικατεβησαναιψυχαι ϋμωνειδουμεταλυπησκαιουκαπεν τηθητωσωματιτησσαρκοστουμωθεν τηζωηϋμωνκαιτηνωσιωτηταϋμων επειαιημεραιασηταιημεραιησαναμαρ τωλωνκαικαταρατωνεπιτησγησοτā αποθανηταιτοτεερουσινοιαμαρτωλοι οτιευσεβεισκατατηνιμαρμενηναπε θανωσανκαιτιαυτοισπεριεγενετοεπι τοισεργοισαυτωνκαιαυτοιομοιωσημιν απεθανοσανειδετεουνωσαποθνησ κουσινμεταλυπησκαισκοτουσκαιτι αυτοισεγενετοπερισσοναποτουνυνασ[τητωσανκαισωθητωσανκαιοψοντα] ειστοαιωνακαλωσϋμασφαγεινκαιπειν

15 Read $ai\hat{\omega}\nu a$. By punctuating after $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{s}$ and $ai\hat{\omega}\nu a$ the Greek text may be accepted. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$ has "and you, sinners, shall be accursed for ever and you shall have no peace."

This passage, like several preceding, shows the scribe's mannerism of leaving a space between $\delta \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ and $\chi a i$ - $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu$; see Introduction p. 14.

16 $\delta\iota\kappa a\iota\omega\nu$: $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ continues "and be hopeful, ye who died in righteousness." Perhaps the Greek has lost $\kappa a\iota \epsilon \iota \epsilon \lambda$ - $\pi \iota \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \, \psi \upsilon \chi a \iota$.

17 καί τῶν εὐσεβῶν: om. \mathbf{E} .

18: ör1: "if" GGa.

19 $\epsilon \iota \delta o \upsilon$: read $\epsilon l \langle s \notin \rangle \delta o \upsilon$. $a \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \theta \eta$: for $a \pi \eta \nu \tau \eta \theta \eta$, a form apparently not attested elsewhere. L. and S. cite the impf. and perf. middle, and Lucian censures $a \pi a \nu \tau \omega \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, Lexiph. 25. The sense is "it did not happen to the body of your flesh" (a Hebraism?), i.e. "your body in the flesh did not fare," etc. Similarly **E**. Compare p. 2, 28.

20 $\tau o \upsilon \mu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$: read $\dot{\upsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ (Kenyon). I cannot explain the corruption.

21 και: read κατά.

22 "But on the day when you became sinners, and on the day of cursing and punishment" $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$; corrupt or mistranslated. James suggests $\langle \dot{\omega}s \rangle$ before the second $\eta\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha\iota$.

25-26 The article seems to be required before $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} s$. $a \pi \epsilon \theta a \nu \omega \sigma a \nu$: for $a \pi \epsilon \theta a \nu \omega \sigma a \nu = a \pi \dot{\epsilon} \theta a \nu \omega \sigma \mu$, common in LXX. "As we die, the righteous die" \mathbf{E} .

28 \mathbf{E} omits $\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\theta \dot{a}\nu o\sigma a\nu \ldots \dot{\omega}s$.

30 $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma\sigma\delta\nu$: **E** adds "than we."

30-32 $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\sigma}$... $ai\hat{\omega}\nu a$. For these words \boldsymbol{z} has "From henceforth we are

15	αἰῶνα, οὐκ ἔστιν ὑμῖν χαίρειν. θαρ- σεῖτε, ψυχαὶ τῶν δικαίων τῶν ἀποθα-	4
20	νόντων, τῶν δικαίων καὶ τῶν εὐσεβῶν, καὶ μὴ λυπεῖσθε ὅτι κατέβησαν αἰ ψυχαἰ ὑμῶν εἰ⟨s ῷ⟩δου μετὰ λὑπης καὶ οὐκ ἀπην- τήθη τῷ σώματι τῆς σαρκὸς ὑμῶν ἐν	5
25	τῆ ζωῆ ὑμῶν κατὰ τὴν ὀσιότητα ὑμῶν, ἐπεὶ αἱ ἡμέραι ἃς ἦτε ἡμέραι ἦσαν ἁμαρ- τωλῶν καὶ καταράτων ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς. ὅταν ἀποθάνητε, τότε ἐροῦσιν οἱ ἀμαρτωλοἰ ὅτι 〈οἱ〉 εὐσεβεῖς κατὰ τὴν εἰμαρμένην ἀπε-	6
	θάνοσαν, καὶ τἱ αὐτοῖς περιεγένετο ἐπὶ τοῖς ἕργοις αὐτῶν; καὶ αὐτοὶ ὁμοίως ἡμῖν ἀπεθάνοσαν. ἴδετε οὖν ὡς ἀποθνήσ- κουσιν μετὰ λύπης καὶ σκότους, καὶ τί	7
30	αὐτοῖς ἐγένετο περισσόν; ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν ἀ⟨να⟩σ- τήτωσαν καὶ σωθήτωσαν, καὶ ὄψοντα[ι εἰς τὸν αἰῶνα καλῶς ὑμᾶς φαγεῖν καὶ πεῖν. τοιγαροῦν ἁρπάσαι καὶ ἁμαρτάνειν καὶ	8 9

equal. And what will they receive and what will they see for ever?" ("What will they receive" MT, II; "how will they rise" GGaQ). At the end of 30 $a\sigma$ is certain, which indicates \dot{a} ναστήτωσαν, να having been lost by haplography. The separation of σ from τ at the end of the line is not an objection; cf. 36, and there are other examples. "We are equal" in E makes sense, but rests upon a misreading of $l\sigma\omega\theta\eta\sigma a\nu$ for $\sigma\omega\theta\eta\tau\omega\sigma a\nu$ with erroneous change of construction; hence the order in the copy used by \mathbf{E} (or at least by the source of GGaQ) must have been $\sigma\omega\theta\eta\tau\omega\sigma a\nu$ καὶ ἀναστήτωσαν.

32-33 After $al\hat{\omega}\nu a$ (32) **\mathcal{I}** adds "Behold, they too have died, and henceforth forever shall they see no light." The first clause may have been intro-

duced here from 27-28 where similar words are omitted by E: see note. But if it belongs here, *E* may be right in using an interrogative construction with $\delta\psi o\nu\tau a\iota$; in which case the Greek source must have been kal $\langle \tau i \rangle$ öyovtai eis $\tau i \langle v \rangle$ al $\hat{\omega}va$; $\langle i \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, καὶ ἐκεῖνοι ἀπεθάνοσαν, καὶ ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν εἰς τὸν αἰῶνα οὐκ ὄψονται φῶς). The repetition of $\delta \psi o \nu \tau a \iota$ and $a i \hat{\omega} \nu a$ would make the omission of the bracketed words easier. For the language cf. Ps. xxxv (xxxvi). 10, xlviii (xlix). 20.

A more probable explanation of the confusion in the text is suggested by the fact that **G** places $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}_s \ldots \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ in 32 while **E** has similar words, perhaps rightly, before $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \iota$ (33), where it reads "I tell you, sinners, ye are contented to eat and drink and

	[]πωδυτεινκαιενκτισθαικανκημ[
35	[]ημασαγαθαειδετεουνοιδικαιουντεσ	10
	[]ουσαποιαεγενετοαυτωνηκατασ	
	[]φηοτιπασαδικαιοσυνηουχευρε	
	[]εναυτοισεωσαποθανονκαιαπολον	11
	[]αιεγενοντοωσουκοντοεσκαικατε	
40	[]ναιψυχαιαυτωνμετωδυνησεισ	
	[]εγωομνυωϋμι[103, 1
	Three lines are lost.	

кβ

p. 8 επισταμαιτομυστηριοντουτοαν[103, 2
 γαρτασπλακαστουουρανουκαιειδ[
 γραφηναναγ'καιανεγνωνταγ[
 ναεναυταισκαιενκεκ·λαμμεν[

rob and sin and strip men naked and acquire possessions and see good days." The infinitives can scarcely stand after $\delta \psi o \nu \tau a \iota$, taking the place of the participle in description. Perhaps in 31-32 65 should read kal όψονται είς τόν αίωνα καλως ήμας έσθοντας καὶ πίνοντας, this clause being a continuation of the jeering άναστήτωσαν και σωθήτωσαν. The author replies to these taunts in 33, τοιγαροῦν (καλώς ἐστιν (so oftener than $\xi_{\chi\epsilon\iota}$ in LXX) $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{a}s \phi a\gamma\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$ κal $\pi\epsilon \hat{\imath}\nu$ $\kappa a \hat{\imath}\rangle$ $\dot{a}\rho\pi \dot{a}\sigma a \hat{\imath}$, etc., the occurrence of similar words leading to omissions and corruptions. The occurrence of present and aorist infinitives in the same construction without distinction of meaning is not unknown, cf. Radermacher, p. 151.

 $\pi\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ is doubtful, but suits the traces better than $\pi\iota\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$.

34 For $\epsilon\nu\kappa\tau\iota\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, read $\epsilon\gamma\kappa\tau\hat{\alpha}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, which may have been intended by the scribe; the iota is blotted as if the writer had tried to change it to something else. After this $\kappa \alpha \nu$ is clear, then follows $\kappa \eta \mu$. The passage is hopelessly corrupt. $\kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ is naturally expected, though not necessary; $\kappa \alpha i \ l \delta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ is required by \mathbf{E} .

35 Two letters are lost, then there is a vertical stroke, doubtless part of η , and μas . The scribe probably wrote $\epsilon \rho \eta \mu as$ for $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho as$. Read $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta \dot{a} \langle s \rangle$; σ lost before ϵ .

of $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon s$ è avrois: cf. Luke xvi. 15. One might expect the accusative; but a nominativus pendens is possible, and should not be emended. The Ethiopic translator wrongly took these words to mean "the righteous." Consequently verses 10–11 are taken by Flemming-Radermacher as a reply from the wicked to the author's voice in l. 33. Charles and Beer limit this reply to verse 11. Martin considers verses 10–11 as a part of the address to sinners in verse 9, but holds that the speaker is simply rehearsing the
$[\lambda ω] ποδυτεῖν καὶ ἐγκτᾶσθαι καὶ ἰδεῖν <math>(?)$

35	ημέρας άγαθάς (?). ιδετε ούν, οι δικαιούντες	10
	[ἐαυτ]ούς, δποία ἐγένετο αὐτῶν ἡ κατασ-	
	[τρο]φή, ὄτι πασα δικαιοσύνη οὐχ εὑρέ-	
	[θη] ἐν αὐτοῖς ἕως ἀπέθανον καὶ ἀπώλον-	11
	[το κ]αὶ ἐγένοντο ὡς οὐκ ὄντες καὶ κατέ-	
4 0	[βησα]ν ai ψυχαι αὐτῶν μετ' ὀδύνης εis	
	[ἄδου] έγὼ ὀμνύω ὑμῖ[ν	103, 1

Three lines are lost.

кβ

p. 8 ἐπίσταμαι τὸ μυστήριον τοῦτο· ἀν[έγνων 103, 2 γὰρ τὰς πλάκας τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καὶ εἶδ[ον τὴν γραφὴν ⟨τὴν⟩ ἀναγκαίαν· ἔγνων τὰ γ[εγραμμένα ἐν αὐταῖς καὶ ἐγκεκολαμμέν[α περὶ

charge that virtue is useless, with a view to answering it later.

37 δικαιοσύνη: "violence" \mathbf{E} . Either the translator read αδικαιοσυνη (dittography of final a of $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$) and accepted that as a possible equivalent of άδικία or άδίκημα, or he deliberately adapted the text before him to suit his notion that oi δικαιοῦντες έαυτούς meant the righteous. For $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \ldots oi = oi \delta \epsilon \mu i a$, cf. Abel §36 f, §75 n, and note on p. 4, 7.

41 In addition to adou three or at most four letters were completely lost, then follow traces of four before the recognizable $\epsilon\gamma\omega$. The first of those traces resembles λ or the first half of μ . The Ethiopic suggests $\nu\partial\nu$ dé or $\nu\partial\nu$ od ν . Neither exactly suits the traces.

Chapter 103, 1, of which we have only the beginning, reads in \mathbf{E} , "Now, therefore, I swear to you the righteous, by the glory of the Great and Honoured and Mighty One in dominion, and by his greatness I swear to you." The expression is suspiciously full; but equivalent Greek words could have been contained in the three lines that are lost.

2 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$: "and" Ξ . "In the heavenly tablets" MT, II.

3 $\langle \tau \eta \nu \rangle$ Kenyon. If $\tau \eta \nu \dot{a} \nu a \gamma \kappa a (a \nu)$ is right, it must mean "of authority;" $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma (\omega \nu)$ James, cf. "the books of the holy ones" GaM, II. "The holy books" $(\tau \eta \nu \dot{a} \gamma (a \nu))$ GQT.

One might suspect haplography and write $\langle \dot{a}\nu \rangle \dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega\nu$, but \mathbf{E} renders "found written," which may indicate a different verb from that in l. 1.

4 $\epsilon \nu \kappa \epsilon \kappa \cdot \lambda a \mu \mu \epsilon \nu [:$ read $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \epsilon \kappa o \lambda a \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu a$. The dot after the second κ is not the minute omicron occasionally used by this scribe, but an equivalent for the apostrophe between consonants; there is another case on p. 9, 34; cf. Thompson, *Palaeography*, p. 62.

¹ τοῦτο: so T, II; the others omit.

5	ϋμωνοτιαγαθακαιηχαρακαιητ[3
	ητοιμασταικαιεγ'γεγραπταιταισψ[
	τωναποθανοντωνευσεβωνκαιχα[4
	σονταικαιουμηαπολωνταιταπνα	
	αυτωνουδετωμνημοσυνοναποπροσ	
10	οπουτουμεγαλουεισπασαστασγενεασ	
	τωναιωνων μηουνφοβεισθαιτουσ	
	ονιδισμουσαυτωνκαιϋμεισοινεκροιτω	5
	αμαρτωλωνοταναποθανηταιερουσιν	
	<i>ε</i> φυμεινμακαριοιαμαρτωλοιπασασ	
15	τασημερασαυτωνωσαειδωσανεντη	
	ζωηαυτωνκαιενδοξοσαπεθανοσαν	6
	καικρισισουκεγενηθηεντηζωηαυ	
	τωναυτοιϋμεισγεινωσκεταιοτιεισα	7
	δουταξουσιντασψυχαισυμωνκαιεκει	
20	εσονταιεναναγ'κημεγαληκαιενσκο	8
	<i>τικαιενπαγι</i> · δεικαιενφλογεικαιομε	
	νηκαιεισκρισινμεγαληνεισελευσον	
	ταιαιψυχαιυμωνενπασαισταισγενε	
	αιστουαιωνοσ ουαιυμινουκεστ[
25	υμειν χαιρεινμηγαρειπητεοιδικ[9
		0

5 $\dot{\nu}\mu\omega\nu$: "them" **E**. $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\dot{\alpha}$: "everything good" **E**. Perhaps $\langle \tau\dot{\alpha} \rangle \dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\dot{\alpha}$, but the use of the article at this period presents many irregularities.

6 ήτοίμασται: GaT², II add "for them."

7 After $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$ **G** has omitted the following by homoioteleuton: "and that much good shall be given you in recompense for your labors, and that your lot is better than that of the living; and the spirits of you (of them MT) who have died in righteousness shall live"

8 χαρήσονται: GGaM, II add "and exult."

11 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ al $\omega \nu \omega \nu$: "of the world" \mathbf{E} .

12 oridisposs: the first ι was omitted, then written above the line, but by the original scribe. $\kappa a \ell \dots \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \omega - \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$: "Woe to you, sinners" $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.

13 $\delta \tau a \nu \dot{a} \pi o \theta \dot{a} \nu \eta \tau \epsilon$: om. family II. **E** adds "in the wealth of your sins, and those who are like you" (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 14 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 15 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 16 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 17 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 18 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{a} \rho \omega \omega$ " (say, etc.). 19 Perhaps $\mu a \kappa \dot{$

15 $\omega \sigma a \epsilon i \delta \omega \sigma a \nu$: l. $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma a \langle s \rangle \epsilon \ddot{i} \delta \sigma \sigma a \nu$ (Kenyon), i.e., "all the days that

5	ύμων, ὅτι ἀγαθὰ καὶ ἡ χαρὰ καὶ ἡ τ[ιμὴ	3
	ήτοίμασται καὶ ἐγγέγραπται ταῖs ψ[υχαῖs	
	τῶν ἀποθανόντων εὐσεβῶν καὶ χα[ρή-	4
	σονται καὶ οὐ μὴ ἀπόλωνται τὰ πνεύματα	
	αὐτῶν οὐδὲ τὸ μνημόσυνον ἀπὸ προσ-	
10	ώπου τοῦ μεγάλου εἰς πάσας τὰς γενεὰς	
	των alώνων. μη ούν φοβείσθε τουs	
	όνειδισμούς αύτων. και ύμεῖς, οι νεκροι των	5
	ἁμαρτωλῶν, ὅταν ἀποθάνητε ἐροῦσιν	
	ẻφ' ὑμῖν, μακάριοι ἁμαρτωλοὶ πάσας	
15	τàs ἡμέραs αὐτῶν ὄσαs εἴδοσαν ἐν τῆ	
	ζωῆ αὐτῶν, καὶ ἐνδόξως ἀπεθάνοσαν,	6
	καὶ κρίσις οὐκ ἐγενήθη ἐν τῆ ζωῆ αὐ-	
	των. aὐτοὶ ὑμεῖς γινώσκετε ὅτι εἰς ặ-	7
	δου <κα>τάξουσιν τὰς ψυχὰς ὑμῶν, καὶ ἐκεῖ	
20	ἕσονται ἐν ἀνάγκῃ μεγάλῃ καὶ ἐν σκό-	8
	τει καὶ ẻν παγίδι καὶ ẻν φλογὶ καιομέ-	
	νη, καὶ εἰς κρίσιν μεγάλην εἰσελεύσον-	
	ται αὶ ψυχαὶ ὑμῶν ἐν πάσαις ταῖς γενε-	
	aîs τοῦ alώνos. oὐal ὑμῖν, οὐκ ἔστ[ιν	
25	ὑμῖν χαίρειν. μὴ γὰρ εἴπητε οἱ δίκ[αι-	9

they have seen;" \mathbf{E} has "they have seen all their days." After this **G** has omitted by homoioteleuton "and now they have died in prosperity and wealth, and tribulation and murder they have not seen," which may be rendered exempli gratia kal v $\hat{\nu}\nu$ $\dot{a}\pi\epsilon$ - $\theta\dot{a}\nu\sigma\sigma a\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\epsilon\dot{\upsilon}\theta\eta\nu\dot{a}$ kal $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\pi\lambda o\dot{\upsilon}\tau\omega$, kal $\theta\lambda\hat{\iota}\psi\iota\nu$ kal $\phi\dot{o}\nu\sigma\nu$ oùk $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\delta\sigma\sigma a\nu$.

18 αὐτοί: om. E.

19 $\tau a\xi o v \sigma \iota v$: l. $\langle \kappa a \rangle \tau \dot{a} \xi o v \sigma \iota v$, with $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ "cause to descend;" cf. Tob. xiii. 2, Ps. xxx (xxxi). 17. $\psi v \chi a \hat{s}$: l. $\psi v \chi \dot{a} s$. $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} v$: so Q; "their" all the others.

και ... μεγάλη: "They shall be wretched and their affliction shall be great" E. 21 $\pi \alpha \gamma l \delta \iota$: figuratively, as often in LXX, cf. Prov. xii. 13, Jer. xxxi (xlviii). 43. "Chains" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$. Note the dot after the first iota.

22 καὶ εἰς κρίσιν μεγάλην: "where there is grievous judgment" (Charles) or "where the great judgment is held" $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$, which inserts "and the judgment shall be" before $i \nu \pi i \sigma a \iota s$ (23); it is doubtful whether the added clause represents an original different from the Greek.

24 τοῦ alώνος: "to eternity" (εἰς τὸν alώνa) II.

25 As before (p. 4, 24) there is a space (for three letters) between $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\nu}\nu$ and $\chi a i\rho\epsilon \nu$.

	οιοσοιοντεσεντηζωητωνημερ ω	
	τησθλιψεωσκοπουσεκοπιασαμενκ[
	ανηλωμεθακαιολιγοιεγενηθημενκ[
	αντιλημπτοραουκευρηκαμενσυν[10
30	τριμμενοικαιαπολωλαμενκαιαπ[
	πισμεθακαιμηκετιειδιναισωτερια[
	ημερανεξημερασηλπιζαμενγε[11
	θαικεφαληεγενηθημενκερ[
	πιασαμενεργαζομενοικαιτω[
35	ψιμωνουκεκυριευκαμενεγεν[
	μενκαταβρωμααμαρτωλων[
	μοιεβαρυνανεφυμαστωνζυ[
	οικυριευουσινοιεχροιημαθν[12
	κεντριζουσινημασκαιπερικ[
40	ουσινημασεζητησαμενπο[13
	[.] παυτωνοπωσαναψυχ[

Three lines are lost.

26 orol: 1. $\langle \kappa \alpha i \rangle$ or $\langle i \rangle$ or, as James suggests, $\langle oi \rangle$ $\delta \sigma \langle i \rangle oi$; "the just and good" E. . But instead of a phrase of address, **Z** has "regarding the just and good," which causes confusion in the persons: cf. Charles's note about the MS variants. I do not understand his conclusion that the third person is the original in verses 9-15; on his own showing the first is more natural. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$: **E** indicates that these words should mean "in the days," beginning a new sentence. But except in such phrases as nuépas kal vuktós the Greek of LXX uses temporal genitives sparingly. The idea would usually be expressed by $i\nu$ $\eta\mu i\rho a$ is $\theta\lambda i\psi \epsilon \omega s$, or $i\nu$ $\tau a \hat{s}$ ήμέραις της θλ(ψεωs; cf. Abel. p. 124.27 After έκοπιάσαμεν E adds "and we have experienced every trouble and met with much evil."

29 After $i\gamma\epsilon\nu\eta\theta\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$ **E** adds "and our spirit has become small, and we have been destroyed." $i\lambda\tau\iota\lambda\eta\mu$ - $\pi\tau\rho\rhoa$: "any to help us even with a word" **E**.

30 συντετριμμένοι (ἑσμέν): "We have been tortured" \mathbf{E} . It would be hard to parallel the construction after $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \pi i \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a$, although "we have given up hope even to know safety again from day to day" seems a possible translation. Various slight changes would produce a normal construction, but it has seemed best to leave the text untouched. \mathbf{E} has "and have not hoped to see life from day to day;" $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a =$ "life", as often in this version.

34-35 $\tau \omega [\nu \ o] \psi \iota \mu \omega \nu$, corruption of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

	οι (καὶ) ὄσιοι ὄντες ἐν τῆ ζωῆ, τῶν ἡμερῶν τῆς θλίψεως κόπους ἐκοπιάσαμεν, κ[αὶ	
	άνηλώμεθα και όλίγοι έγενήθημεν, κ[αι	
	ἀντιλήμπτορα οὐχ εὑρήκαμεν· συν[τε-	10
30	τριμμένοι 〈ἐσμὲν〉 καὶ ἀπολώλαμεν, καὶ ἀπ[ηλ-	
	πίσμεθα καὶ μηκέτι εἰδέναι σωτηρία[ν	
	ἡμέραν ἐξ ἡμέρας. ἠλπίσαμεν γε[νέσ-	11
	θαι κεφαλή, ἐγενήθημεν κέρ[κοs· ἐκο-	
	πιάσαμεν έργαζόμενοι καὶ τῶ[ν ὀ-	
35	ψωνίων οὐ κεκυριεύκαμεν. ἐγεν[ήθη-	
	μεν κατάβρωμα ἁμαρτωλῶν, [οἱ ἄνο-	
	μοι ἐβάρυναν ἐφ' ἡμᾶς τὸν ζυ[γόν.	
	οἳ κυριεύουσιν, οἱ ἐχθροὶ ἡμῶν(?) [ἐγ-	12
	κεντρίζουσιν ήμας και περικ[λεί-	
40	ουσιν ἡμâs: ἐζητήσαμεν πο[ῦ φύγωμεν	13
	[å]π' αὐτῶν ὅπως ἀναψύχ[ωμεν	

Three lines are lost.

 $\delta\psi\omega\nu l\omega\nu$ (Kenyon); cf. \mathbf{z} "and have not enjoyed the fruits of our labor." 36-37 [οἰ ἄνο]μοι: Kenyon.

38 $\epsilon \chi \rho \rho \iota$: l. $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \rho \dot{\iota}$. For the corrupt $\eta \mu a \theta \nu [$ Kenyon emends to $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ -. $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \rho \dot{\iota} \zeta \omega$ occurs in Wisdom xvi. 11. \mathbf{E} reads "they have had dominion over us that hated us and smote us."

39-40 Here \mathbf{z} reads "to those that hated us we have bowed our necks, but they pitied us not." As Charles suggests, "to those that hated us" is probably a dittography; "we have bowed our necks" appears to represent, probably incorrectly, the word at the end of 39 and the beginning of 40; and the last clause is not represented in Greek. For the mutilated word (39-40) $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa [\nu \kappa \lambda] \partial \bar{\nu} \sigma \iota \nu$ would give a satisfactory sense, and the verb is common in LXX; but the manner of the division at the end of the line makes it an unlikely reading here. $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\kappa\iota\kappa\lambda\epsilon\iota\omega$ is attested, as far as I know, only in Schol. Ar. Ran. 195. $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\kappa\iota\lambda\epsilon\iotaov\sigma\iota\nu$ is better and not too remote from common use; cf. P. Oxy. 1666, 12.

40 $\pi o[\hat{v} \phi \dot{v} \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu]$: for the construction cf. Prov. xxii. 27, Matt. viii. 20, Mark 18. $\langle \dot{a} \rangle \pi o[\delta \rho \hat{a} \nu a \iota]$ or xi. $\langle \dot{a} \rangle \pi o [\phi v \gamma \epsilon i \nu]$ (Kenyon) is also possible. "We sought to escape them in order to flee and have rest" E. 41 The missing part reads in \mathbf{E} "but we found no place whereunto we should flee and be safe from them. (14) And we complained to the rulers in our tribulation, and-"." Three lines would have sufficed for the Greek equivalent.

ĸγ

p. 9		<i>εκραξαμενεπιτουσκαταβαλλοντασ</i>	103, 14
-		[.]αιβιαζομενουσημασκαιτασεντευξεισ	
		[.]μωνουκαπεδεξαντοουδεεβουλον	
		[.]οεπακουσαιτησφωνησημωνκαιου	15
	5	καντελαμβανοντοημωνουχευρον	
		<i>τε</i> σκατατωνβιαζομενωνκαικαθεστ ω	
		τωνημασαλλαστερεουσιναυτουσεφυμασ	
		απεκτεινανημασκαιεισολειγουσηγαγον	
		καιουχυποδικυουσινπεριτωνπεφω	
	10	νευμενωνημωνκαιουκαναμιμνησ	
		κουσινπεριαμαρτωλωναυτωντασα	
		μαρτιασαυτωνωμνυωϋμινοτιοιαγ'γε	104, 1
		λοιεντωουρανωαναμιμνησκουσιν	
		εισαγαθονενωπιοντησδοξηστουμε	

1 τούς καταβάλλοντας: "those who devoured us" E, with a different text (cf. line 6 below); Aristophanes' colloquial use of $\epsilon \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ in the sense of "eat heartily" (Pax 1312) cannot be used to prove $\kappa a \tau a \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ = devour. In this passage $\kappa a \tau a \beta \dot{a} \lambda$ - $\lambda \epsilon \nu$ may be used somewhat vaguely in the sense of "strike down"; but it is more likely that it has the meaning "abuse," "insult," "slander." For this meaning L. and S. cite Philod. Voll. Rhet. II, p. 164 (Sudhaus); add Philod. $\pi\epsilon\rho i \pi a \rho \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma s$ p. 42, l. 11 (λοιδορείν ή πομπεύειν ή καταβάλλειν $\hat{\eta} \beta \lambda \dot{a} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$). Similar meanings were developed in $\kappa a \tau a \beta o \lambda \eta$ and $\kappa a \tau a \beta \lambda \eta$ - $\tau \iota \kappa \delta s$; see L. and S. under these words.

2 καὶ βιαζομένους: om. Ε. τὰς ἐντεύξεις: "our cry" Ε.

4-8 For the passage καὶ οὐκ ἀντελαμβάνοντο ... εἰς ὀλίγους ἤγαγον Æ has only "they helped those who robbed and devoured us and made us few."

5-6 obx $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon s \kappa a \tau \dot{a} \kappa \tau \lambda$: "finding no fault with" or "finding nothing against." A similar phrase, $\xi \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\kappa a \tau \dot{a}$ with genitive, in the sense of "hold something against," "harbor a grudge," occurs several times in the N.T. (Matt. v. 23, Rev. ii. 4, 14) and in the Shepherd of Hermas Mand. 2, 2; Sim. 8, 10, 1; 9, 23, 2; 9, 24, 2; in these passages it is used either with a neuter object or none at all. Compare $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\tau \iota s \kappa a \tau \dot{a} \tau \iota \nu os$ in the deacon's warning before the offertory (Const. Apost. 8, 12, Migne 1092A).

6 $\kappa a \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \overline{\omega} | \tau \omega v :$ l. $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \delta \nu \tau \omega v$ (Youtie). The form $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ for $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta i \omega$ is found in both LXX (Numb. xiii. 33) and N.T. (Mark xii. 40, text of B).

7 ἀλλὰ στερεοῦσιν αὐτοὺς ἐφ' ἡμâς: om. Ε.

~	
V.	

p. 9	9	έκράξαμεν έπὶ τοὺς καταβάλλοντας	103, 14
		[κ]αὶ βιαζομένους ἡμᾶς καὶ τὰς ἐντεύξεις [٤]μῶμ σία ἐπτεμέτανος σίδι ἐβούλου	
		[ή]μῶν οὐκ ἀπεδέξαντο, οὐδὲ ἐβούλον- [τ]ο ἐπακοῦσαι τῆς φωνῆς ἡμῶν. καὶ οὐ-	15
	5	ς, μαντελαμβάνοντο ήμων, ούχ εύρόν-	10
		τες κατὰ τῶν βιαζομένων καὶ κατεσθόν-	
		των ήμαs, άλλα στερεοῦσιν αὐτοὺs ἐφ' ἡμαs,	
		$\langle \mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{i} angle$ ἀ π έκτειναν ἡμ $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}$ s καὶ εἰς ὀλίγους ἤγαγον \cdot	
		καὶ οὐχ ὑποδεικνύουσιν περὶ τῶν πεφο-	
	10	νευμένων ήμῶν, καὶ οὐκ ἀναμιμνήσ-	
		κουσιν περί (τῶν) ἁμαρτωλῶν {αὐτῶν} τἀ s ἀ-	
		μαρτίας αὐτῶν. ὀμνύω ὑμῖν ὄτι οἱ ἄγγ ς-	104, 1
		λοι ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ ἀναμιμνήσκουσιν ⟨ὑμῶν⟩	
		eis ἀγαθὸν ἐνώπιον τῆς δόξης τοῦ με-	

8 The Ethiopic seems to indicate $\langle ol \rangle$ before $\dot{a}\pi \dot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\epsilon\iota\nu a\nu$ (see note on 4-8); if this is right, *airois* of line 7 approaches $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu ovs$ in meaning and serves as antecedent of the following clause.

9 After $\eta\gamma\alpha\gamma\sigma\nu \mathcal{E}$ has "and they concealed their oppression and they did not remove from us the yoke of those who devoured us, dispersed us and murdered us," for which there is no equivalent in Greek. $ob\chi \ b\pi\sigma\delta\iota\kappa b$ $ov\sigma\iota\nu$: 1. $ob\chi \ b\pi\sigma\delta\epsilon\iota\kappa\nu bov\sigma\iota\nu$ "they do not lay information against." "They concealed" \mathcal{E} .

The construction is peculiar; $\eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is apparently partitive. "Our murder" most MSS of \boldsymbol{z} , but others give the third person. The confusion probably rests upon a misunderstanding of the Greek text of verse 9. The Greek here, as in lines 2 and 7, supports the judgment of Dillmann and Flemming in the matter of the pronouns, against Charles, Martin, and Beer. See the notes of the editors on verse 9.

10-12 οὐκ ἀναμιμνήσκουσιν ... ἀμαρ- τ ias $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}v$. The simplest correction probably consists in inserting $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ before $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau\omega\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$ and omitting the first $a \delta \tau \hat{\omega} v$, with the rather awkward rendering "concerning the sinners they remember not their sins." But it is probable that we have a conflation of two constructions derived from variant readings in earlier copies: ούκ άναμιμνήσκουσιν περί των άμαρτιών αύτών and ούκ άναμ. τας άμαρ-The error $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau i\hat{\omega}\nu$ τίας αὐτῶν. \dot{a} μαρτωλών would be easy. **Ξ** gives no help: "and remembered not that they had lifted up their hands against us."

12 After $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\nu}\nu$ "ye righteous" is added in T², II.

13 $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ seems to be required at the end of the line; so **\mathcal{E}**.

15	γαλουθαρσειταιδηοτιεπαλαιωθηταιεν
	τοισκακοισκαιενταισθλιψεσινωσιφωσ
	τηρεστουουρανουαναλαμψεταικαιφα
	νειταιαιθυριδεστουουρανουανοιχθη
	σονταιϋμινκαιηκραυηϋμωνακου
20	σθησεταικαιηκρισισϋμωνηνκραζεται
	καιφανειταιεφωσασυλλαβησεταιυμιν
	περιτησθλιψεωσϋμωνκαιεκπαντων
	οστισμετεσχεντωνβραζομενωνκαι Α
	κατεσχοντωνϋμαστακακαεντηημε
25	ρατησκρισεωστησμεγαλησκαιουμηευ
	ρηταιωσοιαμαρτωλοισκυλησεσθαικαι

15 After $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{\alpha}\lambda\sigma\nu \in$ adds "and your names are written before the glory of the Great One." Is this simply an alternative version of the preceding sentence? For $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\lambda\alpha\iota\omega\theta\eta\tau\epsilon$ cf. Susanna 52 $\pi\epsilon\pi\alpha\lambda\alpha\iota\omega\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\rho\dot{\omega}\nu$ $\kappa\kappa\kappa\dot{\omega}\nu$; Ps. vi. 7 $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\lambda\alpha\iota\omega\theta\eta\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\pi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\iota$ $\tau o\hat{\iota}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rhoo\hat{\iota}s$ $\mu o\nu$. "Aforetime ye were put to shame through ill and affliction" ϵ . 16 Read $\dot{\omega}\sigma\epsilon i$; cf. Dan. xii. 3. Before this ϵ has "but now."

17 $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ I repeats "ye shall shine" before *kal \phi a \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau \epsilon*; II omits it.

18 θυρίδες: "door" **Ε**.

19-21: the words $\kappa a i \ldots \phi a \nu \epsilon i \tau a \iota$ are represented in \mathbf{E} by "and in your cry, cry for judgment, and it shall appear to you." $\kappa \rho a \upsilon \eta$: 1. $\kappa \rho a \upsilon \gamma \eta$; γ was lost by softening before η , cf. Mayser I, 163 f.

20 $\kappa\rho\delta\zeta\epsilon\tau\epsilon$: the sense is, "the judgment for which ye cry shall also appear."

21-24 $\beta \rho a \zeta o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$: l. $\beta \iota a \zeta o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$, noting the reverse error above p. 5, line 9, $a \dot{\iota} \mu a$ for $\ddot{a} \rho \mu a$. $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \dot{o} \nu \tau \omega \nu$: corrected by the first hand.

This sentence, which is obscure and

possibly corrupt, is probably best understood if no stop is placed after $\phi_{\alpha\nu\epsilon\hat{\imath}\tau\alpha\iota}$, and the thought "judgment shall appear," or "be made manifest" is continued with "against everything that shall take part in (or, contribute to) your oppression." $\sigma v \lambda$ - $\lambda \alpha \beta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ seems to be a hitherto unrecorded vulgarism for $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \eta \psi \epsilon \tau a \iota$. Mr. H. C. Youtie has called my attention to a passage which may throw some light upon it, namely Arcadius De Accent. p. 149, 14-18 Barker: ἕτι περισπώνται τὰ έχοντα προϋπάρχοντα όνόματα, φόβος φοβώ, ασεβής ασεβώ λαβή λαβώ· τὸ γὰρ λάβω ὑποτακτικόν έστι τοῦ ἕλαβον. I know of no other trace of the verb $\lambda \alpha \beta \hat{\omega}$, but $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \omega$ as a present indicative in Modern Greek is attested by Jannaris, §996, 149, and Hatzidakis, p. 125; it is obviously a new formation on the aorist stem. There is a difficulty in $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\nu}\nu$. With such a verb it ought to mean "with you;" hence, in this position, one can scarcely explain it as dative of disadvantage. Possibly $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ was omitted, a not unnatural error

2

3

5

15	γάλου. θαρσείτε δη ότι έπαλαιώθητε έν	2
	τοῖς κακοῖς καὶ ἐν ταῖς θλίψεσιν· ὡσεὶ φωσ-	
	τῆρες τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀναλάμψετε καὶ φα-	
	νεῖτε, αἱ θυρίδες τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀνοιχθή-	
	σονται ὑμΐν. καὶ ἡ κραυγὴ ὑμῶν ἀκου-	3
20	σθήσεται, καλ ή κρίσις ὑμῶν ἣν κράζετε	
	καὶ φανεῖται ἐφ' ὅσα συλλαβήσεται <ἐφ'〉 ὑμῖν	
	περί της θλίψεως ύμων, και έκ πάντων	
	ὄστις μετέσχεν των βιαζομένων καί	
	κατεσθόντων ύμας. <ού φοβήσεσθε> τα κακα έν τη ήμέ-	5
25	ρα της κρίσεως της μεγάλης και ου μη εύ-	
	$ ho \langle \epsilon \theta \rangle$ $\hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ώς οἱ ἀμαρτωλοί. $\langle \dot{a} \lambda \lambda' \dot{v} \mu \epsilon$ οἱ ἁμαρτωλοὶ \rangle	
	σκυλήσεσθε καί	

when the preposition occurs earlier in the same line; but $\dot{\epsilon}\phi' \dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\alpha}s$ is the regular way to express hostility. $\dot{\epsilon}\phi' \dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\nu}\nu$ could be regarded as an extension of the use of $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i$ with dative after verbs of emotion, for which see Mayser, II, 2, p. 474, and Johannessohn, p. 314.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa \pi \dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ (22): for this use of $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$, see note on 100, 4 (p. 5, 15). Thus, continuing the thought "judgment shall be made manifest (= exacted)" the writer goes on "from all who took part with those who oppressed and devoured you."

For these lines \mathbf{z} has "for all your tribulation shall be visited upon the rulers, and on all who helped those who plundered you"—Charles's version, with which other editors substantially agree.

24-28 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha} \dots \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a i \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu$. For this passage $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ offers a widely different text which it is best to consider in two parts. First we find the words "hope, and desist not from hoping, for you shall have great joy as the angels in heaven." There is no trace of any of this in the Greek text and we must suppose the scribe to have omitted a verse by accident, or else \mathbf{E} is interpolated. Next come these words: "What must you do then? You shall not need to hide yourselves on the day of the great judgment, and you shall not be found as sinners, and the eternal judgment shall remain far from you for all the generations of the world."

71

The Greek text lacks part of this, while it is a little fuller than the Ethiopic elsewhere; e.g., in the words $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ and $\sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$. What preceded $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ is uncertain: $\kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ could not have been construed with "hide from," which would need $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha}$ with genitive. It is simplest to supply où $\phi o \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$. Whether this was preceded by a question corresponding to \boldsymbol{z} "what must you do then" is doubtful; I suspect that these words formed no part of the Greek text. In lines 25-26 \boldsymbol{z} indicates a passive in place of $\epsilon \ddot{\nu} \rho \eta \tau \epsilon$; read $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \langle \epsilon \theta \rangle \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$.

In 26-28 **E** omits $\sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ and takes $\dot{\epsilon}\xi \dot{\nu}\mu \hat{\omega}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\sigma \tau a\iota$ in the sense of "shall be far from you." But the

	κρισισαιωνιοσεξϋμωνεσταιεισπασασ	
	τασγενεαστωναιωνωνμηφοβεισθαι	6
	οιδικαιοιοτανειδηταιτουσαμαρτωλουσ	
30	κατισχυοντασκαιευοδουμενουσκαι	
	μημετοχοιαυτωνγεινεσθαιαλλαμα	
	κραναπεχεσθαιαποπαντωντωναδι	
	κωναυτωνμηγαρειπητεοτιαμαρτω	7
	[.]οιουμηεκ'ζητησωσιναιαμαρτιαιυμω	
35	[]ημερωνκαινυνϋποδιγνυωϋμιν	8
	[]φωσκαισκοτοσημερακαινυξυπο	
	[.]τωουσιντασαμαρτιασυμωνπασασ	
	[.]ŋπλανασθαιτηκαρδιαυμωνμηδεψευ	9
	[]σθαιμηδεεξαλλοιωσητετουσλογουσ	
40	[]ληθειασμηδεκαταψευδεσθαιτώ	
	[]ψαγιουκαιμηδοτεεπαυτηντασ	
	[]μωνουγαρεισδικαιωμαε[.]σαγ[

meaning of $\xi\xi$ is clearly shown above and by the references on p. 5, 15; furthermore the words should apply to sinners rather than to the righteous. I would therefore assume that a reference to the sinners dropped out by homoioteleuton in line 26, and restore as follows after $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau\omega\lambda oi$: $\langle \dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{s}$ oi $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau\omega\lambda oi$: $\langle \dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{s}$ oi $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau\omega\lambda oi$: $\langle \kappa\lambda\lambda'$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{s}$ oi $\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau\omega\lambda oi$ $\sigma\kappa\nu\lambda\eta\sigma\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$, κai $\kappa\rho i\sigma is \kappa\tau\lambda$.; "But you sinners shall be troubled and eternal judgment shall be upon you," etc. For $ai\omega\nu\omega\nu \not\in$ has "world."

28 **E** has "and now" before "fear not."

30 εὐοδουμένους: "prospering in their ways" I, "prospering in their lust" II. κaί: so II; om. I.

32 $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ omits $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

33. Read $\dot{a}\delta\iota\kappa\langle\eta\mu\dot{a}\tau\rangle\omega\nu$. After $\dot{a}\dot{v}\tau\dot{\omega}\nu$ **E** adds "for ye shall become companions of the host (or, the angels, cf. Flemming *ad loc.*) of heaven." The original text of 33 was probably $\mu\eta \gamma d\rho \epsilon i\pi\eta \tau \epsilon$ of $\dot{a}\mu a\rho \tau \omega \lambda ol$ $\ddot{\sigma}\tau \kappa \tau \lambda$. \mathcal{E} has "although ye sinners say" or "ye sinners indeed say."

34 $\epsilon\kappa\zeta\eta\tau\eta\sigma\omega\sigma\iota\nu$: Probably an error for $\epsilon\kappa\zeta\eta\tau\eta\theta\omega\sigma\iota\nu$. Most Ethiopic MSS have "ye shall not search out all our sins;" N, followed by Charles and Beer, "all our sins shall not be searched out." Acc. to Charles, "all our sins" is nom. in T, II, acc. in GGa. After this $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ adds "and be written down" acc. to T, II; the others have "and write down" with variations in the person of the verb. Then follows "nevertheless they shall write down all your sins every day."

35 The space before $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ could accommodate three letters; but the scribe has made the first letters of several neighboring lines rather broad, and $\xi\xi$ might have filled the gap. $\xi\xi$ $\eta\mu\epsilon\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$ may be reconciled with Ξ

	κρίσις αἰώνιος ἐξ ὑμῶν ἔσται εἰς πάσας τὰς γενεὰς τῶν αἰώνων. μὴ φοβεῖσθε οἱ δίκαιοι ὅταν ἴδητε τοὺς ἁμαρτωλοὺς	6
30	κατισχύοντας καὶ εὐοδουμένους, καὶ	
	μὴ μέτοχοι αὐτῶν γίνεσθε, ἀλλὰ μα- κρὰν ἀπέχεσθε ἀπὸ πάντων τῶν ἀδι-	
	κ(ημάτ)ων αὐτῶν. μὴ γὰρ εἴπητε οἱ ἁμαρτω-	7
	[λ]οὶ (ὅτι) οὐ μὴ ἐκζητηθῶσιν αἱ ἁμαρτίαι ὑμῶν	
35	[] ἡμερῶν. καὶ νῦν ὑποδεικνύω ὑμῖν	8
	[] φῶς καὶ σκότος ἡμέρα καὶ νὺξ ἐπο-	
	[π]τεύουσιν τὰς ἁμαρτίας ὑμῶν πάσας.	
	[μ]ὴ πλανᾶσθε τῆ καρδία ὑμῶν μηδὲ ψεύ-	9
	[δε]σθε μηδὲ ἐξαλλοιώσητε τοὺς λόγους	
40	[τῆs å]ληθείas, μηδὲ καταψεύδεσθε τῶν	
	[λόγων το]ῦ ἁγίου, καὶ μὴ δότε ἔπαινον ταῖs	
	[εἰκόσιν ὑ]μῶν· οὐ γὰρ εἰς δικαίωμα ε[ἰ]σάγ[ου-	

(see note on 34) by supplying after it $\epsilon ls \eta \mu \epsilon \rho as$. $\epsilon \xi \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon ls \eta \mu \epsilon \rho as$ occurs several times in LXX.

υποδιγνυω: l. ὑποδεικνύω. For $\kappa \nu \rangle \gamma \nu$, see Mayser, I, 169 f.

36 Before $\phi\hat{\omega}s$ there are traces of two or possibly three letters and additional space for about two more letters at the left. $\delta\tau\iota$ may have been written broadly; $[\delta\tau\iota \kappa]a\iota$ is probably too long. $\delta\pi\omega s$ would fill the space better, and is occasionally used as an equivalent of $\delta\tau\iota$ (references in Moulton, *Vocabulary*, under $\delta\pi\omega s$, 2); but the use is colloquial and there is no example in the Greek Enoch.

37 υπο[π]τωουσιν: Ι. ἐποπτεύουσιν.

38 "Be not godless" E.

41 $\epsilon \pi a \upsilon \tau \eta \nu$: evidently corrupt. \mathbf{E} has "and do not take account of (or heed) your idols," though MT, II read "and do not praise." On the basis of

the latter reading one might suggest that **65** originally read $\kappa \alpha i \mu \eta \delta \delta \tau \epsilon$ έπαινον ταῖς [εἰκόσιν] ὑμῶν; on the other hand $\sigma \pi o \upsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \nu$ (proposed by Youtie) is palaeographically nearer to $\epsilon \pi a \nu \tau \eta \nu$ if we allow for the phonetic confusion of δ and τ ; and $\sigma \pi o \upsilon \delta \eta \nu$ διδόναι occurs in 2 Cor. viii. 16. $\sigma \pi o \upsilon \delta \eta \nu$ would also give a meaning that is fairly close to "heed," "attention." There is a slight difficulty in the dative since $\sigma \pi o \upsilon \delta \eta$ and $\sigma \pi o \upsilon \delta \delta \zeta \omega$ are usually associated with prepositional phrases introduced by $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, $\pi \rho \delta s$, $\upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho$; but $\delta \delta \tau \epsilon$ may have conduced to the use of the dative.

42 δικαίωμα: probably used carelessly for δικαιοσύνη; "righteousness" \mathcal{E} . The two words are occasionally confused in LXX, as appears from the variants on Prov. viii. 20, Ezek. xviii. 21.

 $[\ldots \ldots]\eta\kappa[\ldots]\pi a\sigma a[$ Two lines are lost.

κδ

p. 10	τησαληθειασεξαλλοιουσινκαιαντι[φουσινοιαμαρτωλοικαιαλλασσουσι[τουσπολλουσκαιψευδοντεκαιπλασσο[104, 10
_	πλασματαμεγαλακαιτασγραφασαν[
5	φουσινεπιτοισονομασιναυτωνκα[11
	οφελονπανταστουσλογουσμουγραφω[
	επαληθειασεπιταονοματααυτουκαι[
	τεαφελωσινμητεαλλοιωσουσιντονλο	
	γοντουτοναλλαπανταεπαληθειασγρα	
10	φωσιναεγωδιαμαρτυρουμαιαυτοισκαι	12
	παλινεγωγεινωσκωμυστηριονδευτε	
	ρονοτιδικαιοσκαιοσειοσκαιφρονιμοσ	
	δοθησονταιαιβιβλοιμουεισχαραναλη	
	θειασκαιαυτοιπιστευσωσιναυταισκαιεν	13

43 [$\eta \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta$]: cf. 38 and note on p. 5, 39. Two lines were lost, which may have contained, e.g., $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu \ \dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda' \ \epsilon is$ $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\nu \ \dot{\alpha}\mu\alpha\rho\tau i\alpha\nu$. $\kappa a i \ \nu\hat{\nu}\nu \ \gamma\epsilon\iota\nu\dot{\omega}\sigma\kappa\omega$ $\tau\hat{\nu}\tau\sigma \ \tau\dot{\sigma} \ \mu\nu\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\iota\nu\sigma$, $\ddot{\sigma}\tau \ \tau\sigma\dot{\nu}s \ \lambda\dot{\sigma}\gamma\sigma\nus$ —. For the latter part of verse 9 and the beginning of verse 10 **E** has "for all your lying and all your godlessness issue not in righteousness, but in great sin. And now I know this mystery, that sinners will alter and pervert the words of righteousness in many ways," etc.

1 ἀντι $(\gamma \rho \dot{a})\phi o v \sigma \iota$: "pervert" **Ξ**. Good examples of ἀντιγράφω in the sense of "write against" or "attack in writing" may be found in Philodemus, Voll. Rhet. I, p. 12, 25, II, p. 208, 9; *De ira*, p. 86 W; it is not a common use of the word. $\dot{a}\nu\tau\iota\sigma\tau\rho\dot{e}\phi\omega$, retort an argument (Arist. and Philod.) is less satisfactory here.

2 oi ἀμαρτωλοί: "many sinners" Q, II; "sinners ... (will pervert) ... in many ways" GGaMT.

2-3 kal ... $\pi \circ \lambda \rangle \circ s$: om. \mathbf{E} , which has in this place "and will speak wicked words," lacking in **65**.

4-5 \mathbf{E} "will write books concerning their words." The Greek seems to mean "they copy (or re-write?) the writings (Scriptures) in their names;" cf. 1 Macc. xiv. 43, $\delta\pi\omega s \gamma\rho\dot{a}\phi\omega\nu\tau a\iota$ $i\pi\dot{a} \tau \dot{\omega} \delta\nu\delta\mu a\tau\iota a\dot{v}\tau \delta\dot{v} \pi a\sigma a\iota \sigma v\gamma\gamma\rho a \phi a \dot{\iota}$.

[σιν πάντα τὰ ψεύδ]η κ[αλ] πᾶσα [ἡ πλάνη Two lines are lost.

κδ

p. 10	τῆς ἀληθείας ἐξαλλοιοῦσιν καὶ ἀντι[γρά- φουσιν οἱ ἁμαρτωλοὶ καὶ ἀλλάσσουσι[ν	104,	10
	τοὺς πολλούς, καὶ ψεὐδονται καὶ πλάσσο[υσιν		
	πλάσματα μεγάλα καὶ τὰς γραφὰς ἀν[αγρά-		
5	φουσιν ἐπὶ τοῖς ὀνόμασιν αὐτῶν κα[ὶ		11
	ὄφελον πάντας τοὺς λόγους μου γράφω[σιν		
	ἐπ' ἀληθείας ἐπὶ τὰ ὀνόματα αὐτῶν καὶ [μή-		
	τε ἀφέλωσιν μήτε ἀλλοιώσωσιν τῶν λό-		
	γων τούτων, ἀλλὰ πάντα ἐπ' ἀληθείας γρά-		
10	φωσιν & έγὼ διαμαρτυροῦμαι αὐτοῖς. καὶ		12
	πάλιν έγὼ γινώσκω μυστήριον δεύτε-		
	ρον, ὅτι δικαίοις καὶ ὁσίοις καὶ φρονίμοις		
	δοθήσονται αί βίβλοι μου είς χαράν άλη-		
	θείας, και αυτοί πιστεύσουσιν αυταις και έν		13

6 $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu$ occurs in this form in both LXX and N.T. In wishes about the future it is found with opt. Job xxx. 24, Ps. cxviii (cxix). 5; with future $(\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\kappa\delta\psi\sigma\nu\tau\alpha\iota)$ in Gal. v. 12, where DEFG read $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\kappa\delta\psi\sigma\nu\tau\alpha\iota$. The subjunctive is certainly intended here. Lucian treats the construction as a solecism (Soloec. 1). For $\kappa\alpha\iota$ $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu$ **E** has "but when." $\mu\sigma\nu$: so TU, II; the others omit.

7 a $\dot{v}\tau o\hat{v}$: error for $a\dot{v}\tau a\dot{v}$. \mathcal{E} has "in their languages." The author probably means "would that they would write my words truly in their own names and neither curtail nor change them," *i.e.* the plagiarism is nothing if the message is left intact. 8 Read άλλοιώσωσιν τῶν λόγων τούτων. It is not necessary to supply τι. Cf. Rev. xxii. 19, ἐάν τις ἀφέλη ἀπὸ τῶν λόγων.

10 & έγω διαμαρτυροῦμαι αὐτοῖs: "that I first testified about them" \mathbf{E} . 11 καὶ πάλιν: "then" or "so" \mathbf{E} .

12 E omits και δσίοις.

13 \mathbf{E} omits μov . $\epsilon is \chi a \rho a \nu a \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i as:$ $cf. Philipp. i. 25, <math>\epsilon i s \tau \eta \nu \ \nu \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \ \pi \rho o - \kappa \sigma \pi \eta \nu \kappa a l \chi a \rho a \nu \ \tau \eta s \ \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$. \mathbf{E} coordinates "joy" and "righteousness" (which often represents $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a$) and adds "and much (or manifold) wisdom."

14 Before καὶ aὐτοἱ \mathbf{E} has "and to them shall the books be given." Read $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ὑ \sigma o \upsilon \sigma \iota \nu$.

15	αυτοισχαρησονταικαιαγαλλιασονταιπαν	
	<i>τε</i> σοιδικαιοιμαθεινεξαυτωνπασασ	
	τασοδουστησαληθειασ μεταδεχρονο	106, 1
	ελαβονμαθουσαλεκ'τωυιωμουγυναικαν	
	καιετεκενυιονκαιεκαλεσεντοονομα	
20	αυτουλαμεχ · εταπεινωθηηδικαιοσυνη	
	μεχριτησημερασεκεινησκαιοτεεισηλι	
	κιανεπηλθενελαβεναυτογυναικαν	
	καιετεκεναυτωπαιδιονκαιοτεεγεννη	2
	θητοπαιδιονηντοσωμαλευκοτερονχει	
25	ωνοσκαιπυροτερονρωδουτοτριχομα	
	πανλευκονκαιωσερειαλευκακαιουλοσ	
	καιενδοξονκαιοτεανεωξεντουσο	
	φθαλμουσελαμψενηοικιαωσειηλιοσ	3
	καιανεστηεκτωνχειρωντησμαιασ	
30	καιανεωξεντοστομακαιευλωγησεν	
	τωκωκαιεφοβηθηλαμεκ'απαυτο[4
	καιεφυγενκαιηλθονπροσμαθου[
	αλακ'τονπατερααυτουκαιειπεναυτ[5

15 aurois: error for $a\dot{v}\tau a\hat{i}s$. $\dot{a}\gamma a\lambda\lambda i$ - $\dot{a}\sigma ov\tau ai$: \mathbf{E} has "and then shall all the righteous, who have learned from them all the paths of righteousness, be recompensed." The difference between this and the Greek lies in the single word $\dot{a}\gamma a\lambda\lambda i \dot{a}\sigma ov\tau ai$, which the Ethiopic translator misunderstood or translated loosely, adapting the construction of $\mu a\theta \hat{e} \hat{v} v$ to his misconception of its meaning.

17 Charles's doubts about the appropriateness, for this place, of ch. 105 of the Ethiopic text are shown to have been fully justified. The Greek passes directly from 104 to 106, which, together with 107, is generally considered to be a fragment of a Book of Noah.

18 Matourálex: here and in l. 33 the final consonant was first written χ ; afterwards a vertical stroke was made through χ to convert it into κ , which is the spelling in p. 11, 9 and p. 12, 13. The ink is the same and the correction appears to be by the first hand. In LXX the spelling is Matourála. $\gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa a \nu$: l. $\gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa a$. For this vulgar error, cf. Abel, p. 35. For the whole passage 18-22 **E** has only "my son Methusaleh took a wife for his son Lamech."

22 $\gamma \nu \nu a \hat{\iota} \kappa a$: **E** adds "and she became

15	αὐταῖς χαρήσονται, καὶ ἀγαλλιάσονται πάν- τες οἱ δίκαιοι μαθεῖν ἐξ αὐτῶν πάσας	
	τàs ὁδοὺs τῆs ἀληθείas. μετὰ δὲ χρόνον	106, 1
	ἕλαβον Μαθουσάλεκ τῷ υἱῷ μου γυναῖκα	
	καὶ ἔτεκεν υἰὸν καὶ ἐκάλεσεν τὸ ὄνομα	
20	αὐτοῦ Λάμεχ· ἐταπεινώθη ἡ δικαιοσύνη	
	μέχρι τῆς ἡμέρας ἐκείνης. καὶ ὅτε εἰς ἡλι-	
	κίαν ἐπῆλθεν, ἕλαβεν αὐτῷ γυναῖκα·	
	καὶ ἕτεκεν αὐτῷ παιδίον, καὶ ὅτε ἐγεννή-	2
	θη τὸ παιδίον ἦν τὸ σῶμα λευκότερον χι-	
25	όνος καὶ πυρρότερον ῥόδου, τὸ τρίχωμα	
	πâν λευκὸν καὶ ὡς ἕρια λευκὰ καὶ οὖλον	
	καὶ ἕνδοξον. καὶ ὅτε ἀνέφξεν τοὺς ὀ-	
	φθαλμούς, έλαμψεν ή οἰκία ώσεὶ ήλιος.	
	καὶ ἀνέστη ἐκ τῶν χειρῶν τῆς μαίας	3
30	καὶ ἀνέωξεν τὸ στόμα καὶ εὐλόγησεν	
	τῷ κυρίω· καὶ ἐφοβήθη Λάμεχ ἀπ' αὐτο[ῦ	4
	καὶ ἔφυγεν καὶ ἦλθεν πρòs Μαθου[σ-	
	άλεκ τὸν πατέρα αὐτοῦ καὶ εἶπεν αὐτ $[\hat{\omega}]$	5

pregnant by him."

23-24 ὅτε ἐγεννήθη τὸ παιδίον: om. **Ε**. 24 "White as snow" **Ε**.

25 πυροτερον: l. πυρρότερον. "Red as the flower of a rose" **Ε**. Cf. Apoc. Pet. 3, 8 τὰ μὲν γὰρ σώματα αὐτῶν ἦν λευκότερα πάσης χιώνος καὶ ἐρυθρότερα παντὸς ῥόδου.

For $\tau \delta \tau \rho i \chi \omega \mu a \ldots \epsilon \nu \delta \delta \xi \delta \nu$ (25-27) **E** has "the hair of his head, and his crown, were white as wool and his eyes beautiful." Here "and his crown" is probably a supplementary effort to render $\tau \rho i \chi \omega \mu a$. $\delta v \lambda \delta \nu$ was corrupt in the translator's copy or else he did not understand the word. 28 "He lighted up the whole house like the sun, so that the whole house was very bright" $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.

29 kal $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta$: "and thereupon he arose" GGa, "and when he arose" all others.

30-31 Read $\epsilon i \lambda \delta \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. \mathbf{E} has "and talked with the Lord of Righteousness," to which Q adds "and blessed the Lord of Heaven." The dative with $\epsilon i \lambda \delta \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ is found also in ch. 10, 21 and 12, 3. Cf. Helbing, p. 20. $\Lambda a \mu \epsilon \kappa$: 1. $\Lambda \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \chi$. "His father Lamech" \mathbf{E} . For $\dot{a} \pi \delta$ after $\phi \sigma \beta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$, cf. Helbing, p. 29.

33 Malousá $\lambda \epsilon \kappa$: see note on 18.

	τεκνωνεγεννηθημουαλοιονουχομ[
35	οντοισανθρωποισαλλατοιστεκνοι[
	αγ'γελωντουουρανουκαιοτυποσαλο[
	τεροσουχομοιωσημινταομμα[
	ωσακτινεστουηλιουκαιενδοξ[
	σοπονκαιϋπολαμβανωοτιουκ[6
4 0	έξουαλλαεξαγ'γελουκαιευλαβο[
	τονμηποτετιεστεενταισημ[
	τουεντηγηκαιπαρετουμαιπ[7
	δεομαιβαδισονπροσενω[
	[]μωνκαιε[

Two lines are lost.

ΛC		

p. 11		[]νπροσεμεειστατερματατησγησου	106, 8
		[]εντοτεειναιμεκαιειπενμοιπατερ	
		[]επακουσοντησφωνησμουκαιηκαι	
		[]μεκαιηκουσατηνφωνηναυτουκαι	
	5	[]θονπροσαυτονκαειπαειδουπαριμειτε	
		κνωνδιατιεληλυθασπροσεμετεκνονκαι	9
		απεκριθηλεγωνδιαναγ'κηνμεγαληνηλ	
		θονοδεπατερκαινυνεγεννηθητεκνον	10

34 $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \eta$: "I have begotten" \boldsymbol{E} . $\mu o \iota$ would be expected here, but $\mu o \nu$ can scarcely be treated simply as a *lapsus calami*. Whether the scribe referred the genitive back to $\tau \epsilon \kappa r \sigma \nu$ as possessive, or anticipated the Byzantine tendency to substitute gen. for dat. is not certain; cf. Jannaris, §1350.

35 τοιs ανθρώποις: "a man" E.

35-36 τοῖς τέκνοι[ς τῶν] ἀγγέλων τοῦ οὐρανοῦ: so **Ε**. Charles wrongly emends to "the sons of the God of heaven."

37-38 Compare Apoc. Pet. 3.7, ἐξήρχε-

το γάρ άπό της όψεως αύτων άκτιν ώς ήλίου.

39 After oùx there is space for about seven letters. $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ is satisfactory, but $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \eta$ is not impossible.

40 $\epsilon\xi ov$ would naturally represent $\epsilon\kappa$ $\sigma o\hat{v}$; this is a fairly common error in papyri. But it is more likely that the syllable $\epsilon\mu$ was omitted by accident. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ "of me"; the Latin has *ne non ex eo natus esset nisi nontius dei*, which, in view of the crudity of the version, gives little help. For $\epsilon\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda ov \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ has "angels."

41 $\tau\iota$: "a wonder" **E**.

τέκνον ἐγεννήθη μου ἀλλοῖον, οὐχ ὅμ[οιον τοῖς ἀνθρώποις ἀλλὰ τοῖς τέκνοι[ς τῶν ἀγγέλων τοῦ οὐρανοῦ, καὶ ὁ τύπος ἀλλο[ιότερος, οὐχ ὅμοιος ἡμῖν· τὰ ὅμμα[τά ἐστιν ὡς ἀκτῖνες τοῦ ἡλίου, καὶ ἕνδοξ[ον τὸ πρόσωπον· καὶ ὑπολαμβάνω ὅτι οὐκ [ἔστιν 6 ἐξ ⟨ἐμ⟩οῦ ἀλλὰ ἐξ ἀγγέλου, καὶ εὐλαβο[ῦμαι αὐτὸν μήποτέ τι ἔσται ἐν ταῖς ἡμ[έραις αὐτοῦ ἐν τῆ γῆ. καὶ παραιτοῦμαι, π[άτερ, καὶ 7 δέομαι, βάδισον πρὸς Ἐνώ[χ τὸν πατέ-[ρα ἡ]μῶν καὶ ἐ[ρώτησον? Two lines are lost.

к€

- p. 11 $[\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon] \nu \pi \rho \delta s \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon i s \tau \dot{a} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu a \tau a \tau \eta s \gamma \eta s o v 106, 8<math>[\epsilon i \delta] \epsilon \nu \tau \delta \tau \epsilon \epsilon i \nu a \iota \mu \epsilon \kappa a \iota \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu \mu o \iota, \pi a \tau \epsilon \rho$ $[\mu o \upsilon] \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \kappa o \upsilon \sigma v \tau \eta s \phi w v \eta s \mu o \upsilon \kappa a \iota \eta \kappa \epsilon$ $[\mu o \upsilon] \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \kappa o \upsilon \sigma v \tau \eta s \phi w v \eta s \mu o \upsilon \kappa a \iota \eta \kappa \epsilon$ $[\pi \rho \delta s] \mu \epsilon$. $\kappa a \iota \eta \kappa o \upsilon \sigma a \tau \eta \nu \phi w v \eta \nu a \iota \tau o \upsilon \kappa a \iota$ 5 $[\eta \lambda] \theta o \nu \pi \rho \delta s a \iota \tau \delta \nu \kappa a \iota \epsilon i \pi a, i \delta o \upsilon \pi a \rho \epsilon \iota \mu \iota \tau \epsilon$ $\kappa \nu o \nu \cdot \delta \iota a \tau \iota \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \lambda \upsilon \theta a s \pi \rho \delta s \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}, \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu o \nu; \kappa a \iota$ 9 $a \pi \epsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu, \delta \iota' a \nu a \gamma \kappa \eta \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \eta \nu \eta \lambda$ 9 $\theta o \nu \delta \delta \epsilon, \pi a \tau \epsilon \rho \cdot \kappa a \iota \nu v \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu o \nu$ 10
- 42 καί: "and now" **E**.

35

40

44 The text may have continued $\epsilon\rho\omega$ - $\tau\eta\sigma\sigma\nu \ a\dot{v}\tau\partial\nu \ \pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}\ \tau\hat{\eta}s\ \dot{a}\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\dot{\iota}as$ ("learn the truth from him" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$); but the traces after $\omega\nu$ are doubtful in the extreme. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ proceeds "for his dwelling-place is among the angels. And when Methuselah heard the words of his son—." Two lines of Greek text, which would have sufficed for this, have been lost.

2 [$\epsilon i \delta] \epsilon \nu$: Kenyon; "had heard" $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. For $\kappa a i \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu \ldots \pi \rho \delta s \mu \epsilon$ (2-4) $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ has only "and he cried aloud." 5 καειπα: read και είπα; \mathbf{E} adds "to him."

6 $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau\iota$: Lat. quid est quod (perhaps $\tau\iota$ $\delta\tau\iota$, an easy corruption of $\delta\iota\delta\tau\iota$); $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ "for." Charles suggests that the translator confused $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau\iota$ and $\delta\iota\delta\tau\iota$. But it is possible that the Greek original of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ actually had $\delta\iota\delta\tau\iota$ interrogative, and the translator misunderstood it. See notes on p. 3, 1 and p. 6, 21. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ omits the second $\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$. 8 Read $\delta\delta\epsilon$: $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ "to thee," omitting $\pi\dot{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\rho$ here and adding "and because of a disturbing vision have I approached." $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ also adds after $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$

	μαθουσαλεκ'τωϋιωμουκαιοτυποσαυτου	
10	καιηεικονααυτουλευκωτερονχειωνοσ	
	καιπυροτερονρωδουκαιτοτριχοματησκε	
	φαλησαυτουλευκοτερονερειονλευκων	
	καιταομματααυτουεφομοιαταιστουηλιου	
	ακτισινκαιανεστηαποτωντησμαιασχει	11
15	ρωνκαιανοιξαστοστομαευλογησεντον	
	Ϝντουαιωνοσκαιεφοβηθηουιοσμου	12
	λαμεχ · καιεφυγενπροσεμεκαιουπιστευ	
	<i>ειοτι</i> ϋιοσαυτουεστιναλλαοτιεξαγ'γελων	
	τηνακριβιανεχιεικαιτηναληθειαντοτε	13
20	απεκριθηνλεγωναναξκαινισειο κσ προσ	
	ταγμαεπιτησγησκαιτοναυτοντροποντε	
	κνοντεθεαμαικαιεσημανασοιενγαρ	
	τηγενεαιαρεδ' του πρσμου παρεβησαν	
	τονλογονκυαποτησδιαθηκηστουουρανου	

"hear me, father."

9 $\mu \alpha \theta o \upsilon \sigma \alpha \lambda \epsilon \kappa$: error for $\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \chi$. After $\mu o \upsilon \mathbf{E}$ adds "who has no equal."

10 $\epsilon\iota\kappa\sigma\nua$: for $\epsilon\iota\kappa\omega\nu$; dittography of a. In 9-10 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ reads "his nature is not like man's nature and his color (or, the color of his body, with Ga; see Charles) is," etc. The words $\delta \tau \iota \pi \sigma \sigma$... $\epsilon\iota\kappa\omega\nu$ a $\iota \tau \sigma \delta$ seem to represent nature, and the Greek scribe probably omitted the remainder, viz. $\sigma\iota\chi$ $\delta\mu\sigma\iota\sigma\sigma$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\iota\sigma$, $\kappa a\iota \tau \delta \chi\rho\omega\mu a a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma \delta$. Cf. verses 2 and 5.

13 εφομοια: probably an error for $\dot{a}\phi \dot{b}\mu o \iota a$. Neither $\dot{\epsilon}\phi \dot{b}\mu o \iota os$ nor $\dot{\epsilon}\phi o \mu o\iota \dot{\omega} \omega$ is satisfactorily attested. Sophocles cited Philo de Abrahamo 69 (Cohn-Wendland IV p. 17) for $\dot{\epsilon}\phi o \mu o\iota \dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$, which occurred in Mangey's text, but the MSS read $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ -. Both $\dot{\epsilon}\xi o\mu o\iota \dot{\omega} \omega$ and $\dot{a}\phi o\mu o\iota \dot{\omega} \omega$ are fairly common, but not the adjectives corresponding. L. and S. do not cite $\dot{\epsilon}\xi \dot{\phi} \mu o\iota os$, and for $\dot{a}\phi \dot{\phi} \mu o\iota os$ they refer only to Diosc. 5, 102, where it means unlike, and to the prologue to Sirach, where the neuter as a substantive occurs in the phrase où $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{a} s \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \iota a s$ $\dot{a} \phi \dot{o} \mu o \iota o \nu$.

14 $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\tau\hat{\imath}\sigma\iota\nu$: here $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ adds "and he opened his eyes and lighted up the whole house."

15 After $\chi \epsilon_i \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ the Latin adds eadem hora qua procidit de utero matris suae. This takes the place of $\dot{a}\nu o \xi as$ $\tau \delta \sigma \tau \delta \mu a$, omitted in Latin.

16 $\tau o \hat{v}$ alâvos: Latin viventem in saecula, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ "of heaven." According to Charles "the Lord of Heaven" occurs only here in Enoch. δ viós $\mu o v$: "his father" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$, om. Lat.

18 öt vids autoù $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$: "that he is sprung from him" **E**.

18-19 $\delta\tau\iota$ $\xi\xi$ $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\omega\nu$... $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\iota\alpha\nu$. For this passage \mathbf{z} reads "(he is) the image of the angels of Heaven; and behold, I have come to thee that thou mayest make the truth known to me."

Clearly the Greek is defective. The

Λάμεχ τῷ υἱῷ μου, καὶ ὁ τύπος αὐτοῦ

10	καὶ ἡ ϵἰκὼν αὐτοῦ ⟨οὐχ ὅμοιος ἀνθρώποις	
	καὶ τὸ χρῶμα αὐτοῦ) λευκότερον χιόνος	
	καὶ πυρρότερον ῥόδου, καὶ τὸ τρίχωμα τῆς κε-	
	φαλής αύτοῦ λευκότερον ἐρίων λευκῶν,	
	καὶ τὰ ὄμματα αὐτοῦ ἀφόμοια ταῖς τοῦ ἡλίου	
	ἀκτῖσιν, καὶ ἀνέστη ἀπὸ τῶν τῆς μαίας χει-	11
15	ρῶν καὶ ἀνοίξας τὸ στόμα ϵὐλόγησεν τὸν	
	κύριον τοῦ αἰῶνος· καὶ ἐφοβήθη ὁ υἱὀς μου	12
	Λ άμεχ, καὶ ἔφυ γ εν πρὸς ἐμέ, καὶ οὐ πιστεύ-	
	ει ὅτι υίὀς αὐτοῦ ἐστιν, ἀλλὰ ὅτι ἐξ ἀγγέλων	
	(One or two lines omitted here)	

(One or two lines omitted here)

20

τὴν ἀκρίβειαν †εχιει† καὶ τὴν ἀλήθειαν. τότε ἀπεκρίθην λέγων, ἀνακαινίσει ὁ κύριος πρόσταγμα ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, καὶ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον τέκνον τεθέαμαι καὶ ἐσήμανά σοι ἐν γὰρ τῆ γενεậ Ἰάρεδ τοῦ πατρός μου παρέβησαν τὸν λόγον κυρίου ἀπὸ τῆς διαθήκης τοῦ οὐρανοῦ.

first clause may have run $\dot{\epsilon}\xi \dot{a}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\omega\nu$ roû oùpavoû ô rûmos aùroû $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$; but the sense is complete after $\dot{a}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\omega\nu$, cf. verse 6. For the second clause a possible Greek text, just filling one line, might read: καὶ ίδοὺ ἦλθον πρόs σε ἵνα μοι γνωρίσῃs.

19 Read $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\rho i\beta\epsilon_{i}\alpha\nu$. **E** has here only one word for truth. As to this use of $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\rho i\beta\epsilon_{i}\alpha$, cf. Daniel vii. 16 $\tau\eta\nu$ $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\rho i$ - $\beta\epsilon_{i}\alpha\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}'_{j}\dot{\eta}\tau\sigma\nu\nu$ $\pi\alpha\rho'$ $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\hat{\nu}$. $\epsilon\chi_{i}\epsilon_{i}$: corrupt; $\eta\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon_{i}s$?

20 $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\kappa\rho i\theta\eta\nu$: "I, Enoch, answered" **E**. $\ddot{\alpha}\nu a\xi$ (not in **E**) can scarcely be right. This poetic word continued to be used in verse and in religious language down to Roman times; but it occurs neither in LXX nor in N. T., and is disturbing here. Read $\dot{\alpha}\nu a$ - $\kappa\alpha\iota\nu i\sigma\epsilon\iota$ (James). The σ is injured and must have been strangely formed; the scribe probably started another letter and changed it. For the use of the verb, cf. 2 Macc. iv. 11, $\pi a \rho a$ - $\nu \delta \mu \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \iota \sigma \mu \sigma \delta \delta \epsilon \kappa a \ell \nu \iota \zeta \epsilon \nu$. "Will accomplish a new thing" $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.

21 $\tau \partial \nu \ a \dot{\nu} \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \sigma \nu$: not quite natural here. Does Enoch say that he has seen this new command in the same way as he has seen his other visions? $\tau \partial \nu \ \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \sigma \nu \ a \dot{\nu} \tau \sigma \dot{\nu}$, referring back to $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau a \gamma \mu a$ is a possible correction but not very likely. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ has "this I have already seen," omitting $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \sigma \nu$.

22 έσήμανα: for this late form, cf. Mayser, I, p. 360. See also p. 12, 10. 23-24 $\mathbf{\xi}$ like **65** omits the subject of παρέβησαν. But in 24 where **65** has άπο τη̂s διαθήκηs, $\mathbf{\xi}$ reads "from the heights," which Charles emended to "(some) of the angels;" N actually reads "angels." The Greek text is probably sound; παρέβησαν has

81

25	καιιδουαμαρτανουσινκαιπαραβαινου	14
	σιντο ε θοσκαιμεταγυναικονσυνγεινον	
	ταικαιμεταυτωναμαρτανουσινκαιεγημα	
	εξαυτωνκαιτικτουσινουχομοιωσπνευ	17a
	μασιαλλασαρκουσκαιεστεοργημεγαλη	15
30	επιτησγησκαικατακλυσμοσκαιεσται	
	απολιαμεγαληεπιενιαυτονενακαι	16
	τοδ[.]τοπαιδιοντογεννηθενκαταλει	
	φθησεταικαιτριααυτουτεκναδοθησε	
	ται[.]ποθανοντωντωνεπιτησγησ	
35	[]πραυνιτηνγηναποτησουσησεν	17b
	[]ηφθορασκαινυνλεγελαμεχ'οτιτε	18
	[]νσουεστινδικαιωσκαιωσιωνκα	
	[]γαυτουτοονομααυτοσγαρεστευμων	
	[]λιμμααφουανκαταπαυσηταικαι	
40	[]. αυτουαποτησφθοραστησγησκαια	

merely been used in a double construction, once with direct object, once with a preposition and genitive. For the latter, which occurs several times in LXX, cf. Deut. xvii. 20, $l\nu a \mu \eta \pi a \rho a \beta \hat{\eta}$ $\dot{a} \pi \partial \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$.

26 έθος: "law" E.

28-30 After $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ **E** adds "and they begot children by them." Verse 17 consists of the words "And they begot giants on the earth, not after the spirit, but after the flesh. And there shall be a great punishment upon the earth, and the earth shall be cleansed of all corruption." Dillmann regarded the passage as a gloss; Goldschmidt and Charles transferred it to a position after verse 14. They were right so far as the words "not of the spirit ... upon the earth" are concerned; but "and they begot giants on the earth" is probably an interpolation from chapter 7, 2, and "the earth shall be cleansed of all corruption" represents lines 35-36, which are in their right place. Note that although $\tau i\kappa \tau ov\sigma uv$ seems to have a masculine subject, the mothers are to be supplied in thought. In LXX $\tau i\kappa \tau \omega$ is regularly used of the female except in figurative language.

Read $\delta\mu o iovs$, $\sigma a \rho \kappa \langle i\nu \rangle ovs$ (James). $\delta\rho\gamma\dot{\eta}$ corresponds to "punishment" of \mathcal{E} in verse 17. But at the beginning of verse 15, before $\kappa a \iota \kappa a \tau a \kappa \lambda v \sigma \mu \delta s \mathcal{E}$ has "and there shall be a great destruction upon the whole earth," which may be an interpolation; compare the words immediately following $\kappa a \tau a \kappa \lambda v - \sigma \mu \delta s$, which are sound.

32 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \nu$: **E** adds "to you."

33 $\delta o \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$: read $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ (Kenvon with **E**). **E** adds "with him."

34 $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\dot{o}\nu\tau\omega\nu$: the original of **E** had $\pi\dot{a}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ in addition. After $\gamma\hat{\eta}s$ most Ethiopic MSS (not GGaQ) add "he and his sons shall be saved."

35 $\pi \rho a \ddot{v} \epsilon \hat{\iota}$: "the earth shall be

83

25	καὶ ἰδοὺ ἁμαρτάνουσιν καὶ παραβαίνου-	14
	σιν τὸ ἔθος, καὶ μετὰ γυναικῶν συγγίνον-	
	ται καὶ μετ' αὐτῶν ἁμαρτάνουσιν καὶ ἔγημαν	
	έξ αὐτῶν, καὶ τίκτουσιν οὐχ ὁμοίους πνεύ-	17a
	μασι άλλὰ σαρκ(ίν)ους· καὶ ἕσται ὀργὴ μεγάλη	15
30	έπι της γης και κατακλυσμός, και έσται	
	ἀπώλεια μεγάλη ἐπὶ ἐνιαυτὸν ἕνα καὶ	16
	τόδ[ε] τὸ παιδίον τὸ γεννηθὲν καταλει-	
	φθήσεται, καὶ τρία αὐτοῦ τέκνα σωθήσε-	
	ται [ἀ]ποθανόντων τῶν ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς	
35	[καί] πραϋνεῖ τὴν γῆν ἀπὸ τῆς οὔσης ἐν	17b
	[aὐτ]ŷ φθορâs. καὶ νῦν λέγε Λάμεχ ὅτι τέ-	18
	[κνο]ν σού έστιν δικαίως καὶ ὁσίως, <καὶ> κά-	
	[λεσο]ν αὐτοῦ τὸ ὄνομα (Νῶε)· αὐτὸς γὰρ ἔσται ὑμῶν	
	[κατά]λειμμα έφ'οῦ ἂν καταπαύσητε καὶ (οἱ)	
40	[υίο]ί αὐτοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς φθορᾶς τῆς γῆς καὶ ἀ-	

cleansed" E.

36 $\Lambda \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \chi$: "thy son Lamech" **E**.

37 $\sigma o v$: a $\delta \tau o \hat{v}$ Kenyon; but $\delta \tau \iota$ may be recitative. $\delta \iota \kappa a \ell \omega s$: "in truth" \mathcal{I} . Read $\delta \sigma \ell \omega s$. \mathcal{I} omits $\kappa a \ell$ $\delta \sigma \ell \omega s$. ($\kappa a \ell$) before $\kappa a \lambda \epsilon \sigma o \nu$: Kenyon.

38 $\langle N\hat{\omega}\epsilon \rangle$: Kenyon.

39 κατάλειμμα: cf. Sir. xliv. 17 (18), διὰ τοῦτο ἐγενήθη (Νῶε) κατάλειμμα $\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}$. καταπαυσηται: for καταπαύ- $\sigma\eta\tau\epsilon$, act. intransitive in the sense of "rest," as often in LXX and N. T. There is a play upon the meaning of Noah; see 107, 3 n., where the etymology is discussed. Compare Lat. et ipse vocabitur Noe qui interpretatur requies quia requiem prestabit in archam. $a\phi$: read $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$, comparing Sir. v. 7 and xliv. 23. $\epsilon \phi' \ldots \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \upsilon \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$: om. **E**. 39-40 Supplied by Kenyon. E reads "he and his sons shall be saved." Is this "shall be saved" an inexact rendering of $\kappa a \tau a \pi a \upsilon \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$, or an attempt to supply a verb after $\kappa a \tau a \pi a \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$ was lost (cf. n. on 39)? In the Greek text $\kappa a i$ oi vioi airoî looks like an interpolation. It is awkward to join it to the subject of $\kappa a \tau a \pi a i \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$; yet if this is not done, we must either supply $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \tau a \iota$ after $a i \tau o \hat{v}$, or delete $\kappa a i$... $a i \tau o \hat{v}$ and connect the $\dot{a} \pi \dot{o}$ phrase with the verb of 39.

40-42. It is impossible to decide from the traces whether $\kappa a \tau a$ or $\kappa a \iota a$ should be read (40). If $\kappa a \tau a$ is right, the phrase depends loosely upon $\phi\theta o \rho \hat{a}_s$. and the scribe did not use the first two spaces of 41; see below. If *kala* is right, read $\kappa a i \dot{a}[\pi \delta]$, as James suggested, the words being parallel to the preceding and following $\delta \pi \phi$ phrases. Æ reads "on account of all the sin and all the unrighteousness (GGaQ add "of apostasy") which shall be consummated on the earth in his days." This version suggests $\pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ άδικιών τών συντελεσθεισών έπι της $\gamma \hat{\eta}s$. But there are indications that

[...]παντωντωναμαρτωλωνκ[....]πο [......]ντωνσυντελιωνε[

Four lines are lost.

κς

p. 12		υπεδιξανμοικαιενεηνυ[106, 19
-		ταισπλαξειντουουρανουαν[
		τοτετεθεαμαιταενγεγραμμ[107, 1
		τωνοτεγενεαγενεασκακ[
	5	καιειδωντοτεμεχριστουανασ[
		γενεανδικαιοσυνησκαιηκακια[
		λειταικαιηαμαρτιααλλαξειαπο[
		γησκαιτααγαθαηξειεπιτησγησε	
		παυτουσκαινυναποτρεχεταιτεκν[2
	10	καισημανονλαμεχ'τωυιωσουοτι	
		τοπαιδιοντουτοτογ εννηθεντεκνον	
		αυτουεστινδικαιοσκαιουψευδοσκαιο	3
		τεηκουσενμαθουσαλεκ'τουσλογουσ	
		ενωχ'τουπρσαυτουμυστηριακωσ	
	15	γαρεδηλωσεναυτωκαιεκληθητοο	

the notion of wickedness came to be associated with $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a$. In 1 Sam. viii. 3, έξέκλιναν όπίσω της συν- $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i as$ (Heb. $\mathcal{Y} \mathfrak{T}$), Aq. Sym. Theod. preferred $\pi\lambda\epsilon_{0}$ and the Vulgate has post avaritiam; but Hesychius, apparently with that passage in mind, has the gloss $\sigma \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a s \cdot \kappa a \kappa i a s$. and so the last edition of L. and S. The LXX translator chose a word which followed a meaning of the verb rather than the specialized כצע meaning of "wicked gain," which the noun has in the O. T.: hence the other translators corrected him. But cf. Jerem. vi. 13, where the LXX took 보고 in a broader meaning and translated it by ävoua. If the Greek translator of Enoch found similar difficulty in handling his original, $\sigma\nu\nu\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\hat{\omega}\nu$ may be correct in the papyrus text.

Kenyon observed that nothing seems to be lost before $\pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} | \nu$ in 42, and this is true also of $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ in 41 if $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ is rightly read in 40. Some injury to the surface may have prevented the use of the first two or three spaces; but it is also possible that forms of $\sigma \dot{\nu} \mu \pi a$; were used.

After 42 \mathbf{z} continues "in his days. (19) And after that there shall be still greater unrighteousness than that which was first brought to pass upon the earth. For I know the mysteries of the holy ones; for he, the Lord,"

[πδ] πάντων τῶν ἀμαρτωλῶν κ[al ἀ]πδ [πασῶ]ν τῶν συντελειῶν ἐ[πὶ τῆς γῆς Four lines are lost.

ĸς

p. 12	ὑπέδειξέν μοι καὶ ἐμήνυ[σεν, καὶ ἐν ταῖς πλαξὶν τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀν[έγνων αὐτά.	106, 19
	τότε τεθέαμαι τὰ ἐγγεγραμμ[ένα ἐπ' αὐ-	107, 1
	τῶν, ὅτι γενεὰ γενεᾶς κακ[ίων ἕσται,	
5	καὶ εἶδον τόδε μέχρις τοῦ ἀνασ[τῆναι	
	γενεὰν δικαιοσύνης, καὶ ἡ κακία [ἀπο-	
	λεῖται καὶ ἡ ἁμαρτία ἀλλάξει ἀπὸ [τῆs	
	γης καί τὰ ἀγαθὰ ήξει ἐπὶ της γης Ε	
	π' αὐτούς. καὶ νῦν ἀπότρεχε τέκν[ον	2
10	καὶ σήμανον Λάμεχ τῷ υἱῷ σου ὅτι	
	τὸ παιδίον τοῦτο τὸ γεννηθὲν τέκνον	
	αύτοῦ ἐστιν δικαίως καὶ οὐ ψευδῶς. καὶ ὅ-	3
	τε ήκουσεν Μαθουσάλεκ τοὺς λόγους	
	Ένὼχ τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ, μυστηριακῶς	
15	γὰρ ἐδήλωσεν αὐτῷ, <ἐπέστρεψεν	

etc. This would require four lines of Greek text.

1 Read $i\pi i \delta \epsilon_i \xi \epsilon_{\nu}$, $i\mu \eta \nu v \sigma \epsilon_{\nu}$; the dotted letter is more like ν than μ . "Showed me and informed me" \mathbf{E} .

2 Restored by Kenyon.

3 τότε: "and" **E**.

4 Read $\delta\tau\iota$. $\kappa\alpha\kappa[i\omega\nu\ \epsilon\sigma\tau\alpha\iota$: Kenyon. So **E** according to Martin's rendering; "generation upon generation shall transgress" Charles, Flemming, Beer. $5\ \tau\delta\tau\epsilon$: so apparently in the papyrus; read $\kappa\alpha\iota\ \epsilon\bar{\iota}\delta\sigma\nu\ \tau\delta\delta\epsilon$, unless these words are to be placed before $\delta\tau\iota$ in the preceding line. The clause is lacking in **E**. $\mu\epsilon\chi\rho\iotas$: T, II; "that" GGaMQ. Note the change of construction, μέχρις τοῦ ἀναστῆναι ... καὶ ἡ κακία ἀπολεῖται.

8 τὰ ἀγαθά: "all good" **Ξ**.

9 έπ' aὐτούs: om. **E**. ἀποτρέχεται: read ἀπότρεχε (James, Bonner); ται $(= \tau \epsilon)$ is a dittography.

12 $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \omega s$: "truly" $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$, as in 106, 18. $\psi \epsilon \upsilon \delta o s$: probably to be read $\psi \epsilon \upsilon \delta \tilde{\omega} s$. "No lie" in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ seems to support $\psi \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \delta o s$, but may only show that the Greek copy used by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$ also confused o and ω .

14 $\mu\nu\sigma\tau\eta\rho\iota\alpha\kappa\hat{\omega}s$: "everything in secret" family I; "every secret thing" II. 15 After $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\varphi} \in$ has "he returned and showed him" (GM), e.g. $(\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\rho\epsilon\psi\epsilon\nu$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\sigma}\dot{\eta}\mu\alpha\nu\epsilon\nu$ $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\varphi})$; so James. Perhaps $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\sigma}\dot{\eta}\lambda\omega\sigma\epsilon\nu$ as well as $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\varphi}$ was reνομααυτουνωεευφρενοντηνγην αποτησαπολιασ

ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ ΕΝΩΧ'

peated in this clause, which **65** has lost through homoioteleuton, and which is lacking in GaQU also; "he returned, having seen" T, II. $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\lambda\eta\theta\eta$: "and called" (active) **E**.

16 a $\dot{\upsilon}\tau\sigma\hat{\upsilon}$: "of that son" \mathbf{E} . $\epsilon\dot{\upsilon}\phi\rho a\dot{\iota}$ - $\nu\omega\nu$: "for he will comfort" \mathbf{E} .

THE MEANING OF NOAH. In 106, 18 the name Noah is associated with the idea of "rest:" airds $\gamma d\rho \, \epsilon \sigma \tau a i \, \psi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $[\kappa a \tau \dot{a}] \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \mu a \, \dot{\epsilon} \phi^{*}$ (pap. $a\phi$) où $\ddot{a}\nu \, \kappa a \tau a - \pi a \dot{v} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon \dots \dot{a} \pi \dot{a} \, \tau \eta s$ $\phi \theta o \rho \hat{a} s \, \tau \eta s \, \gamma \eta s$. The words $\dot{\epsilon} \phi^{*}$ où $\ddot{a}\nu \, \kappa a \tau a \pi a \dot{v} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$ are not translated in \mathbf{E} , or at least not correctly translated; there is a possibility that "shall be saved" is a bad rendering of $\kappa a \tau a \pi a \dot{v} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$, but there may have been corruption in the source used by the translator. In 107,3 \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{E} agree in connecting the name with another idea, that of "cheer," "comfort," "consolation," $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta \, \tau \dot{o} \, \check{o} \nu \rho \mu a$ airoî N $\hat{\omega}\epsilon$, $\epsilon i\phi\rho a i\nu\omega\nu \tau \eta\nu \gamma \eta\nu a\pi \delta \tau \eta s$ $a\pi\omega\lambda\epsilon ias$. In neither passage is the explanatory clause introduced as a formal etymology, but there can be little doubt that in each the writer is suggesting a meaning for the name.

The interest of these passages consists in their relation to Gen. v. 29 and the views which commentators have taken of that verse. In the Revised Version it reads "and he called his name Noah, saying, This same shall comfort us for our work and for the toil of our hands because of (lit. "from") the ground which the Lord hath cursed." A difficulty has been felt here from ancient times. Thus in the Midrash (Bereshith Rabba, ed. Wünsche, p. 113): "According to R. Jochanan the explanation does not agree with the name nor the name with the explanation. It should be 'he named him

καὶ ἐδήλωσεν αὐτῷ). καὶ ἐκλήθη τὸ ὅνομα αὐτοῦ Νῶε, εὐφραίνων τὴν γῆν ἀπὸ τῆs ἀπωλείαs.

ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ ΕΝΩΧ

Noah, because he will give us rest, or Nachman, because he will comfort us.'" That is to say, the name Noah seemed to be more naturally related to "rest," than to □□□ "comfort." Now the Septuagint has obros diavaπαύσει ήμας άπο των έργων ήμων. $\kappa \tau \lambda$; and in view of the alleged etymological inconsistency in the Hebrew text, some editors have emended יניחנו in conformity ינחמנו to with the LXX; so, among others, Ball, Kittel, Procksch. Others see in "comfort" only an approximate synonym of "give rest," and find no difficulty in the traditional text. There is a full discussion of the passage in Ehrlich, Randglossen zur hebräischen Bibel, I. 27. He accepts the emendation, but holds that as constructed in Gen. v. 29 would necessarily mean "give comfort" or "ease." "From the ground," rendered in R. V. "because of the ground," he takes as the source whence comfort is to come, the promise thus referring to Noah's gift of wine to man. Other commentators also, both earlier and later, see here an allusion to the culture of the vine (Böhme, Budde, Holzinger, Gunkel, Procksch). The passages in Enoch are significant because they show that the doubts or controversies about the meaning of Noah may considerably antedate the Christian era. It is possible that the Greek translator of Enoch has made two attempts to render a form of the verb III, in one case adhering to the root meaning, "rest," in the other adopting the meaning "comfort," which, as Ehrlich shows, may be derived from the hiphil of the same verb. as in Genesis v. 29.

ADDENDUM

The name Noah means "rest," according to Theophilus of Antioch (ad Autolycum 3, 19, p. 232 Otto); Philo (de Abrahamo 5) says it means "rest" or "just."

TRANSLATION

No effort has been made to avoid the crudities of style which go with a close translation; and several grammatical inconsistencies, which seem to have existed in the original Greek version, have been allowed to stand as they appear in the papyrus.

Square brackets enclose words supplied to fill gaps in the papyrus. Angular brackets enclose words not in the papyrus but believed to have once belonged to the text. Braces are placed around words erroneously inserted in the text. Words in italics have been added merely for convenience in translation.

p. 1 97 (6)... [all] the words of your iniquities [shall be read out] in the presence of the Great Holy One before your faces. Then he will remove all the works that partook of iniquity.

(7) Woe unto you, sinners, who are in the midst of the sea and on the dry land; there is an evil memorial against you. (8) Woe unto you, ye who gain gold and silver not by way of righteousness; and ye will say, "We have grown rich with riches and have got and possessed ourselves of goods; (9) and let us do all that we desire, because we have treasured up silver in our treasuries and much goods in our houses, and they are poured out like water." (10) Ye err, for your wealth shall not abide, but it \langle shall go \rangle from you quickly, because ye possess it all unjustly; and ye shall be given over to a great curse.

98 (1) and now I swear unto you, the wise, and not to the foolish, that ye shall see many iniquities upon the earth. (2) For men shall put on beauty even as women, and fair color beyond maidens, in sovereignty and grandeur and in power. They shall have silver and gold for food, and in their houses they shall be poured out like water, (3) [because] they $\langle have \rangle$ no knowledge nor judgment. So shall ye perish together with all your goods and all your glory and honor, and in dishonor and desolation [and] great [slaughter your spirits shall be cast into the furnace of fire].

p. 2 (4)... [sin was not sent] upon the [earth, but] men [made it] of themselves; and they who do [it] shall come [into] a great

[curse]. (5) And slavery was not given to a woman, but because of the works of her hands; for it was not ordained that a slave should be a slave. It was not given from above, but came about through oppression. Likewise neither was iniquity given from above, but *it came* from transgression. Likewise a woman was not created barren, but because of her own wrongdoings she was punished with childlessness; $\langle \text{and} \rangle$ childless shall she die. (6) I swear to you, sinners, by the Holy Great One that your evil deeds shall be revealed in heaven. No unrighteous deed of yours shall be hidden. (7) Think not in your souls, and think not in your hearts that *men* know not and see not, and your wrongdoings are not observed nor written down before the Highest. (8) From now on know ye that all your wrongdoings are written down day by day until your judgment.

(9) Woe unto you, fools, for ye shall perish [through] your folly, and ye shall not give ear to the [wise], and blessings shall not be your portion, but evils [shall be about] you. (10) And now know that [all things have been made ready] for you for the day of destruction. [Hope not] to be saved, ye sinners; depart and die, knowing [that all things have been made ready] for the day of great judgment and greater anguish [to your spirits]. (11) Woe unto you, ye obstinate in heart, doing evil [and devouring blood;] whence shall ye have [good things that ye may eat ...].

(12) [Woe unto you who love the works] of unrighteousness; p. 3 why [have ye] good hopes [for yourselves?] Now be it known to you that ye shall be given over into [the hands] of the righteous, and they shall slay you and shall not spare you. (13) Woe unto you, ye who rejoice in the troubles of the righteous; no grave shall be dug for you. (14) Woe unto you, ye who would set at nought the words of the righteous; ye shall have no hope of salvation. (15) Woe unto you, ye who write false words and words of error; they write and they will lead many astray by their lies. (16) Ye yourselves err, and ye have no joy, but quickly ye shall perish.

99 (1) Woe unto you, ye who cause errors, and by your false deeds gain honor and glory; ye are lost, ye have no salvation for good. (2) Woe unto you, ye who alter the words of truth

and pervert the eternal covenant, and reckon yourselves without sin; they shall be swallowed up in the earth. (3) Then make you ready, ye righteous, and offer your petitions for a memorial; give them in testimony before the angels, that they may bring the sins of the unrighteous before the Most High God for a memorial. (4) And then they shall be confounded and they shall rise in the day of the destruction of unrighteousness. (5) In that very time women who give birth shall cast out and give away and abandon their infants, and they that are with child shall destroy their fruit and they that suckle shall cast down their children and shall not return to their babes nor their sucklings, and they shall not spare...

p. 4 99 (7)... and those who carve images of silver and of gold, of wood and of stone and of clay, and worship phantoms and demons [and abominations] and evil spirits and all errors with no understanding; and they shall find no help in them. (8) And they shall err in the folly of their hearts, and the visions of their dreams shall lead you astray; (9) ye and the lying works that ye have made and wrought of stone, together shall ye perish. (10) And then blessed are all those who have heard words of the wise and will learn them, so as to do the commandments of the Highest; and they will walk in the ways of his righteousness and they shall not go astray with them that err, and they shall be saved. (Verses 11-12 are omitted in the Greek version.)

(13) Woe to them who build their houses not $\langle by \rangle$ their own labor; and of stone and of bricks ye make every house. Foolish men, ye have no joy. (14) Woe to them who set at nought the foundation and the inheritance of their fathers which is from all time, [for] a spirit of error shall pursue you. There is no rest for you.

(15) Woe to you who work iniquity and give aid to unrighteousness, [slaying] their neighbor [until the] day of the [great] judgment; (16) because then he will destroy [your] glory and will arouse his anger [against] you; he will slay you all by the sword, and all the righteous shall remember [your] unrighteousness.

100 (1) [And] then $\langle in \rangle$ one place . . .

... [their] blood [shall flow. (2) And a man shall] not [with- p. 5 hold his hand] from his son, [nor] from his beloved, to slav him, and the sinner from the man of worth, nor from his brother. From dawn until the sun sets they shall be slaughtered together. (3) And the horse shall walk through the blood of sinners up to his chest, and the chariot shall sink down to its axles. (4)And angels shall come down, descending into the secret places on that day; $\langle and \rangle$ all who helped unrighteousness shall be gathered together into one place and the Highest will rise on the day of judgment to make a great judgment upon all. (5) And over all the righteous and holy he will set a guard of the holy angels, and they shall be kept as the apple of the eve until tribulations and sin shall give over. And from that time the pious shall sleep a sweet sleep, and there shall no longer be any to make them afraid. (6) Then the wise among men shall see, and the sons of the earth shall give heed to these words of this letter, and they shall know that their wealth cannot save them in the fall of unrighteousness.

(7) Woe unto you, ye unrighteous, when ye oppress the righteous on the day of hard anguish, and guard them in fire; for ye shall be recompensed according to your deeds. (8) Woe unto you, ye hard of heart, watchful to devise evil; fear encompasses you about, and there is none to take your part. (9) {Woe unto you, all ye sinners, because of the works of your mouth.} Woe unto you, all ye sinners, because of the words of your mouth and the $\langle works \rangle$ of your hands, because ye have gone astray from the deeds of holiness; in . . . flaming . . .

(11)... [every] cloud [and] mist and dew and rain [shall be p. 6 withheld?] because of your sins. (12) Therefore [offer] gifts to the rain, that it be not [hindered] from descending for you, and to dew and [cloud] and mist; pay gold that they may come down. (13) For if snow falls upon you, and frost and its cold, and the winds with their chill, and all their scourges, ye can not stand before cold and their scourges.

101 (1) Consider then, sons of men, the works of the Highest and fear to do evil before him. (2) If he closes the windows of heaven and hinders the dew and the rain from descending because of you, what will ye do? (3) If he sends his anger against you and against your works, will you not be entreating him? Why do ye utter with your mouths bold and hard sayings against his greatness? (4) Ye [see] the sailors that sail the sea, their ships tossed by the billow and storm; (5) and beaten by the storm they all fear and cast out into the sea all their goods and their property, and in their hearts they forebode that the [sea] will swallow them up and they will [perish] in it. (6) Are not all the sea and [all] its waters the work of the Most High, and did he not set their [limits,] and bind it and fence it about with sand? (7) [And by] his wrath they are affrighted and dried up; and the fish ...

p. 7 (8) [Did he not make the heaven and the] earth and all that is in them? And who gave understanding to all things that move in the sea? The sailors fear the sea.

102 (1) And when he hurls out against you the surge of the fire of your burning, where shall ve flee and be safe? And when he gives forth his voice against you, will ve not be shaken and affrighted by the mighty sound? (2) And the whole earth shall be shaken and trembling and thrown into confusion. (3) and the angels fulfilling that which is commanded them, and the heaven and its lights shaken and trembling \langle and \rangle all the sons of the earth. And ye, sinners accursed for ever, there is no joy for you. (4) Be of good courage, souls of the just that are dead, the just and the pious, (5) and be not grieved that your souls have gone down to Hades with grief, and the body of your flesh fared not in your life according to your holiness, for the days that ve lived were days of sinners and of men accursed upon the (6) When ye die, then will the sinners say that the earth. pious have died according to their fate, "and what have they gained because of their deeds? (7) They too have died even as See now how they die in grief and darkness, and what had we. they more than we? From now on let them rise and be saved. (8) and they shall for ever see us eating and drinking" (? see note). (9) Therefore (it is well for you to eat and drink and) (see note) plunder and sin and rob and gain property and see good TRANSLATION

days. (10) Behold, now, they who try to justify themselves, how great has been their downfall, because no righteousness was found in them until they died (11) and were destroyed and became as though they were not, and their souls went down in pain to [Hades \ldots]

103 (1) I swear to you \ldots

(2) I understand this mystery; for I have read the tablets of p. 8 heaven and have seen the writing of authority; I learned that which was written upon them and graven thereon concerning you, (3) that blessings and joy and honor have been prepared and written down for the souls of those who died in godliness.
(4) And their spirits shall be glad and shall not perish, nor their memorial from before the face of the Great One unto all the generations of the ages. Therefore fear not their revilings.

(5) And ye of the sinners who are dead, when ye are dead they will say of you, "Blessed were the sinners all their days that they saw in their life, (6) and they have died in glory and there was no judgment in their life." (7) Ye yourselves know that they will take your souls down to Hades, and there they shall be in great anguish (8) and in darkness and in toils and in burning flame, and your souls shall come into a great judgment in all the generations of the age. Woe unto you, there is no joy for (9) For say not, ye who were righteous and holy in your vou. life, "During the days of oppression we suffered hardships and were spent and became few, and we found none to take our part: (10) we have been ground to powder and destroyed, and we have given up hope even to know safety again day by day. (11) We hoped to be the head, we became the tail; we toiled and labored and we have not been masters of our wages. We have become the prev of sinners, the lawless have made the voke heavy upon us. (12) They who are our masters, our enemies, goad us and encompass us about; (13) we sought where we might flee from them to be refreshed . . .

(14) We cried out against those who insulted and outraged us p. 9 and they did not receive our petitions, and would not give ear to our voice. (15) And they did not take our part, finding no complaint against those who outrage and devour us; but they harden against us them who slew us and made us few; they lay no charge concerning those of us who have been murdered, and concerning $\langle \text{the} \rangle$ sinners they remember not their sins."

104 (1) I swear to you that the angels in heaven remember $\langle you \rangle$ for good before the glory of the Great One. (2) Be of good courage now because ve have grown old in troubles and in tribulations. Like lamps of heaven shall ve shine and be seen; the windows of heaven shall be opened for you. (3) And your cry shall be heard, and your judgment for which ye cry shall also be made manifest against everything that shall help against you for your oppression, and shall be exacted from all who partook with those who outrage and devour you. (5) (Ye shall not fear) evil on the day of the great judgment and ve shall not be found as the sinners: (but ve sinners) shall be troubled. and there shall be eternal judgment upon you to all the generations of the ages. (6) Fear not, ye righteous, when ye see sinners waxing strong and prospering, and be not sharers with them, but keep far away from all their unrighteousness. (7) Say not, ye sinners, (that) the sins of your days will not be sought out (or, "your sins will not be sought out day by day"; see note). (8) And now I show to you [that] light and darkness, day and night, behold all your sins. (9) Err not in your hearts, nor lie, nor change the words of truth, nor utter falsehood against the [words] of the Holy One, and give not praise (?) to your [images]; for [all your lies] lead not to righteousness, and all [your error . . .

p. 10 (10)...sinners alter and write against [the words] of truth and lead the many away, and they lie and invent great falsehoods and write out the Scriptures in their names; (11) and would that they would write all my words truthfully in their names, and neither take away or change *anything* in these words, but write truthfully all that I testify to them! (12) And again I know a second mystery, that to the just and holy and wise my books shall be given for joy in the truth, (13) and they shall believe them and in them shall they be glad; and all the righteous shall rejoice to learn from them all the ways of truth.

106 (1) And after a time I took a wife for Methusaleh my son,

TRANSLATION

and she bore a son and called his name Lamech. Righteousness was brought low until that day. And when he came of age. he took him a wife. (2) and she bore him a child: and when the child was born, his body was whiter than snow and redder than a rose, his hair all white and as white wool, and curly and glori-And when he opened his eyes, the house shone like the ous. (3) And he arose from the hands of the midwife and sun. opened his mouth and blessed the Lord. (4) And Lamech was afraid of him and fled and went to Methusaleh his father (5) and said to him, "A strange child has been born to me, not like unto men but unto the children of [the] angels of heaven, and his form is strange, not like unto us. His eves [are] as beams of the sun, and glorious his face; (6) and I think that he is not of me, but of an angel, and I fear him, lest something shall come to pass on the earth in his days. (7) And I beseech thee. [father, and entreat thee, go to Enoch our [father] and ask" (?) ...

(8)... [Methusaleh] came to me at the ends of the earth p. 11 where he saw that I was then, and said to me, "[My] father, give ear to my voice and come [to] me." And I heard his voice and came to him and said, "Behold, I am here, child. Why hast thou come to me, child?" (9) And he answered, saying, "Because of a great need (or "distress") have I come here, father; (10) and now a child has been born to Lamech, my son, and his form and his image (are not like unto men, and his color is) whiter than snow and redder than a rose; and the hair of his head is whiter than white wool, and his eyes are like the beams of the sun. (11) And he rose up from the hands of the midwife and, opening his mouth, he blessed the Lord of the age; (12) and my son Lamech was afraid, and fled to me, and he does not believe that he is his son, but that [he is] from angels. (And behold, I have come to thee that thou mightest make known to me) the very truth." (13) Then I answered, saying "The Lord will make a new command upon the earth; and the manner of it (text "in the same way"), my son, I have seen and made known to thee. For in the generation of Jared my father they transgressed the word of the Lord, departing from the covenant of heaven. (14) And, behold, they sin and transgress the custom,

and have to do with women and sin with them, and they have married wives from among them; (17a) and they bear children not like spirits but of flesh. (15) And there shall be great wrath upon the earth, and a deluge; and there shall be great destruction for one year. (16) And this child that is born shall be left, and his three sons shall be saved when they who are on the earth are dead; (17b) and he shall cleanse (lit. "tame") the earth from the corruption that is upon it. (18) And now tell Lamech that he is his son in truth and holiness, $\langle \text{and} \rangle$ call his name $\langle \text{Noah} \rangle$; for he shall be a remnant of you whereon¹ ye shall rest, and his sons, from the corruption of the earth and from all the sinners and from all the wickedness (?) [on the earth].

p. 12 (19) [.... the Lord] has showed them and made them known to me, and I have read [them in] the tablets of heaven.

107 (1) Then I beheld that which was written upon them, that one generation shall be worse than another; and this I saw² until there shall arise a generation of righteousness, and wickedness shall perish, and sin shall depart from the earth and blessings shall come to them upon the earth. (2) And now run, child, and make it known to Lamech thy son that this child that is born is his son in truth and not in falsehood. (3) And when Methusaleh heard the words of Enoch his father—for he had revealed *them* to him secretly—(he returned and revealed *them* to him). And his name was called Noah, comforting the earth after the destruction.

¹ Possibly "whereby ye shall have rest," keeping $\dot{a}\phi$ ' in the Greek text and taking it to express means or agency.

² The words "and this I saw" (or "and then I saw"; see note) should perhaps be placed before "that one generation" etc.

INDEX OF GREEK WORDS

The definite article and the conjunction *kal* are omitted. The numbers refer to chapters and verses.

άγαθόs 102 9; subst. 97 9 98 9, [11] 101 $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}\sigma\sigma\omega$ trans. 104 10; intrans. 107 1 [5] 103 3 107 1; είς άγαθόν 99 1 104 1 άλλοĵos 106 52 άλλοιόω 104 11 άγαλλιάω 104 13 άμαρτάνω 102 9 106 14² άγαπητός 100 2 $\ddot{a}\gamma\gamma\epsilon$ λος 99 3 100 4, 5 102 3 104 1 106 5, άμάρτημα 99 3 άμαρτία 100 5, 11 103 15 104 7, 8 107 1 6, 12 άμαρτωλός 97 7 98 6, 10 100 2, 3, {9}, άγιος 100 5², 9; δ άγιος of God 97 6 9 102 3, 5, 6 103 5², 11, 15 104 5, 6, · 98 6 104 9 7, 10 106 18 άγρυπνέω 100 8 ắμμος 101 6 άγω 103 15 άν 106 18 άδελφός 100 2 άναγινώσκω 97 6 103 2 106 19 άδης 102 5 (em.) [102 11] 103 7 άναγκαĵos 103 2 άδίκημα 98 5, 7, 8 104 6 (em.) $\dot{a}\nu\dot{a}\gamma\kappa\eta$ necessity (or distress) 106 9; άδικία 98 12 99 4, 15, 16 100 4, 6 pain, anguish 100 7 103 7 άδικος 98 6 99 3 100 7 άναγράφω 104 10 άδίκως 97 10 άνακαινίζω 106 13 (em.) alµa [98 11] 100 1, 3 άνακαλύπτω 98 6 alών: $\dot{a}\pi'$ al $\hat{\omega}$ νος 99 14; είς τον al $\hat{\omega}$ να άναλάμπω 104 2 102 3, 8; yeveal top alwros 103 8; åναλίσκω 103 9 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon a i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a i \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu$ 103 4 104 5; ò άναμάρτητος 99 2 κύριος τοῦ αἰώνος 106 11 άναμιμνήσκω 103 15 104 1 aiwrios 99 2 104 5 άναπαύω 99 14 άκούω w. gen. 98 9; w. acc. 99 10 106 άναφαιρέω 97 6 8 107 3; pass. 104 3 åναψύχω 103 13 άκρίβεια 106 12 äνεμοs 100 13 άκτίς 106 5, 10 άνήρ 98 2 άκυρόω 98 14 (em.) $\ddot{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi$ os 98 4 100 2, 6 101 1 106 5 άλήθεια 104 9, 10, 12, 13 106 12; $\dot{\epsilon}\pi'$ άνίστημι 99 4 102 7 106 3, 11 107 1 άληθείας **104** 11² åνοίγω 104 2 106 2, 3, 11 άληθινός 99 2 άνομία 97 6² 98 1, 5 99 15 àλλá 97 10 98 [4], 5⁴, 16 103 15 äνομος 103 11 **104** (5), 6, 11 **106** 5, 6, 12, 17a άντιγράφω 104 10

άντιλαμβάνω 100 8 103 15 άντιλήμπτωρ **103** 10 άνωθεν 98 52 άξων 100 3 **ἀπαντάω 98** 9 **102** 5 äπas 102 3 $\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\lambda\pii\omega$ mid. 103 10 *ἀπέρχομαι* (97 10) 98 10 $\dot{a}\pi \dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ 100 2 104 6 άπό 97 8, 10 98 4 99 [7], 14 100 24, (9) [101 7] 103 4, [13] 104 6 106 4, 11, 13, 17b, 18³ 107 1, 3; ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν 98 8 102 7; ἀπ' ἐκείνου 100 5 **åπογράφω 98**7, 8 ἀποδέχομαι 103 14 άποδιδράσκω 102 1 $\dot{a}\pi o \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ 98 5, 10 102 4, 6², 7², 10 103 3, 5, 6 106 16 **άποκλείω** 101 2 *ἀποκρίνομαι* **106** 9, 13 άποκρύπτω 98 6 άπόκρυφος 100 4 $d\pi o \kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega$ 98 12 100 2 103 15 άπόλλυμι 98 3, 9, 16 99 1, 9, 16 101 5 102 11 103 4, 10 107 1 άποπλανάω 98 15 100 9 $\dot{a}\pi o\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ [98 4]; aor. mid. transitive 101 3 άποτρέχω 107 2 άπώλεια 98 10 99 4 106 15 107 3 άργυροῦς 99 7 άργύριον 97 8, 9 98 2 ắρμα 100 3 (em.) àρπάζω 102 9 **άτεκνία 98** 5 άτεκνος 98 5 **άτιμία 98** 3 airós unemphatic in oblique cases passim; emphatic, quasi-demonstrative, in nom. 98 15 101 6 102 7 104

13 106 18; intensive w. another pronoun 99 5 103 7; ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτό 100 2 ἀφαιρέω 104 11 άφικνέσμαι 98 4 άφόμοιος 106 10 (em.) ἀφροσύνη 98 9 99 8 ἄφρων 98 1, 9 \dot{a} χρειόω 98 14 (em.; see note) βαδίζω 106 7 βαρύνω 103 11 βασιλεία 98 2 βδέλυγμα 99 7 (?) βιάζομαι 103 14, 15 104 3 βίβλος 104 12 **βλέπω 98** 7 βοηθέω 100 4 βοήθημα 99 7 βούλομαι 98 14 103 14 βρέφοs 99 5 βοώμα **98** 2 γαμέω 106 14 γάρ 103 2, 9 104 7, 9 106 13, 18 107 3 γαστήρ 99 5 γενεά 103 4, 8 104 5 106 13 107 13 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \dot{a} \omega$ aor. pass. 106 2, 5, 10, 16 107 2 $\gamma\hat{\eta}$ 98 1, [4] 99 2 101 8 102 2, 5 106 6, 8, 13, 15, 16, 17b, 18² 107 1², 3; οί υἰοὶ τῆς γῆς 100 6 102 3 γίνομαι pres. 104 6; aor. ϵ γενόμην 98 5, 14 102 7, 10, 11 103 11; aor. έγενήθην 103 6, 9, 112 γινώσκω 98 7, 10² 100 6 103 2, 7 104 12 *γ*λύφω **99** 7 γνωστόs 98 12 γραφή 103 2 104 10 γράφω 98 152 103 2 104 112 γυνή 98 2, 52 106 12, 14 δαιμόνιον 99 7 δέ 98 2, 9 101 5 106 1

INDEX

έγώ nom. w. verb 103 1 104 11. 12: $\pi \rho \delta s \, \epsilon \mu \epsilon \, 106 \, 8^2, \, 12; \, \pi \rho \delta s \, \mu \epsilon \, 106 \, 8;$ other cases 104 11, 12 106 1, 5, 6, 83, 10, 12, 13, 19; plu. oblique cases passim. έθος 106 14 είδον see δράω εἰκών 99 7 [104 9] 106 10 *ε*ίμαρμένη **102** 6 $\epsilon i \mu i$ passim; $\epsilon \sigma o \mu a i$ in periphrasis w. ptc. 101 3 102 1; $\xi \sigma \tau a \iota = \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ **104** 5 **106** 6; $\eta \tau \epsilon$ lived **102** 5 **ε**ίνεκα 101 2 $\epsilon i \pi a$ 103 9 104 7 106 5. 8² eis 97 7, 10 98 2, 3², 4, 10², 12 99 1, 32 100 42 101 5 102 3, 5, 8, 11 103 4, 7, 8, 15 104 1, 5, 9, 12 106 1, 8 είs 100 1, 4 106 15; ἐπὶ μιῶs 99 9 είσάγω 99 3 104 9 είσερχομαι 103 8 **εί**τα 97 6 έκ 98 53, 8 99 133 100 2 103 10 104 13 106 3, 6², 12, 14; κρίσις ἐκ 100 4 104 3, 5 έκβάλλω 99 5 101 5 102 1 έκει 103 7 έκεινος 99 5 106 1; art. om. 100 4; $\dot{a}\pi$ ἐκείνου 100 5 έκζητέω 104 7 ἐκθλίβω 100 7 ἐκλείπω 100 5 έκποιέω 99 5 (em.) έκτιτρώσκω 99 5 έκτρέπω 99 16 ν. έκτρίβω έκτρίβω 99 16 (em.) ἐκφοβέω 100 5 έκχέω 97 9 (em.) 98 2 έλπίζω 98 10 103 11 έλπίς 98 12, 14 έμβρίμησις 101 7 ἕμπροσθεν 100 13

έν passim; instr. [99 16]; μετέχειν έν 97 6; xalpeir er 104 13 έναντίον 101 1 ένδοξος 106 2, 5 ένδόξως 103 6 ένιαυτός 106 15 έντευξις 99 3 103 14 έντιμος 100 2 έντολή 99 10 ένύπνιον **99** 8 ένώπιον 97 6 98 7 99 3º 104 1 Ένώχ 106 7 107 3 έξαλλοιόω 99 2 104 9, 10 έξουθενέω 99 14 *έξουσία* 98 2 έξω 101 5 έπαινος 104 9 (em.) έπακούω 103 14 106 8 επεγείοω 99 16 έπεί 102 5 έπέργομαι 106 1 έπί w. gen. 97 7 98 1 102 5 106 13, 15, 16, 18² 107 12; έπ' άληθείας 104 11²: ἐπὶ μιâs 99 9. W. dat. of hostility 101 3 (104 3); of cause 100 9², 11 102 6; έροῦσιν $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ ὑμ $\hat{\iota}\nu$ 103 5; $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ αγρά $\phi\epsilon\iota\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$ το $\hat{\iota}s$ όνόμασιν αύτῶν 104 10 W. acc. 98 4 99 5 100 5, 13 101 32 **102** 1² **103** 11, 14, 15 **104** 3 **107** 1; of duration 106 15. $\kappa a \tau a \nu o \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \epsilon \pi \hat{\iota}$ 100 6; $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\iota} \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\sigma} \nu \dot{\sigma} \mu a \tau a$ aυτών 104 11. έπι το αυτό 100 2 έπιβοηθέω 99 15 έπιγινώσκω 98 8 έπικατάρατος 102 3 $\epsilon \pi i \rho \rho (\pi \tau \omega \text{ intrans. 100 } 13)$ **ἐ**πίσταμαι **103** 2 έπιστήμη 98 3 99 7 101 8 έπιστολή 100 6

έπιστρέφω 99 5 (107 3) έπιτιμάω 98 5 έπιχαίοω 98 13 έποπτεύω 104 8 (em.) έργάζομαι 103 11 ἕργον 97 6 98 5, 6², [12] 99 1, 9 100 7, $\{9\}, 9^2$ **101** 1, 3, 6 **102** 6 έρήμωσις 98 3 ξοιον 106 2. 10 ξρχομαι 106 4, 8³, 9 $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \omega$ [98 11]; aor. $\ddot{\epsilon} \phi a \gamma o \nu$ [98 11] 102 9 έτοιμάζω 98 102 99 3 103 3 εὐλαβέομαι 106 6 εὐλογέω w. dat. 106 3; w. acc. 106 11 εὐοδόω pass. 104 6 εύρίσκω 99 7 102 10 103 10 104 5; εύρειν κατά w. gen. 103 15 εὐσεβής 100 5 102 4, 6 103 3 εύφραίνω 107 3 έχθρός 103 12 exiei corrupt reading 106 12 έχω 97 8 98 (3), [12] 99 5 έωs w. gen. [99 15] 100 3; w. ind. 102 10; έως οῦ w. subj. 100 5 ζητέω 103 13 ζυγός 103 11 ζωή 102 5 103 5, 6, 9 ήδέ 100 5 ήδύς 100 5 *ήκω* 106 8 107 1 ήλθον see ξρχομαι ήλικία 106 1 *ή*λιοs **100** 2 **106** 2, 5, 10 $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\rho a$ 100 4 102 5² 103 5 104 8 106 1; ήμέρα ἀνάγκης 100 7; ἀπωλείας 98 10 99 4; ήμέραι της θλίψεως 103 9; ημέρα κρίσεως 98 10 100 4; της κρίσεως 99 15 104 5; έν ταις ήμέραις

INDEX

aύτοῦ 106 6; ημέραν ἐξ ημέρας 98 8 103 10; cf. 104 7 $\bar{\eta} \chi os \ 102 \ 1$ θάλασσα 97 7 101 4, 52, 6, 8, 9 θαρσέω 102 4 104 2 θεάομαι 106 13 107 1 θέλω 97 9 θεμελίωσις 99 14 θεός 99 3 θεωρέω pass. 98 7 θηλάζω 99 52 θησαυρίζω 97 9 θησαυρός 97 9 θλίψις 103 9 104 2, 3 θυμός 99 16 101 3 θυρίς 101 2 104 2 'Ιάρεδ 106 13 ίδιος 98 5 99 13 iva [98 11] 100 122 ίππος 100 3 ίχθῦς 101 7 καιρός 99 5 καίω 103 8 какіа 107 1 κακίων 107 1 како́s 97 7; subst. 98 9, 11, 13 100 5, 8 104 2, 5 καλέω 106 1, 18 107 3 κάλλοs 98 2 καλός 98 12 καλώς 102 9 καρδία 98 7, 11 99 8 101 5 104 9 κατά w. gen. against [99 16] 103 15; by, in swearing 98 6 W. acc. κατά πρόσωπον 97 6; according to 100 7 102 5 (em.), 6; of manner κατ' έπιστήμην 99 7 καταβαίνω 100 3, 4, 12² 101 2 102 5, 11

 $\kappa a \tau a \beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$ abuse, insult, slander 103 14 κατάβρωμα **103** 11 κατάγω 103 7 καταδυναστεία 98 5 καταδύνω 100 **4** κατακλυσμός 106 15 *κατάλειμμα* **106** 18 καταλείπω **106** 16 κατανοέω 101 1; w. $\epsilon \pi i$ and acc. 100 6 καταπαύω intrans. 106 18 καταπίνω 99 2 101 5 καταπλανάω 99 8 κατάρα 97 10 [98 4] κατάρατος 102 5 καταστροφή 102 10 καταψεύδομαι 104 9 κατέσθω 103 15 104 3 *κατισχύω* 104 6 καῦσις 102 1 κέρκος 103 11 κεφαλή 103 11 106 10 κινέω mid. 101 8 κληρονομία 99 14 κλύδων 101 4 102 1 κοινώς 98 3 κομίζω mid. 100 7 κοπιάω 103 9. 11 κόπος 99 13 103 9 κόριον 100 5 κράζω pres. 104 3; aor. 103 14 κραυγή 104 3 κρίσις 98 8 100 4 103 6 104 3; κ. μεγάλη 98 10 99 15 100 4 103 8 104 5; K. aiwrios 104 5 κτάομαι pres. 97 8; perf. 97 8, 10 κτίζω 98 [4], 5 κυριεύω 103 11, 12 κύριος 106 3, 11, 13² κωλύω [100 12] 101 2

λαεργέω 99 9 λαλέω 101 3 λαμβάνω 99 1 106 1² Λάμεχ 106 1, 4, 10 (em.), 12, 18 107 2 $\lambda \dot{a} \mu \pi \omega$ **106** 2 **λατρεύω 99** 7 $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ pres. imv. 106 18; pres. ptc. with άπεκρίθη 106 9, 13; fut. έρ $\hat{\omega}$ 97 8 **102** 6 **103** 5; see $\epsilon l \pi a$ λευκός 106 23, 103 $\lambda l \theta l \nu os [99 7]$ λίθος 99 13 **λογίζομαι 99** 2 λόγοs sing. λ. κυρίου 106 13; plu. 98 14, 15 99 2, 10 100 6, 9 104 9, 112 **107** 3; λόγοι τών άνομιών ὑμών **97** 6; λόγοι πλανήσεως 98 15; λόγοι της **άληθείαs** 104 9 $\lambda v \pi \epsilon \omega$ pass. 102 5 λύπη 102 5. 7 λωποδυτέω 102 9 Μαθουσάλεκ 106 1, 4 107 3 μαΐα 106 3, 11 μακάριος 99 10 103 5 μ akpós adv. acc. μ akpàv $\dot{a}\pi \dot{\epsilon}\chi \epsilon\sigma\theta \epsilon$ **104** 6 μανθάνω 99 10 104 13 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \iota \xi$ plague, scourge 100 13² μάταιος 99 13 μεγαλωσύνη 98 2 101 3 $\mu \epsilon \gamma as 97 6, 10 98 3, 4, 6, 10 [99 15]$ 100 4 102 1 103 7, 8 104 5, 10 106 9, 15²; δ μέγαs of God 103 4 104 1; $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{a}\lambda a$ bold, insolent words 101 3 μείζων 98 10 μέσος subst. $\epsilon \nu$ μέσω w. gen. 97 7 μετά w. gen. of person 99 10 106 14²; w. gen. of thing [98 3] 102 5, 7, 11; w. acc. 106 1 μετέχω 97 6 104 3 μέτοχος 104 6

μέχρι w. gen., of time 98 8 106 1; of space 100 3; $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota s \ o \tilde{\upsilon} \ w$, inf. 100 2; μέχρις τοῦ w. inf. 107 1 $\mu \eta$ 98 3, 7, [10] 100 12 102 5 103 4, 9 104 6², 7, 9²; où μή w. aor. subj. 97 10 98 9, 13, 14 103 4 104 5, 7; w. fut. ind. 98 12 99 52, 7, 10 μηδέ 98 3, 7 104 93 μηδείς 98 3 μηκέτι 103 10 μηνύω 106 19 (em.) μήποτε 106 5 μήτε 104 112 μνημονέω 99 16 μνημόσυνον 97 7 99 32 103 4 μυστηριακώς 107 3 μυστήριον 103 2 104 12 ναύκληρος 101 4, 9 νεκρός 103 5 $\nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta$ **100** 11, [12] νήπιos 99 5² νοέω 100 8 νῦν 98 12; καὶ νῦν 98 1, 10 104 8, 106 10, 18 107 2; άπὸ τοῦ νῦν 98 8 102 7 νύξ 104 8 Nŵe (106 18) 107 3 ξηραίνω 101 7 ξηρός: ἡ ξηρά **97** 7 ξύλινος 99 7 όδε 106 16 107 1 (em.; see note) όδός plu. δ. δικαιοσύνης **99** 10; δ. της άληθείας 104 13 δύνη 102 11 οίδα w. acc. 103 10 oiría 97 9 98 2 106 2 οίκοδομέω 99 13 οἰκοδομή 99 13² όλίγος 103 9, 15

INDEX

δuβpos 100 11, 12 101 2 ομίχλη 100 11, 12 δμμα plu. 106 5, 10 *όμνύω* 98 1, 6 103 1 104 1 δμοιος 106 5², 17a όμοίως 98 52 102 7 όνειδισμός 103 4 öνομα 104 10, 11 106 1, 18 107 3 όποιος 102 10 (em.) öπωs w. subj. 99 3 103 13 браџа 99 8 δράω 98 1 100 6 101 4 102 7, 8, 9 (em.), 10 103 2, 5 104 6 106 8, 14 107 1 οργή 106 15 ὄρθρος 100 2 δρίζω 98 5 δρύσσω 98 13 ös 97 9 99 9 102 5 103 12 104 3, 11 **106** 18 öσιos 103 9 (em.) 104 12 δσιότης 102 5 όσίως 106 18 δσος 103 5 104 3 δστις 100 4 104 3 όστράκινος 99 7 öταν w. subj. 100 7 102 1², 6 103 5 104 6 öτε 106 1, 2º 107 3 $\delta \tau \iota$ 97 9, 10² 98 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10², 12 99 [14], 16 100 6, 7, 9, 13 101 5 **102** 5, 6, 10 **103** 3, 7 **104** 1, 2, (7), 12 106 6, 12² 107 1, 2; introducing dirdisc. 106 18 où passim; où $\mu\eta$, see $\mu\eta$; où χi , see under the word below. où gen. of ös w. $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota s$ and inf. 100 2; w. ω s and subj. 100 5; = where 106 8 ovaí 97 7, 8 98 9, 11, 13, 14, 15 99 1, 2, 13, 14, 15 100 7, 8, {9}, 9 103 8

oubé 98 52, 73 99 52 103 4, 14 ούκέτι 100 5 ούλος 106 2 ouv 100 12 102 7, 10 103 4 oupavós 98 6 101 2 102 3 103 2 104 1. 22 106 5, 13, 19 ούτε 100 22 outos 100 6º 103 2 104 11 107 2; always in the order art. noun pron. $o \ddot{v} \tau \omega$ before vowel 98 3 ούχί 98 1 101 3, 6 όφείλω aor. ὄφελον 104 11 όφθαλμός 100 5; plu. 106 2 όψώνιον 103 11 (em.) παγετός 100 13 $\pi a \gamma is 103 8$ παιδίον 106 1, 2, 16 107 2 $\pi a \lambda a i \delta \omega$ pass. 104 2 πάλιν 104 12 $\pi a \rho a$ w. dat. [98 2] $\pi a \rho a \beta a i \nu \omega$ 106 13, 14 $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \beta a \sigma is 98 5$ παραδίδωμι 97 10 98 12 παραιτέσμαι 106 7 παραμένω 97 10 πάρειμι 106 8 παρθένος 98 2 πâs sing. w. noun [100 11]; τὸ τρίχωμα $\pi \hat{a} \nu \lambda \epsilon \nu \kappa \delta \nu$ 106 2; $\pi \hat{a} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{a} \nu w$. subj. 97 9; $\pi \hat{a}s \quad o\dot{v} = o\dot{v}\delta\epsilon is$ etc. 99 7 102 10 Plu. πάντων 100 4; πάντα 97 10 104 11; έκ πάντων όστις w. ind. 104 3. Plu. preceding art. and subst. passim; $\tau \dot{a}$ [$\dot{a}\gamma a\theta \dot{a} \pi \dot{a}\nu \tau a$] 101 5; τὰς ἁμαρτίας ὑμών πάσας 104 8; τὰ πάντα ἕργα 97 6; της] πάσης δόξης 98 3 πάχνη 100 13

 $\pi a \tau n \rho$ 99 14 106 4, [7²], 8, 9; $\pi \rho \sigma$ of human father 106 13 107 3 πέρας plu. [101 6] περί w. gen. 103 [2], 15² 104 3 περιγίνομαι 102 6 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \chi \omega$ 98 [9] (see note) 100 8 περικλείω 103 12 (?) περισσός 102 7 περιτίθημι mid. 98 2 περιφράσσω 101 6 πίνω 102 9 $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega$ w. dat. 104 13; w. $\delta \tau i$ 106 12 $\pi\lambda a \nu a \omega$ act. intrans. 99 10°; pres. pass. 98 16 104 9; perf. pass. 97 10; fut. pass. 99 8 $\pi\lambda\dot{a}\nu\eta$ 99 7 [104 9] πλάνημα 99 1 πλάνησις 98 15 99 14 πλάξ 103 2 106 19 πλάσμα **104** 10 πλάσσω 104 10 $\pi\lambda n\sigma \log 99 15$ πλίνθος 99 13 πλοΐον 101 4 πλουτέω: πλούτω πεπλουτήκαμεν 97 8 πλοῦτος 97 8, 10 100 6 $\pi\lambda\omega l \omega$ mid. 101 4 πνεῦμα [98 3, 10] 106 17a; τὰ πνα αὐτῶν 103 4; π. πονηροῖς 99 7; πνα πλανήσεως 99 14 πόθεν 98 11 ποιέω 97 9 99 9, [13] 101 2; π. τὸ κακόν **98** 11 τὸ πονηρόν **101** 1 ἁμαρτίαν **98 4** πλανήματα **99** 1 άνομίαν **99** 15; π . tàs $\epsilon \nu \tau o \lambda$ às toù $\psi \ell \sigma \tau o v$ 99 10; π. κρίσιν 100 4 πολύς 97 9 98 1, 15; τοὺς πολλούς 104 10 $\pi o \nu \eta \rho \delta s \ 98 \ 6 \ 99 \ 7; \ \tau \delta \ \pi o \nu \eta \rho \delta \nu \ 101 \ 1$ πορεύομαι fut. πορεύσομαι 99 10 ποῦ whither 102 1 103 13

 $\pi \rho a \hat{v} \nu \omega$ rid, cleanse 106 17b $\pi \rho o \epsilon \chi \omega$ mid. offer (a petition) 99 3 πρός w. acc. of pers. 106 4. 7. 84, 12 $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \tau a \gamma \mu a$ **106** 13 πρόσωπον 106 5; κατά πρόσωπον ύμων 97 6; άπὸ προσώπου τοῦ μεγάλου 103 4 πτώσις 100 6 πῦρ 100 7 102 1 $\pi v \rho \rho \delta s \ 106 \ 2, \ 10$ δέω [**100** 1] $\dot{\rho}i\pi\tau\omega$ throw away 99 5 ρόδον 106 2, 10 *δομφαία* **99** 16 **σαλεύω** 101 4 σάρκινος 106 17a σάρξ **102** 5 σείω 102 2, 3 σημαίνω 106 13 107 2 σκληροκάρδιος 100 8 σκληρός: σκληρά λαλείν 101 3 σκληροτράχηλος 98 11 σκότος 102 7 103 8 104 8 σκύλλω worry, trouble, pass. 104 5 σπουδή 104 9 (em.) στείρος fem. στείρα 98 5 στενοχωρία 98 10 στερεός: άνάγκης στερεάς 100 7 στερεόω **103** 15 $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta os$ of a horse 100 3 στόμα {100 9} 101 3 106 3, 11; λόγοι *το*ῦ στόματος **100** 9 σύ sing. 106 13, 18 107 2; plu. in oblique cases passim; nom. emphatic 97 10; not emphatic 101 3; with airoi 98 16 103 7; nom. in suspense 102 3 103 5; $\dot{a}\pi \dot{a}$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ for åφ' ύμῶν 97 10

συγγίνομαι **106** 14

INDEX

 σ υλλαμβάνω take a hand, conspire, w. $\langle \dot{\epsilon}\pi i \rangle$ and dat. 104 3 σύμπας 102 2 συνδέω 101 6 συνίστημι 101 6 συνταράσσω 99 4 102 2 (em.) συντάσσω 102 3 (em.) συντέλεια 106 18 συντελέω 102 3 συντρίβω 103 10 $\sigma v \sigma \sigma \epsilon i \omega$ **102** 1 ($\sigma v \nu | \sigma$.) συστρέφω fut. pass. 100 4 σφαγή [98 3] $\sigma \dot{\omega} \omega$ aor. pass. 98 10 102 7; fut. pass. 99 10 102 1 106 16 (em.) σώμα 106 2: τὸ σώμα τῆς σαρκὸς ὑμῶν 102 5 σωτηρία 98 14 99 1 103 10 $τ a π \epsilon ιν ό ω$ **106** 1 τάσσω 100 5 τάφος 98 13 (em.) ταχέως 98 16 ταχύ 97 10 τe 99 7 τέκνον 99 5 106 5², 8², 10, 13, 16, 18 107 22 *τέρμα* **106** 8 τηρέω 100 5 $\tau i \kappa \tau \omega$ of the mother 99 5 106 1² 106 17a; see note on last passage. τιμή 98 3 99 1 103 3 TLS 106 6 τ is 101 2, 3, 8 102 6, 7 106 8 τοιγαροῦν 102 9 τοίνυν 101 1 $\tau \circ \pi \circ s$: $\langle \dot{\epsilon} \nu \rangle \dot{\epsilon} \nu i \tau \circ \pi \omega$ **100** 1; $\epsilon i s \ddot{\epsilon} \nu a$ τόπον 100 4 τότε 99 3, 4, 10, 16 100 1, 6 102 6 106 8. 13 107 1 τρείς 106 16

τρέμω 102 2, 3 τρίχωμα 106 2, 10 τρόπος 106 13 τύπος 106 5, 10 ύδωρ 97 9 98 2; plu. 101 6 viós 100 2 106 1º, 10, 12º, [18] 107 2; υίοι της γης 100 6 102 3; υίοι των άνθρώπων 101 1 ύπάρχω: τὰ ύπάρχοντα 97 8 98 3 101 5 ύπέρ w. acc. 98 2 υπνος 100 5 ύπνόω 100 5 $\dot{v}\pi \dot{o}$ w. gen. of thing 101 4 ύποδεικνύω w. dat. 108 19; w. dat. and $\delta \tau i$ 104 8; w. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ and gen. lay information, make a charge 103 15 $\dot{\upsilon}$ ποπτεύω w. $\ddot{\upsilon}$ τι apprehend, forebode 101 5 ύφίστημι: ύποστήναι έμπροσθεν w. gen. 100 13 υψιστος of God, δ υψιστος 98 7 99 10 100 4 101 1, 6; δ ύψιστος θεός 99 3 ύπολαμβάνω w. δτι 98 7² 106 6 $\phi a i \nu \omega$ act. intrans. shine 104 2; mid. appear 104 3 φάντασμα 99 7 φείδομαι w. gen. 98 12; w. έπί 99 5(?) $\phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \gamma \omega$ w. $\dot{a} \pi \dot{o}$ [103 13]; w. $\pi \rho \dot{o}$ s 106 12; έφυγεν καὶ ἦλθεν πρός 106 4 φθορά 106 17b, 18 φλόξ 103 8 φοβούμαι 101 5, 7, 9 102 1 104 6 106 12; w. acc. obj. 103 4 (104 5); w. $\dot{a}\pi \dot{o}$ 106 4; φ. τοῦ ποιῆσαι 101 1 φόβος 100 8 φονεύω 99 15 100 2 103 15 φρόνησις 98 3 φρόνιμος 98 1, [9] 99 10 100 6 104 12 φυλακή 100 5

φυλάσσω 100 7 χρυσίον 97 8 98 2 100 12 φωνή 102 1 103 14 106 82 χρώμα **98** 2 φώs 104 8 φωστήρ 102 3 104 2 ψευδής 98 15 99 1, 9 ψεῦδοs 98 15 104 9 χαίρω: ούκ έστιν ύμιν χαίρειν 98 16 99 ψεύδομαι 104 9, 10 13 102 3 103 8; 2 fut. pass. 103 4; ψευδώs 107 2 έν αύταις χαρήσονται 104 13 $\psi v \chi \eta$ 98 7 102 4, 5, 11 103 [3], 7, 8 χαρά 103 3; είς χαράν άληθείας 104 12 ψυχos 100 132 χειμάζω pass. 101 5 **χειμών 101 4** $\delta \delta \epsilon$ hither 106 9 ώρα**ι̂os** 98 2 χείο sing. [100 2]; plu. [98 12] 106 3, 11; Epya Tŵr Xelpŵr 98 5 100 9 ώs as, like 97 9 98 22 100 5 102 11 χιών 100 13 106 2, 10 104 5 106 2, 5; how (in ind. qu.) χρόνος: μετά χρόνον 106 1 102 7 χρυσοῦς **99**7 ώσεί 104 2 106 2

UNT 1 1 2 1 37 TMN OTOY " NITHTLY & YTOY WIND WHIND MINDAAL WARLADDOYCN THE TOYAKELS OS ANTOY STOP 1 OY AYND TONIALON TONEY ON CONTA TOAYTO KALLAND PEYSETPY ITT CO OY CTH OOKLYTOY AT ATOY LILLATOC THE DRWNKATTO AN URLIG XPLASTONS MICH HICETAL KALKATABHERMATATA ATLANNONTECEI TRUSTOK 94-74 50 THERENHATEINESEBCHOOTNITHALKIK KALOY MP A - HERAIDALEIC EN A DIGNIKA HETOCE FEEDEN ENTENELLE AND CIEWE MOIHON EN MANTON A CINL TAUTHNIK WTASHATANKH M GTIT ANT TOYCONKLOYCKERHOYCTENNAMIED The NKALTHER HICONTAICUCKOP CONLOYERDERY OK NEITHTAN ATTAKAJAREKEININY YACOOYE 51-YTINONHAYAHKADYKECTHA TORUNA ANTOTOTOTOTOTOTO BROTTEUNKA 113 5 YIO THIGHT CETTIOY CABIOYE IL-TOLHE TAYTHE KAL MICUES VANNAN AL ATTACK TOCAMTON AL THIN TOUCICT TELLYXIAC IN A CHARLE DAGBHIATTOYINAT WHILE HOTER CHICK AT OTE CAL FILM / OT KEU) WIT YALON R. ... WYUSPY MYKENNTER NOH TATAS HORAC MALOY LUON # HOR YNICH TOTOL NERETS LOTO TO I ATONY ALLIN' 0.7 ALLAPTER ON GATITOLS I TOYMEN KALETTED ANDA TONHONER TALL 15 11- A.J. 1) 41 - P. L. C. 110 110 1100 CHAPTER 100, 1-9 (Page 5)

Mu ETITTAMA TOMY CTHIPION TOY TO AN TAPTACTINAK ACTOYOYPANOYKAIGIG THEHNANLEKALDUGNENDE NKEN LYTA'S KALENKEK LAMMEN YUWHOMMADAKNHYAPAKNHY HIMILLOALKLEFTEPLIT TWNATORANONTONFICERONKL CONTAX & NOYNHAMOLONNA TATINA LYTWN OY SETWINH LLOPYNON LOOMS DITOYNERALOYFICTIACACTACTENELE TWN RIGHWH KLHOYN - SEPTEBAITON ONALGHOYCLYTENTKNYNEGOONSEKPOITES ALLEPTOLONIOTANKITOBANHITAL GAY FIN E-YNIFIN MLAKAPIOIANLAPTUROITACKS KTHNEPACAYTON WORLE AUCEN ENTIT ZOHLYTWNKNEN LOZOCUTECANOCAC KAIKPICICOYKERFAMOH FUTHZWHAY WNAYTOLY MELCE HINDERE THIOT FICH LOYTAZOYON TACTY XNOT NON KAIEKS -CONTRUENANAPKH MERILUH KALENIK CHIFN THE I'SHKN FALLADTA KNOW HKRIEICKPLAIN METALAN HEFLEYES N LITYXALYNOW CHARASTRICTONE TTOYAIWNOS OYAIYMINOY K FT LAIN XNIPEIN MH TAPHITTO MONTERENTHZWHTWXHIM MERE CONTENCKADOYCEKODIACANE WILFORKAUA FOLEFENHEHLINT ITTO/ACTOCHKALIFEICYN UENOTEA TOLWALLENKAJA CALLHK HGANNESS SETTIN HAN ZAS SIN MENKE ISSO NO. UENFF ULATWIC . MATTONE and that en - The CHAPTER 103, 2-13 ANTLASS OF JEAN (Page 8)